SuRFOLKiate

BLACK OUT AT SUFFOLK

(All figures taken from the June 1971 Issue of "The Student Lawyers Journal")

We don't seem to be on that proverbial bandwagon, do we? The percentages of minority students in law schools all over the country have always been low, and they are not expected to rise significantly in the near future. It is the responsibility of every law student to do his own part in changing this situation. The percentage of minority students in law schools is right here in Boston: the "Minority Scholarship Fund" of the BBA yearly collects over $30,000 for minority students in the 6 Newton law schools.

Suffolk's recent curriculum referendum proved our student body has the unique virtue of being more conservative than the Bar. We are unique in our attitudes toward minority admissions. What do we have to gain from being more conservative? The following is a list of some other law schools percentage of Black enrollment of as of 1969-1970:

- Univ. of Calif. (Berkeley) 4.4%
- Univ. of Calif. (Hastings) 1.0%
- Univ. of Comm. 1.4%
- Yale Univ. 8.7%
- Catholic Univ. 3.7%
- George Washington Univ. 3.0%
- Univ. of Chicago 2.4%
- Univ. of Maryland 1.0%
- Univ. of Michigan 2.0%
- Rutgers Univ. 10.0%
- Cornell Univ. 2.0%
- Columbia Univ. 6.0%
- N.Y.U. 4.5%
- Univ. of Pa. 3.4%
- Villanova Univ. 1.3%

Next, and more important, the percentages of the Boston Law Schools:
- Boston Univ. 3.5%
- Northeastern
- Boston College 3.3%
- Harvard 6.1%
- New England School of Law 1.5%
- Suffolk 0.08%

A woman always knew, she's done. I have abortions. Shirley's cause is right here in Boston: the "Minority Scholarship Fund" of the BBA yearly collects over $30,000 for minority students in the 6 Newton law schools.
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A woman always knew, she's done. I have abortions.
While it is perhaps too premature to presage for a first-year student of the law to even attempt a comment on the subject of the law, it is, nevertheless, his peculiarly strong desire to constantly do so. Thus, the proper indulgences having been pedagogy, a common solvent.

From the initial days of this experience, the law, it has been overly apparent that one of the pillar purposes of that experience is to formulate a rational, argumentative, investigative, and objective point of view. To perform within certain constitutional patterns of logical thought; to essay some misgivings or the same.

qualities that are held as the argumentative, investigative, investigative, and objective man would easily want to exhibit the point of view of Daniel Berrigan's and such should be disregarded as an overly subjective view of the events, even he must admit to the strength of Berrigan's point of view.

James E. Hogan is the legally emblazoned judge who retreads the events of the trial in a well-known-asanterity fashion. The various defendants move between three stages as they relate the powerful personal motivations for their actions at Catonsville and inconceivable objections by the government's prosecutor, Harold Scott.

Each of the defendants tells of his reasons such as work in debt collection agencies among the populates,massion—the experience in the poverty areas of the world as well as religious and social work within the poverty area of the United States. The testimony is constantly assailed as irrelevant to the crime charges until the audience, clearly aware of the defendants' 'ante' legal culpability, is unable to reconcile the obviously relevant material of the defendants' personal and social experiences and the legal irrelevance of the same.

Defense counsel, Ronald Davidson, President of the Baltimore Bar Association, desperately to establish a precedent of argument that argues for the motivation of the defendants can be possibly be divorced from the acts of those same persons while the trial judge, Dr. Alexander Reed, argues for the same. The case of the society as dependent upon the acceptance of an objective system of law. And it is through the debate that the pen of Daniel Berrigan is the highest achievement of the play.

After testimony is taken on the and the jury is to consider its verdict (the jury being made up of members of the audience in their seats and not the events) the true issue of the play is exhibited through argument. Asking for any words from the defendants, the judge engages in an argument that clearly reveals the true thrust of the play with all its ramifications.

Daniel Berrigan is to be credited with giving the judge an expanded argument which is not only substantially tenable but interminably eloquent as well. The play is carried on for it is here that he could have directed the argument to support his own point of view rather than a true representation of the problem.

The responses from the defendants, chiefly Daniel Berrigan himself, results in a conflict of immense proportions. The defendants argue that they in fact were acting in defense of the lives of those who may die in a senseless war and in that defense it was necessary to destroy the weapon of the government, The trial Judge answers that they cannot expect to act contrary to the pre-eminent position of the society as a whole because of personal feelings and expect impunity. For such an allowance would result in utter chaos with men being responsible only to themselves and not to the sustenance of society. The argument is between these two points of view a legal system qualified by the necessity of the contin¬uation of the society as well as religious and social objections.

