SUFFOLK INAUGURATES NEW PRESIDENT

Dynamic Leadership Promised

On Sunday, January 12 Suffolk University saw the inauguration of a new president. Judge John E. Fenton was sworn in at exercises at the John Hancock auditorium. Among distinguished guests in attendance were Governor John A. Volpe, Lt. Governor Elliot Richardson, Bishop Jeremiah Minihan, and representatives from colleges and universities throughout the New England area.

The temper of the exercises was both positive and impressive. Governor Volpe praised President Fenton for his distinguished years of service as a jurist, and extended his best hopes for Suffolk's future.

Student government president, Paul Reusine, expressed his conviction that Suffolk University under President Fenton's inspiration and leadership would become one of the most acclaimed universities in the New England area.

Dean Donald W. Goodrich extended congratulations to President Fenton on behalf of the Law School Faculty.

In President Fenton's acceptance speech Suffolk University was hailed as a University with a glorious past and one which would continue to expand and prosper in the future. President Fenton further stated that Suffolk would meet the pressing needs of faculty expansion and curricula reform and that at all times the university would be dedicated to "the development of the full man."

The Reverend Raymond J. Swords S.J., President of Holy Cross, delivered the inauguration address. Reverend Swords emphasized the need for today's universities to "inspire the imagination of modern urban life and to provide training for the public service. "Today's university," he said, "must not be a place for abstract theorizing. The cities are in turmoil and eruptions of violence, which would make Watts look, like a Sunday School Picnic, tame, unimportant, Unemployment, racial discrimination, and meager educational opportunities must be remedied. Suffolk University, because of its strategic location in the center of a great city, must play a decisive role during this emergency."

The activities were capped with an inauguration dinner at the Statler Hilton Hotel which was attended by numerous legislators, jurists, educators, alumni and faculty members.

Editorial...

An Apology

We must apologize for the extended delay in the publication of the Suffolk Journal. For the past two (2) years the editorial staff of the Suffolk Journal has found it difficult to maintain a consistent publishing schedule.

Last month a letter was addressed to the Board of Trustees requesting that the Suffolk Journal be allowed to engage the services of a new printer. Due to the heavy agenda of the Board, including President Fenton's inauguration, action on the request was tabled until the Board's January meeting.

The Journal staff, however, recognized its immediate and overriding obligations to the student body. Consequently, the editorial staff felt it necessary to publish some type of temporary newspaper during the interim.

The Journal's original motion to the Board of Trustees has now been substituted by a Student Government request "to empower the Director of Student Activities, in conjunction with the editor of the Suffolk Journal, to negotiate contracts for the publication of said newspaper."

The money for this issue was appropriated by the Student Government at their last meeting. We would like to thank them for their assistance and cooperation.

EDWARD F. DEGRAAN
EDITOR

Suffolk Students Support US Policy In Viet Nam

On October 15, a group of Suffolk students, organized by Catherine Horgan, staged a counterprotest in the wake of the current demonstrations against our American policy in Viet Nam. The moderate often lacks the initiative that the radical possesses in speaking out. And if we see the picture one-sided, the true feelings of college students. We felt that it was time to fill in the picture. The girl-students who've signed a petition in favor of the government's policy which was sent to the President on October 15, 1965.

As a result of many petitions sent to President in the last month, Administrators of 85 American colleges polled by the Associated Press against Viet Nam protests represents only a minute fraction of the total number of registered students. We can happily say that this holds true at Suffolk.

We found that most of the students were very glad to see this petition at Suffolk, and we received many favorable comments. The faculty members were not contacted separately, but all who were on the second floor that day signed the petition. Judge John Fenton was pleased with our efforts, and he affirmed his signature to the head of the list of names.

The painting on exhibition displays the artist's interest in form and composition, best expressed through free flowing figures which exist in a non-gravitational environment. These works appear to represent, with few exceptions, exercises in 'Centrifuge' No. 1,' involving something more than exercises. This pain could possibly come from the vacuum, the apparent result of a struggle to break away from a gravitational force. This force would be created by the physical interrelation of the various figures on each other. Beyond this, the paintings have little discernable symbolic meaning except to the artist. They display potential, but are they good art?

