This past June, a judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ruled that two unpaid interns who worked on the set of the movie Black Swan were employees and should have been paid. In 2011, Eric Glatt and Alexander Footman brought a class action suit against Fox Searchlight Pictures Inc., and Fox Entertainment Group. The two former interns claimed that Fox had violated both New York and federal labor laws when they classified them as unpaid interns instead of paid employees.

The Fair Labor and Standards Act defines “employ” very broadly to include both paid and unpaid work. However, the District Court relied heavily on a Department of Labor factsheet that outlines six different criteria that help determine whether an internship may be unpaid: (1) the internship position must be for the benefit of the intern; (2) the experience must be comparable to training from an educational environment, even if the work involves some actual operation of the facilities of the employer; (3) the intern does not displace regular employees; (4) the employer derives no immediate advantage from the intern (and that the intern may sometimes actually impede operations); (5) the intern is not necessarily entitled to a job following the internship; (6) the employer and intern both understand that the intern is not entitled to wages during the internship.

Using this set of criteria, the court found that the intern positions at Fox Searchlight, a for-profit organization, were not educational in nature, and violated federal law. The judge ruled that they should have been paid and viewed their experiences working with the production company as more similar to that of regular employees. The court also rejected what is known as the “primary benefit test,” which states that the unpaid internship is legal as long as the benefit to the intern outweighs the benefit to the employer, and instead focused on the stricter Department of Labor criteria.

Heavy consequences of Congressional inaction

By Andrew Di Iorio
News Editor

The chess game between Democrats and Republicans to fund the government saw a significant move on Sep. 20, 2013. Republicans passed a continuing resolution (“CR”) that would keep the government running through mid-December but took away funding for the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). Without money set aside for the President’s marquee domestic achievement, the bill has little hope for passage in the Senate. Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) noted the pipe dream at play here, “We probably can’t defeat or get rid of Obamacare.” Although conservative voters may dislike the ACA, they will be even more upset if their senators cause the federal government to come to a halt; many Republicans are reading these smoke signals.

Consequences of Congressional Inaction

The political drama which has ensued for the last several weeks has pushed back the schedule for important pieces of legislation that can help Americans. First and foremost, the immigration package which was passed with legitimate bipartisan support remains languishing in the House of Representatives. Along with the White House, this was a priority for Republican leaders like senators John McCain (R-AZ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC). Months of negotiation produced a meaningful bill that accounted for border security and would pay down the deficit. A farm bill, which once had a chance to become law, will be pushed back once more for debate following the spending and debt limit fight that is upon us. The farm bill gives the government the authority to subsidize American farmers when prices are low. Congress, continued on page eight

NY court ruling intensifies unpaid internship debate

By Alex Maur
Staff Writer

This past June, a judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ruled that two unpaid interns who worked on the set of the movie Black Swan were employees and should have been paid. In 2011, Eric Glatt and Alexander Footman brought a class action suit against Fox Searchlight Pictures Inc., and Fox Entertainment Group. The two former interns claimed that Fox had violated both New York and federal labor laws when they classified them as unpaid interns instead of paid employees.

The Fair Labor and Standards Act defines “employ” very broadly to include both paid and unpaid work. However, the District Court relied heavily on a Department of Labor factsheet that outlines six different criteria that help determine whether an internship may be unpaid: (1) the internship position must be for the benefit of the intern; (2) the experience must be comparable to training from an educational environment, even if the work involves some actual operation of the facilities of the employer; (3) the intern does not displace regular employees; (4) the employer derives no immediate advantage from the intern (and that the intern may sometimes actually impede operations); (5) the intern is not necessarily entitled to a job following the internship; (6) the employer and intern both understand that the intern is not entitled to wages during the internship.

Using this set of criteria, the court found that the intern positions at Fox Searchlight, a for-profit organization, were not educational in nature, and violated federal law. The judge ruled that they should have been paid and viewed their experiences working with the production company as more similar to that of regular employees. The court also rejected what is known as the “primary benefit test,” which states that the unpaid internship is legal as long as the benefit to the intern outweighs the benefit to the employer, and instead focused on the stricter Department of Labor criteria.