The result is a verdict of guilty for each of the nine defendants delivered over an intercom system as if to emphasize the bizarre paradox of presenting the validity of a legal system of law that is simultaneously distant from and near to the defendants.

Finally, the play employs the device of lowering a screen at the curtain and showing an actual newsfilm of the events at Catonsville. The brief news excerpt used to impress the audience as the coat of presentation, but the force of personal misgivings or the same.

Robert Blackburn suffers from the roles with an abrasive self-assessment, which we neither analyze nor evaluate.
OUR Library

This year the law library hired two additional people, David Abbott and Rosemary Haggerty, to watch the reserve desk during the day. There is also a librarian, Jameson, who is on duty once a week. The schedule for extra part-time hours has been negotiated. Head Law Librarian Lynch and assistant Law Librarian Brown have hired this additional help as part of a campaign to upgrade the quality of our library. They are also working on a new reference section, state law series, periodicals and research series are being ordered and the registering and shelving these books, as well as other gift books, has begun.

The most glaring shortcoming of the library still remains the seating inadequacy. But this is also being dealt with; it now appears that the library will be dramatically enlarged in the future.

As a shortcoming of faith and in their genuine attempt to improve the library, the librarians have promised to purchase any titles recommended by the SBA, including horn books, reference series and case books. All that a student needs to do in order to get the book is to sign up at the library desk, and the book will be reserved for him or her.

All this brings us to our role in creating a better library. Someone wants reserve books. The reason we must suffer this inconvenience is simple: if they are left on the open shelves they are taken by our closestrip off pigs. Yes, fellow students, resisters of the law, we ask them to keep our own private use, a very un-collective action. A few years ago the Mass. Practice series was placed on the open shelves and was gone after 3 weeks. Because of a genuine intention to serve students as well as they want to be served, the librarians have promised to get the book by any means necessary. It is imperative that we are considerate of others, and at the same time police our fellow students and come down hard on those among us who steal and defile the tools of our trade. Our books.

Bill Grant

ACTION NEWS

The Northeastern Regional Law Women's Conference is being held on December 13 and 14 at the Student Bar Association building. An effort will be made to bring in speakers, plus a few names to promote the prevention of violence to women.

Malik vs. Hanks in the last few weeks has proven to be an important test case in Roxbury and a creative force in a decaying community. To extricate his wife Hanks and to further support this movement, another example of a miscarriage of justice to Governor Sargent not to foster this type of care in the state and let Malik vs. Hanks go back to his community, his people and his important work.

In addition to this letter the SBA has sent a $100 check to the Malcolm X. Foundation in Roxbury where Malik was a director and also instrumental in organizing a drug rehabilitation program in the community.

Most of you are probably vaguely aware of this situation. Malik is accused of rape and assault in Missouri, a state which he fled while on parole. It is insisted that Malik left Missouri because of the masterminds of this whole state which is between the forage prison and parole, a tragic element of the whole case in that if Hanks goes back to Mississippi he will find his innocent self is still in jeopardy of life imprisonment because of parole violation. Malik has already spent 16 years in jail. What is the purpose of a correctional system? Is it rehabilitation? Or vengeance? Will prison be for more for the people of Roxbury than it is for simply himself? We don't need nor desire to have the very individuals who are daily battling our problems, while we read about IAF, to be dragged off to a rotting prison system.

We hope that the silly white student body of Suffolk law follows your example here and sends letters and telegrams to Gov. Sargent. Some reports indicate that only black support has been voted for so far and therefore Sargent hasn't responded. It is in this and similar cases of vital importance to the black community, where red and white liberals and progressives usually fail to do anything. Justifying a common belief in the black communities that white people talk a lot but do very little.

At present, Sargent means willing to allow the extradition to take place. But no politician will fail to set if the voters shout loud enough. Usually there are only a few yells. Perhaps you are too busy studying law to do anything right now. Perhaps in a few years when a new Malik is being victimized you will respond. But then again you may be too busy with your law execution.

Bill Bennett
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WOMEN’S PERSPECTIVE

"By are you in law school?", is a question so
commonly asked of the women at Suffolk. It is so
hard to accept that a women want a legal
degree and care about their future. The reality of the
circumstances is that male students and professors have not accepted
this concept.

In class the women sit
in groups not male
students. But it is much
more than just the sitting togeth­
er. It is the way these men act
when they do approach women. They tend to...