A closer examination of the purpose of art is necessary. Should
As American college students, we must be constantly aware of the fallacies and false doctrines preached by Communists and their fellow travelers. We must be educated in the methods they employ to gain control of our educational system. Much of their attempt is focused on penetrating our colleges in the forms of student rebellions, protest parades, rioting, and student speakers and discussions, and student organizations including political, social, and civil rights groups.

In a testimony before the House Subcommittee on Transportation on March 4, 1965, F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover of the Communist Party in this country in 1964 greatly stepped up his program of recruiting and indoctrination through the recruitment of trained and dedicated leaders on college campuses.

Former Senatorial candidate for the United States Senate from California, Mr. Hoover, declared that we cannot lose sight of the fact that the Communist Party of the United States is an organization which is not only under the firm control and guidance of the Soviet Union, but which its allegiance is only to the Soviet Union and serves directly and willingly as an agent of Soviet Policy.

The Declaration of the International Communist Conference held in Poland in December, 1960, proclaimed that, "We are now approaching the time to draw the younger generation into the struggle for the ideas of communism."

The Declaration ordered the Communist Party of the United States to "extend their work among the youth at colleges." The line was changed to "education and work for the ideas of communism." The changed line was approved in December, 1960.

In testimony before the United States House of Representatives on March 4, 1965, Mr. Hoover said that Communist Party representatives must be on college campuses before college groups during the calendar year 1964. He said that the Communist Party leaders on college campuses which invited Communist Party leaders to address the students are the University of Wisconsin, University of Chicago, University of Pennsylvania, Harvard, Penn State, Rutgers, St. Louis, Brown, Stanford University of California, and the University of Illinois.

It is interesting to note that a member of the United States Senate was a "freedom fighter" in the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, and students of that committee are going for us, but they're not freedom fighters in the usual sense of the word. They're just a part of the "responsible left.""

We quite often hear the term, "Academic Freedom," quoted these days. How many of us truly understand what it means? How many of us are aware of how easily it can be and has been misused and abused? None of us would deny that "academic freedom" must prevail in our colleges and universities to follow and believe in the principles of American Education. However, in many cases of our colleges and universities, our constitutional rights can be and have been suppressed by "academic freedom." This can be used as a tool for destroying our way of life, and our beloved American Constitution.

"Academic freedom" is a misnomer. "Freedom" must prevail in our colleges and universities. This provides a place, away from the pressures of the school, where one can simply go and study in quiet surroundings.

Though the advantages of the hall are the air conditioning, if the kids could just tend the hours, possibly from 8:30 in the morning to 10:00 in the evening, as a matter of fact, Reverend Wood has in the past proven that even this space was made available to students. Mr. Hoover goes on to say that today all accounting majors have a seller's opportunity in the job market, whereas marketing and management majors are subjected to a buyer's market.

A business student must balance his education to qualify for the opportunity that the business world offers. Accounting will prove an invaluable asset on the student's career and will give him a good start towards his dreams.

Misery is yours. No work in the world can ever be perfectly planned and executed. Jobs are either too long, too short, or too hard to do. In the long run, misery is yours. No one can get out of it. The only thing left to do is to put in your best and to hope and pray that this misery will not last too long.
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AROUND CAMPUS

By K. Bresson and E. Lynch

Crowley Father's Study Hall

On November 3, members of the staff visited the facilities of the Crowley Father's Pariah Hall on Bowdoin Street. Through the generosity of Reverend Virgil Wood this space was made available and is being run by Alpha Phi Omega service fraternity. Reverend Wood has in the past proven to be a sincere friend of Suffolk students. The hall is available to all students for study purposes, regardless of religious affiliation.

Treason on Campus

By Kenneth P. Maxil

American college students, we must be constantly aware of the fallacies and false doctrines preached by Communists and their fellow travelers. We must be educated in the methods they employ to gain control of our educational system. Much of their attempt is focused on penetrating our colleges in the forms of student rebellions, protest parades, rioting, and student speakers and discussions, and student organizations including political, social, and civil rights groups.

In a testimony before the House Subcommittee on Transportation on March 4, 1965, F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover of the Communist Party in this country in 1964 greatly stepped up his program of recruiting and indoctrination through the recruitment of trained and dedicated leaders on college campuses.