Heavy consequences of Congressional inaction

By Andrew Di Iorio
News Editor

The chess game between Democrats and Republicans to fund the government saw a significant move on Sep. 20, 2013. Republicans passed a continuing resolution (“CR”) that would keep the government running through mid-December but took away funding for the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). Without money set aside for the President’s marquee domestic achievement, the bill has little hope for passage in the Senate. Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) noted the pipe dream at play here, “We probably can’t defeat or get rid of Obamacare.” Although conservative voters may dislike the ACA, they will be even more upset if their senators cause the federal government to come to a halt; many Republicans are reading these smoke signals.

Consequences of Congressional Inaction

The political drama which has ensued for the last several weeks has pushed back the schedule for important pieces of legislation that can help Americans. First and foremost, the immigration package which was passed with legitimate bipartisan support remains languishing in the House of Representatives. Along with the White House, this was a priority for Republican leaders like senators John McCain (R-AZ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC). Months of negotiation produced a meaningful bill that accounted for border security and would pay down the deficit. A farm bill, which once had a chance to become law, will be pushed back once more for debate following the spending and debt limit fight that is upon us. The farm bill gives the government the authority to subsidize American farmers when prices are low.

Congress, continued on page eight

NY court ruling intensifies unpaid internship debate

By Alex Maur
Staff Writer

This past June, a judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ruled that two unpaid interns who worked on the set of the movie Black Swan were employees and should have been paid. In 2011, Eric Glatt and Alexander Footman brought a class action suit against Fox Searchlight Pictures Inc., and Fox Entertainment Group. The two former interns claimed that Fox had violated both New York and federal labor laws when they classified them as unpaid interns instead of paid employees.

The Fair Labor and Standards Act defines “employ” very broadly to include both paid and unpaid work. However, the District Court relied heavily on a Department of Labor factsheet that outlines six different criteria that help determine whether an internship may be unpaid: (1) the internship position must be for the benefit of the intern; (2) the experience must be comparable to training from an educational environment, even if the work involves some actual operation of the facilities of the employer; (3) the intern does not displace regular employees; (4) the employer derives no immediate advantage from the intern (and that the intern may sometimes actually impede operations); (5) the intern is not necessarily entitled to a job following the internship; (6) the employer and intern both understand that the intern is not entitled to wages during the internship.

Using this set of criteria, the court found that the intern positions at Fox Searchlight, a for-profit organization, were not educational in nature, and violated federal law. The judge ruled that they should have been paid and viewed their experiences working with the production company as more similar to that of regular employees. The court also rejected what is known as the “primary benefit test,” which states that the unpaid internship is legal as long as the benefit to the intern outweighs the benefit to the employer, and instead focused on the stricter Department of Labor criteria.

Heavy consequences of Congressional inaction

By Andrew Di Iorio
News Editor

The chess game between Democrats and Republicans to fund the government saw a significant move on Sep. 20, 2013. Republicans passed a continuing resolution (“CR”) that would keep the government running through mid-December but took away funding for the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). Without money set aside for the President’s marquee domestic achievement, the bill has little hope for passage in the Senate. Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) noted the pipe dream at play here, “We probably can’t defeat or get rid of Obamacare.” Although conservative voters may dislike the ACA, they will be even more upset if their senators cause the federal government to come to a halt; many Republicans are reading these smoke signals.

Consequences of Congressional Inaction

The political drama which has ensued for the last several weeks has pushed back the schedule for important pieces of legislation that can help Americans. First and foremost, the immigration package which was passed with legitimate bipartisan support remains languishing in the House of Representatives. Along with the White House, this was a priority for Republican leaders like senators John McCain (R-AZ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC). Months of negotiation produced a meaningful bill that accounted for border security and would pay down the deficit. A farm bill, which once had a chance to become law, will be pushed back once more for debate following the spending and debt limit fight that is upon us. The farm bill gives the government the authority to subsidize American farmers when prices are low.

Congress, continued on page eight

NY court ruling intensifies unpaid internship debate

By Alex Maur
Staff Writer

This past June, a judge in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ruled that two unpaid interns who worked on the set of the movie Black Swan were employees and should have been paid. In 2011, Eric Glatt and Alexander Footman brought a class action suit against Fox Searchlight Pictures Inc., and Fox Entertainment Group. The two former interns claimed that Fox had violated both New York and federal labor laws when they classified them as unpaid interns instead of paid employees.

The Fair Labor and Standards Act defines “employ” very broadly to include both paid and unpaid work. However, the District Court relied heavily on a Department of Labor factsheet that outlines six different criteria that help determine whether an internship may be unpaid: (1) the internship position must be for the benefit of the intern; (2) the experience must be comparable to training from an educational environment, even if the work involves some actual operation of the facilities of the employer; (3) the intern does not displace regular employees; (4) the employer derives no immediate advantage from the intern (and that the intern may sometimes actually impede operations); (5) the intern is not necessarily entitled to a job following the internship; (6) the employer and intern both understand that the intern is not entitled to wages during the internship.

Using this set of criteria, the court found that the intern positions at Fox Searchlight, a for-profit organization, were not educational in nature, and violated federal law. The judge ruled that they should have been paid and viewed their experiences working with the production company as more similar to that of regular employees. The court also rejected what is known as the “primary benefit test,” which states that the unpaid internship is legal as long as the benefit to the intern outweighs the benefit to the employer, and instead focused on the stricter Department of Labor criteria.
Dicta is the official student newspaper of the Suffolk Law School community, existing solely to help foster a sense of community through communication. The goal of Dicta is to educate, inform, enlighten, and entertain the student body through outstanding reporting and editorials on news, events, trends, sports, arts, food, and popular culture.

The opinions and views expressed in Dicta are not necessarily those of the Dicta staff and are not the opinions of Suffolk University Law School or the student body. Suffolk Law School students control and conduct all facets of this paper. Dicta does not discriminate against any persons and complies with the university policies concerning equality.

Dicta encourages students, alumni, faculty, and administrators to submit letters to the editor and articles for publication. Submissions should include the author’s name, class and/or position at the university or in the community. Dicta reserves the right to edit and publish all submissions. Anonymous submissions will not be published.
Suffolk University School of Law recently added a “no call” policy to the student handbook. The policy addresses the increasing incidence of parents calling the school on behalf of their enrolled student-child. If you’re interested in reading the policy, you might have to dig a little bit, it’s located in the handbook’s section on the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

Under FERPA, access to a student’s “education records” has always been restricted. The Act defines “education records” as records “directly related to a student and maintained by the institution or by a party acting for the institution.” However, despite the privacy restrictions, Suffolk previously allowed students to permit some communication between the administration and students’ parents through an informal waiver of their FERPA rights.

Suffolk’s new policy codifies the Administration’s position that appropriate communications about such matters as a student’s current enrollment, academic record, or requests for transcripts should occur solely between the student and the administration directly.

Now, your parents cannot call to request a transcript on your behalf, cannot email the Dean of Students to ask about your course load, and certainly cannot ask what time you will be home for dinner. They have no right to communicate with the school in order to access any of your information that may constitute “education records.”

The policy was drafted by the Dean of Students office with assistance from additional associate and assistant deans.

For the complete policy, go to law.suffolk.edu > Offices > Dean of Students > Student Handbook > Policies > Family Education Rights and Privacy Act. After the launch of the new Suffolk Law website, the student handbook should be located under “Student Life” > “policies.”

Jennifer Faillace
Editor-in-Chief

Artwork by Yuen Yi Chung, Art Director.
ABOUT THE CENTER
The award-winning Advanced Legal Studies was founded 30 years ago to provide in-depth CLE to the Bar. The Center now presents over 35 highly rated CLE programs per year in various practice areas, as well as academic conferences. Featuring leading practitioners, judges, academics, and other professionals, most programs also include prominent alumni, and offer discounted tuition to alumni.

Although these courses are designed for practicing lawyers, we also admit Suffolk students for free whenever possible. Attending can give you insights into various areas of the law to help with career decisions. Suffolk is the only law school CLE provider in Massachusetts.

The following is a partial list of how you can benefit from the resources and courses provided:
Learn practical information about an area of interest
Attend programs and receive course materials for free or for minimal cost
Employment opportunities through contact with attorneys
Networking opportunities