Former Senatorial candidate for the United States Senate from California, Mr. Hoover, declared that we cannot lose sight of the fact that the Communist Party of the United States is an organization which is not only under the firm control and guidance of the Soviet Union, but which its allegiance is only to the Soviet Union and serves directly and willingly as an agent of Soviet Policy.

The Declaration of the International Communist Conference held in Poland in December, 1960, proclaimed that, "We are now approaching the time to draw the younger generation into the struggle for the ideas of communism."

The Declaration ordered the Communist Party of the United States to "extend their work among the youth at colleges." The line was changed to "education and work for the ideas of communism." The changed line was approved in December, 1960.

In testimony before the United States House of Representatives on March 4, 1965, Mr. Hoover said that Communist Party representatives must be on college campuses before college groups during the calendar year 1964. He said that the Communist Party leaders on college campuses which invited Communist Party leaders to address the students are the University of Wisconsin, University of Chicago, University of Pennsylvania, Harvard, Penn State, Rutgers, St. Louis, Brown, Stanford University of California, and the University of Illinois.

It is interesting to note that a member of the United States Senate was a "freedom fighter" in the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, and students of that committee are going for us, but they're not freedom fighters in the usual sense of the word. They're just a part of the "responsible left.""

We quite often hear the term, "Academic Freedom," quoted these days. How many of us truly understand what it means? How many of us are aware of how easily it can be and has been misused and abused? None of us would deny that "academic freedom" must prevail in our colleges and universities to follow and believe in the principles of American Education. However, in many cases of our colleges and universities, our constitutional rights can be and have been suppressed by "academic freedom." This can be used as a tool for destroying our way of life, and our beloved American Constitution.

"Academic freedom" is a misnomer. "Freedom" must prevail in our colleges and universities. This provides a place, away from the pressures of the school, where one can simply go and study in quiet surroundings.

Though the advantages of the hall are the air conditioning, if the kids could just tend the hours, possibly from 8:30 in the morning to 10:00 in the evening, as a matter of fact, Reverend Wood has in the past proven that even this space was made available to students. Mr. Hoover goes on to say that today all accounting majors have a seller's opportunity in the job market, whereas marketing and management majors are subjected to a buyer's market.

A business student must balance his education to qualify for the opportunity that the business world offers. Accounting will prove an invaluable asset on the student's career and will give him a good start towards his dreams.
The splendid work of Alumni Secretary Dorothy McNamara and the Fund-Raising Drive notwithstanding, the question still remains: What is happening with the Alumni Association? Since the inception of the organization little progress has been noted. With the appointment of an Alumni Secretary it was hoped that the Alumni Association's development would be facilitated. But it is true that the initial impetus would have to be provided by the officers of the organization.

Excerpts regarding the Alumni Association have been numerous, and many may be valid. It is true, for example, that before any plans for the Alumni Association could be devised an Alumni list would have to be compiled. But this list is not complete. It is also true that the organization's efforts have been diverted as a result of the Fund-Raising Drive, but the fact remains that the Alumni Association must develop a "raison d'être" beyond the school's immediate financial exigencies.

As indicated in many previous editorials, if the University is to continue to expand and prosper the Alumni Association will have to exist in itself as a positive influence in the school's affairs. The students have done their share; there remain, however, significant problems that can be remedied only by the development of a strong and viable Alumni Association.

The responsibility for the Alumni Association's lethargy may be placed squarely on the shoulders of the officers. They have indicated, time and time again, a uniformly unimaginative and parochial outlook at the very core of the organization. If they were truly interested in the Alumni Association's progress they would (1) contact immediately all graduates of demonstrated ability who have indicated a willingness and desire to work for the Alumni Association, and (2) convene a general meeting of all Alumni for the purpose of airing complaints, renewing bonds of friendship, democratically electing officers, and drawing-up a plan of action.

P.L.N.

Education

What is the purpose of education? It is two-fold—one, the practical assimilation of knowledge and training for eventual use in adapting to our society, and second, and more intangible purposes the broadening of one's outlook of self, society, and the culture.

These results do not occur simultaneously or in equal proportion. The first purpose is the primary need of survival in our competitive culture. Higher education does improve the aesthetic outlook of most individuals, but rare is the one who realizes the intellectual benefits of education and actually attempts to widen his perspective—to apply his intellect and not just his intelligence.