FALL 2013 Calendar

Hearsay Hazards | September 12
Developing an Exit Plan: How to Realize the Value of Your Law Practice | September 26
2nd Annual Corporate Counsel Institute | October 4
The Masterman Institute: Tribute to Anthony Lewis | October 10
Facing Complex Issues in Family Court Issues | October 17
Crash Course—What Is IP Anyway? | October 18
40th Annual NLRB/U.S. DOL Labor Law Conference | October 24
Emerging Trends in Health Care Fraud Litigation | November 7
Policing in Trying Times: Law, Policy, and Practice in a Post-9/11 World | November 8
Resolving Business Disputes Using Collaborative Law | November 15
Legal Practice Innovation 101 | November 21
Design Patents: Modernizing an Old Property Interest | November 22
Veteran’s Benefits | December 6
Lawsuits are mounting against industrial agricultural operations in the U.S. Two recent lawsuits involve Concentrated Feeding Operations or “CAFOS” in Iowa and Maryland. These animal-growing operations are contracted to produce chicken, beef cattle, and other animals for companies like Perdue. CAFOs confine animals to cages indoors for their entire lives until they are slaughtered. As a result, the operations produce large amounts of animal waste which is not regulated under the federal Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act. According to a recent article in the Westlaw Journal Environmental, there are about 450,000 CAFOs in the U.S. These feeding operations are being sued by citizens and non-profit groups for polluting the water and air with runoff and noxious odors caused by large amounts of manure and other by-products of raising animals in confined spaces.

Trouble in the Hen House
A suit in Maryland was just dismissed for failure to identify the correct source of the pollution — chicken poop or cow poop? Lead plaintiff Waterkeeper Alliance alleged it was chicken poop (actually, the technical term is “chicken litter”) from the “chicken houses.” The court decided that the source of the pollution was likely from the cattle manure and not the chickens.

Waterkeeper Alliance, a non-profit with members in Maryland, brought the suit against the Maryland chicken CAFO, known as Hudson Farm. Hudson Farm was under contract with Perdue to raise Cornish hens. Waterkeeper sued Hudson Farm and Perdue alleging that the chicken litter (a mixture of chicken manure and other material) was contributing to the significant pollution of the nearby river. Samples of the river showed levels of pollution in excess of federal Clean Water Act standards. Waterkeeper, the court, and the defendants agreed that the river was polluted, and likely from animal manure. The decision stated “the Pocomoke River, a tributary of the Chesapeake Bay, in whose watershed the Hudson Farm is located, is impaired by nitrogen, phosphorus, and bacteria, all pollutants that are associated with animal manure.” Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc. v. Hudson, CIV.A. WMN-10-487, 2012 WL 6651930 (D. Md. Dec. 20, 2012).

However, the court was not satisfied by Waterkeeper’s investigation of Hudson Farm. Waterkeeper’s investigation included an aerial fly-over, multiple aerial photographs, twelve water samples of the river over two years, and an analysis of their samples in conjunction with sampling done by the Maryland Department of Agriculture. The court found that the sampling and testimony of both of Waterkeeper’s experts was insufficient to establish that Hudson Farm’s chicken house caused the pollution. Instead, the court concluded that the likely cause of the pollution was the cow manure — not the chicken manure. The court dismissed the suit entirely, stating that “any claim under the [Clean Water Act] based upon discharges from the cattle operation would be beyond the scope of the [Notice of Intent to Sue letter], which was clearly limited to poultry waste.” Id.

The only upside to this story is that the court refused to order Waterkeeper to pay the defendants’ multi-million dollar legal fees. The court denied legal fees for Hudson Farm and Perdue, finding that “Waterkeeper Alliance’s lawsuit was not frivolous, unreasonable or without foundation or that the group continued to pursue the lawsuit after it clearly became so.” See Waterkeeper Alliance Inc. v. Hudson, 2013 WL 4561739 (D. Md. Aug 27, 2013). The court applied the standard for civil rights cases in this environmental citizen suit brought under the citizen suit provision of the federal Clean Water Act in finding in favor of Waterkeeper.

Bad Air in Iowa
This past August, parents of children attending a school in Iowa sued a local CAFO for air pollution emissions. The suit alleges that emissions from the CAFO, which is located near the school, allegedly cause asthma. The suit cites a 2006 asthma study showing increased rates of asthma at the elementary school. Further, the suit includes the findings of a 2011 air quality study which showed levels of pollutants in excess of the federal Clean Air Act standards. See Zook et al. v. McCarthy et al., No. 13-CV-1315, complaint filed (D.D.C. Aug. 29, 2013).
TRICK OR TREAT!

*Give me something good to eat?*
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SUFFOLK UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

Fútbol Club

*Season Opener at Emmet’s*

more details to be announced soon.

Sponsored and Supported by:

Emmets Pub & Restaurant

Captain: Darius Pakrooh
Co-Captain: Chris Barnett and Steve DiCairano
Beyond Lexis and Westlaw

Lexis and Westlaw are comprehensive research tools—but they don't have everything. The Moakley Law Library subscribes to numerous databases that will allow you to broaden your research beyond what’s available on Lexis and Westlaw.

BLOOMBERG LAW

Legal news, company and market information, state and federal dockets, transactional documents, full-text BNA treatises, and more.

BNA DATABASES

An excellent source for current developments in a wide range of topic areas. Titles include:

- ABA/BNA Lawyer’s Manual on Professional Conduct
- Bankruptcy Law Reporter
- Corporate Law Daily
- Criminal Law Reporter
- Electronic Commerce and Law Report
- Employment Discrimination Report
- Environment Reporter
- Family Law Reporter
- Health Law Reporter
- International Trade Reporter
- Labor and Employment Law Resource Center
- Patent, Trademark, and Copyright Law Daily
- Securities Regulation and Law Report
- Tax Management Portfolios
- U.S. Law Week

BNA E-MAIL SUMMARIES

Students can register to receive regular e-mails with summaries of recent developments for all the BNA databases, including those listed above.

CALI LESSONS

CALI’s hundreds of interactive lessons in more than 35 subject areas are a great resource for preparing for class and studying for exams—especially during your first year of law school.

HEINONLINE

Full text of hundreds of recent and older issues of law reviews, English Reports, Code of Federal Regulations, Federal Register, U.S. Treaties, Statutes at Large, Congressional Record, U.S. Attorney General opinions, U.S. Supreme Court cases, state session laws, and more.

FOREIGN LAW GUIDE

Summaries of the legal systems of nearly 200 countries and jurisdictions with references (and limited links) to primary and secondary sources.

MULTI-DISCIPLINARY JOURNALS

If your research involves non-legal topics like science, medicine, business, or the social sciences, try these databases:

- JSTOR
- EBSCOHost
- Oxford Journals Online
- Wiley Online Library

OXFORD SCHOLARSHIP ONLINE: LAW COLLECTION

Browse, search, and read over 900 full-text monographs from Oxford University Press.

ACCESSING THE DATABASES

Most databases are available to students from both on- and off-campus, although the licenses for some require that you come to the library and be assisted by a reference librarian.

You’ll find links to these databases, and others, on the library’s Web site at www.law.suffolk.edu/library/research/databases.cfm.

Contact a reference librarian—in-person on the 6th floor of the library, at 617-573-8516, or lawref@suffolk.edu—for help accessing and using any of the library’s resources.
Congressional deadlock

Congress, continued from page one

so that they can maintain their crops when the market doesn’t demand them. Additionally, the farm bill provides for insurance during harsh conditions such as drought, tornadoes, and crop disease. Gun control will not move as the focus of Congress continues to be on the spending limit and the threat of government shutdown. Mental health reform, which is cited by Republicans as the cause of recent mass shootings, will not receive any worthy attention from lawmakers either. However, the House did pass a separate provision that cut $40 billion dollars from the Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (“SNAP”). The Washington Post noted, “Cutting back on the food stamp program will have a sharp impact on low-income households, the majority of which are headed by single women.”

Why Are We at This Juncture?

Democrats and Republicans have not been able to find common ground on where to cut spending and where investment should be made. Democrats will hold firm to the funding put in place so that the ACA can remain in effect, particularly as health care exchanges open on Oct. 1. Republicans seek to level funding for government operations for a second consecutive year through the continuation of the sequester. Last edition’s readers will remember that sequester forced significant spending cuts throughout the government, including required days off. However, the threat of government shutdown was coupled with the funding of the continuing resolution (“CR”), but specifically eliminated money for healthcare. President Obama highlighted their possible motivation inaction, and moves such as the September 20 vote, that invite conflict. Alan Greenspan, the former Chairman of the Federal Reserve, opined recently, “both uncompromising sides of our ongoing debate on fiscal and other issues need to recognize that financial crisis lurks should we fail to resolve our deeply disruptive fiscal imbalance. And that imbalance is far greater than the official data portray [because of contingent liabilities to the U.S. government from possible rescues of large financial firms and iconic nonfinancial firms].” The market will assuredly have a sell-off if the government stops operations on the first of October, prompting more economic insecurity.

What Will Happen?

Fortunately, commentators are not predicting a government shutdown on the last day of September. (The government runs out of money on Oct. 1, the same day as the opening of the health care exchanges. I’m not sure if this was planned.) However, a great majority are predicting that Republicans will be blamed by Americans for this turmoil. They use the previous “movies” we’ve seen in recent memory to predict the continuation of this regretful saga. Once zero-hour arrives with the prospect of being blamed for shutting down the government, Speaker John Boehner (R-OH) will pull together enough of his colleagues to keep the coffee pots running. The “gun to the head” scenario provides Boehner the greatest amount of political cover from tea party outlash. Since the Democratic Senate refuses to get rid of the Supreme Court-validated health care reform bill, it will be in the final version of a spending measure. The President will sign the financial bandaid, which keeps the Pentagon in the dark about how it can keep our service members safe, and will prevent logical positive action. It is likely that the Senate will pass a CR that has ACA funding in it, the House and Senate bills will go to conference committee (face-to-face negotiation) and the requisite horse trading will commence to keep the national parks open.

District court judge rules unpaid interns have legal standing to bring suit for wages owed

Unpaid, continued from page one

of Labor standards. Robert Weich, a Wisconsin complainant, gave an interview with the La Crosse Tribune about his claim. He described, “The company takes advantage of free help...They have 15 to 20 interns at any one time...[they have] them doing some things that normal employees would do.”

Following this ruling in New York, debate over the acceptability of these unpaid intern positions has increased across the fashion, entertainment, publishing and legal sectors. With youth employment arguably at its highest in decades, recent graduates are beginning to push for change and demand more out of their internship experiences. Commentators also worry that the acceptance of these unpaid positions is leading to greater economic inequality, arguing that these internships only help advance the careers of recent graduates who can afford to work for free.

Some attorneys disagree with fighting against this system through the courts. They argue that these interns willingly sign up for positions knowing that they will not be paid. They believe that regardless of how you classify the positions, they still help “build resumes” and provide insight into how a particular industry operates.

The validity of these unpaid positions in the private sector remains unclear. Since June there have been a number of other cases brought by interns who have shared similar experiences. Jack Newhouse is an attorney for former entertainment interns and noted the future of this practice on Forbes.com, “The recent uptick in cases indicates that the threat of a lawsuit is no longer a theoretical risk associated with hiring unpaid interns.”

A Dicta “Thank You” to Dean Cove

Dicta Secretary and staff writer Melanie Klibanoff shakes hands with Lorraine Cove, Associate Administrative Dean of Student Enrollment Services and Registrar in the Registrar’s Office. Mention Dean Cove to a student, and you will likely hear stories of how she personally helped them with class scheduling, enrollment status questions, or exam day mix-ups. Thank you, Dean Cove, for the personal touch; we should have visited your office sooner.
CROSSWORD

Fall Potpourri

ACROSS

3. Casual conversation about other people, typically involving details that are not confirmed as being true.
4. A clever law student like yourself knows that responsibility can mean setting accurate expectations for yourself and others. Manage your time and own that new role, position, project—whatever fell into your lap unexpectedly last month.
5. November test on professional responsibility
6. Lexis's resources surpass Westlaw in this practice area
7. Outgoing Boston mayor
8. Massachusetts Attorney General and candidate for Governor Martha Coakley had two of these "best friends"
9. This year's Fall Ball theme
10. Opinions by a court on a question that is not essential to its decision even though it may be directly involved.

DOWN

1. A favorite fall beer from Maine. Grab one at Sidebar and you'll likely enjoy the aftertaste of Bud Light.
2. A new Student Handbook policy resulting from too many "helicopter parents"
3. Wondering if you're wearing a pair? You probably aren't.
4. Lexis's resources surpass Westlaw in this practice area
5. Fish sign
6. Casual conversation about other people, typically involving details that are not confirmed as being true.
7. This year's Fall Ball theme
8. Outgoing Boston mayor
9. Massachusetts Attorney General and candidate for Governor Martha Coakley had two of these "best friends"
10. Opinions by a court on a question that is not essential to its decision even though it may be directly involved.

ANSWERS

1. PUMPKINHEAD
2. NOCALLPOLICY
3. GOSSIP
4. MPRE
5. PISCES
6. PANTS
7. GATS
8. MENINO
9. LABRADORRETRIEVERS
10. DICTA
11. TAX

Horoscopes

Libra
You always weigh your options, but the time has come to question your routine and who you take orders from. Networking can be a beautiful thing and so too is your significant other. Indulge yourself in both and think about all the cool stuff you can buy loans you can pay back when you land that new gig.

Scorpio
You'll get your pat on the back just as soon as you sit down already. No one rivals your drive. Just look at Leonardo DiCaprio. Everyone wants to make money on a blockbuster, but who can stand listening to the critics? Take a seat, and take some notes.

Sagittarius
You know the value of patience — but it's high time you ask for what you've been looking for from that professor, supervisor, boss or editor.

Capricorn
Don't let a disappointment or a missed opportunity get you down, or as they say, check yo self before you wreck yo self. Your next win is right around the corner.

Aquarius
A clever law student like yourself knows that responsibility can mean setting accurate expectations for yourself and others. Manage your time and own that new role, position, project—whatever fell into your lap unexpectedly last month.

Pisces, Pisces, Pisces
You're strong and sturdy but you're not ready for this little surprise. You'll be swimming upstream with work for a while, but you will be rewarded in aspects of your life outside of law school.

Aries
Desire to take the bull by the horns this semester? Check. Ability to take the lead concerning your extracurricular endeavors? Check. While your commitment to work is commendable (and often aggressive) all work and no play makes Jack (or Jill) a dull boy. Pace yourself, and don't forget to make room for fun this semester. You should accept that invitation to your neighbors Halloween party.

Taurus
You are passionate about your work and you want others to take notice. Harness this by working on perfecting a resume, a project, or a piece of writing. It's true what they say— it's all in the delivery.

Gemini
Harmonize your inner twins this month by pairing your need to communicate with your natural wit. Entertainment can be educational, and vice versa.

Cancer
You're all about moving forward this month, so you don't have time to let your figurative outer shell (you are the crab after all) hold you back. Make the decision that's been nagging you and stick with it. If all else fails, you've got your friends. And a rom-com.

Leo
You need to go back to the gym. You're succeeding in school, but don't get a big head just yet. Take your friends' advice.

Virgo
You love the fresh start that the new school year brings. Bask in your diligence and accomplishments thus far—you've been running on all cylinders. Take a breather and let things run their course. Unless of course your co-pilot has a horrible sense of direction.

Created by staff writer Allie DeAngelis, edited by staff writer Shannon O'Connor and Editor-in-Chief Jennifer Faillace. Artwork by Yuen Yi Chung, Art Director. All praise, comments, and concerns can be directed to thesuffolklawpaper@gmail.com.
Looking to take a break and get some fresh air between classes? Take a walk in America's oldest public park; it's right across the street. Boston Common was purchased for 30 pounds (current exchange rate makes that $48.10) and set aside as our “common” ground in 1640, making it America's oldest public park. There are many statues and plaques throughout worth a quick read.

Fact or Fiction?
A giant tank of molasses exploded and flooded the streets of Boston. Oddly enough, this is a real story. In the North End, on January 15, 1919, sometime around noon a giant tank of molasses exploded. The explosion sent a wall, some say as high as 15 feet, down Commercial Street, killing 21, injuring more than 150 and destroying buildings in its path. It took six years to reach a conclusion of the more than 100 cases brought. After 3,000 witnesses gave testimony and six years had passed, the court ruled the United States Industrial Alcohol Company was negligent and was ordered to pay almost a million dollars to the plaintiffs.

“Boston Harbor-a Teapot Tonight!” Those were the cries heard from a gathering of over 5,000 people, more than one-third of Boston's population at the time. After leaving the Old South Meeting House on December 16, 1773 the angry colonists, joined by others who had been gathering at local taverns, dumped 340 chests of tea into Boston Harbor (That's why the water is still brown.) Many more interesting facts about the Boston Tea Party can be found at http://www.bostonpartyhistor.com/old-south-meeting-house-history. The British occupied the Meeting House shortly after in 1775, filling it with dirt and using it for horse riding practice, but we all know how that story turned out. Then the Meeting House nearly burned in the Great Boston Fire of 1872, but was saved by the timely arrival of a fire engine from New Hampshire. The Old South Meeting House is still standing at 310 Washington Street, a short walk from the law school. It's open for tours from 10AM-4PM in the winter.

High fly ball to right center field! Boston is home to the oldest professional baseball park in America. Fenway Park opened its doors in 1912 and celebrated its 100th Anniversary last year on April 20, 2012. The Sox are looking like playoff contenders this year, so take a study break and catch a game.

Quack, Quack! Don't take my word for it: take a Duck Tour. The tour company uses the WWII era DUKW vehicle (slightly modified from those used to storm the beaches at Normandy and Guadalcanal) and gives an interesting land and water historical tour of Boston. Tours leave from the Prudential Center, Museum of Science and Boston Aquarium.

Come back next month for another look at some Boston history. Welcome to Boston and don't forget to take a look around.
There is this nagging echo that reverberates against my face every time I talk to a classmate that reminds me daily of some depressing force of reality. There’s the weak job market, the shaky economy, low funding, and cuts to budgets. We’ve been having this conversation for the last three or four years now. Telling someone to get over it seems a little harsh, so let me mellow the delivery with an anecdote. I am sitting in my favorite class in the row above a boy who brings his computer to class. His desktop background is a plain black screen, with plain white capital letters centered in the middle reminding him to “DO YOUR WORK.” Read that again. The simplicity of this mantra is so incredibly fitting, more satisfying than our empty stress and offers some zen comfort to this crazy life. You go, Glen Coco.

It’s a familiar phenomenon: students with the least amount of time left before graduation wish to do the least amount of work. Obviously. We’ve all been there before. If this time of my life were memorialized by a Thought Catalogue article, the headline would read “Top Ten Things You Should be Stressing About, Now.” And on this list, I think school work is number 12. Maybe 15? It depends on how much money you opted to throw away at the bookstore this year. Yes, this is Heartbreak Hill for us 3Ls and 4Ls in the Suffolk Law marathon. We should be hitting our stride, but instead we are banging our heads against the wall.

It is easy to become preoccupied by the incessant accessory noise and disenchanted by the rat race out to the legal workforce. However, if you put the cart before the horse, you may end up spinning your wheels. Stop panicking. Stop reading articles that justify your Gen-Y malcontent with your place in the world. It’s uncertainty which breeds anxiety. Our school is a virtual petri dish of sorts, but you can’t let all the bull distract you from the commitment you made three or four years ago.

Do your reading, go to class, know your professors and engage in learning what you signed up to learn. Do your work. You’ll be fine. If you let fear of the unknown sit on your back, you will be so worn down you’ll have nothing left when the clock runs out on this little law school gig of ours. Breathe in some focus and push the naysayers aside - and don’t forget to network, network, network.
Law School Lexicon

**Coffee:** You don’t drink coffee? May as well sign up for that loyalty card now.

**Cold Call:** Let’s just say you’ll go cold all over if you haven’t done your reading.

**Gunner:** This guy always does his reading...and everyone knows it.

**Hornbook:** These are your friends; search them out in the law library to supplement your understanding of the material.

**IRAC:** Get your four-pack of highlighters now. You’ll be marking up the case law in a color-coded fashion according to Issue, Rule, Analysis, and Conclusion.

**Outlining:** Outlining is condensing the material down to a manageable study guide. Begin outlining when you’ve concluded a major section of the course, using your course syllabus and casebook to create headings and synthesize the rules that you’ve learned. Include case summaries like this one:

*Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad.* Pg. X. Cardozo. Railroad guard pushes man, causing him to drop an unassuming package. Package in fact contains fireworks that explode and cause scales to fall on the plaintiff. Illustrates that the plaintiff’s harm was not foreseeable, so no proximate cause.

**Socratic Method:** This is what makes the first year of law school the best year of education of your life. It is the pedagogical technique also known as “hiding the ball.” The professor does not give information directly but instead elicits it through a series of questions (to students who had better be prepared to answer them).

**Themis Bar Review:** The only bar review company that never asks students to make commitments or deposits. Themis uses better methodology, in and out of the classroom. Stop by the Themis table to pick up outlines and access to video lectures, assessment questions, and essay questions with model answers, all to help you prepare for final exams and all **FREE.**

*Themis Bar Review reminds 1Ls to share coffee, notes, hornbooks...keep your credit card information to yourself.*

![Themis Logo](ThemisBar.com 888.843.6476)