The delegation of broadening one's outlook to second place in importance is purely an abrogation of an individual's responsibility to those about him; family, school and community. A lack of good taste is proven even by a cursory look at the various levels of culture. Much of the music, art and literature produced today suffer from repetition, stagnated creativity or the whirling revolution in cultural tastes still in process. T.V. is more of a wasteland than ever before. Many best sellers are either pornographic or suspiciously similar to previous best sellers, and musical taste is dominated by the pre-teen age group.

Education today is in need of an overall revolution in regard to methods and even purposes to be emphasized. Still, this emphasis on quality, on intellectual improvement, and better development of good taste is surely a purpose to be absorbed into the overall functioning of the nation's educational system on the university level.

J.OD.
**What Is A Professional Fraternity?**

By Marty Cohen

**What Is A Fraternity?**

Another advantage of the professional fraternity is the opportun­ity for members to maintain and accept the flow of new ideas and attitudes that are always above the school average. A fraternity during your college years in not an honorary society. It is a group life (both professionally and socially) to promote professional achievement within that field. There is another important area of professional education. It main­tains an exclusive membership in a particular field of endeavor. Its educational and professional goals are to make the student as qualified and willing to accept the responsibilities to participate in politics but it depends on how qualified she is. I think it might be fine if she would be interested enough in the political welfare of the city government may serve all its people, and the democratic ideal of local government would be an increasing reality.

**The Lantern Staff**
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**Roving Reporter**

by Betsy Richards

**What do you think of a woman as the next Mayor of Boston?**

**JANET DELUCA** - Sophomore -

**I don't really think there are any qualifications for the job right now. I wouldn't like to see Louise Day Hicks. I think Mayor Collins should stick to it because he is doing an O.K. job. I don't agree with her thinking; therefore, I don't think she would do a good job.

**BARBARA JEAN TAYLOR** - Freshman -

**I don't see anything wrong with it. A woman should take part in politics but it depends on how qualified she is. I think it might be a good change to have a woman take part.**

**JANET DELUCA - Sophomore -

**VALERIE RUSSELL - Junior -

**Are you serious? Well, -I don't think there is anything wrong with a woman mayor as long as she is qualified and willing to accept the full responsibilities of the office and has the proper sensitivity to the needs of all the people of Boston. No matter who becomes the next Mayor of Boston, he or she must be willing to stimulate and accept the flow of new ideas into the proper structure so that city government may serve all its people, and the democratic ideal of good local government would be an increasing reality.

**PETER ZIMMER - Junior -

**Not Louise Day Hicks, not Catherine Brann but a woman would be fine if she would be interested enough in the political welfare of Boston to produce more urban re­veral. I think a woman's housekeeping-type characteristics would help the government a lot if the government would like to be.**

**WARDEN DEARDS - Junior -

**I don't think much of that woman.**
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Delta Psi

So far I have discussed primarily the science students, but no information that cannot usually be their own field attending the University. Nevertheless, there are many experts in people: a staunch party supporter and worker as well as...
Moses Came Home

The Sheraton Boston Hotel was the meeting place on October fourteenth and fifteenth of the N. E. Conference on Business Administration. The fifty-two members present are members of a national group of the American Association of Collegiate Schools of Business which is the national accrediting body of schools of Business Administration.

The conference was sponsored by Suffolk University and Mr. John J. Mahoney, of the Business Administration Board. The chairman for the meeting, Mr. Mahoney has represented Suffolk at this conference for the past three years.

At the first meeting of the conferencing President Fenton delivered the welcoming address which was followed by remarks from "Educators from the Liberal Arts Look at Business Administration." Panel members were Dean Denali, W. Paul Kohn, Dean of Humanities, and Dr. Stanley Voss, Chairman of the Business Administration at Suffolk. Other topics of discussion included the Importance of Quality Students for Business Administration at which posture, Dr. John Tuck, Dean, University of Mass., John Fullen, Dean, Boston University, and Mrs. Lally, of their housework so they could sit on their front porches and sip iced tea the rest of the day, Moses would always go back to St. Elizabeth's Hospital.

"And the people of Rushville looked for Mose now. Mose came to them. Mose had been made a preacher. That's how he got his name. Nobody knew what Mose said something he preached. And the folks loved it when he would say, "Yuh suh, Amen.""

Now I am the young man who was the preacher who was in charge of change at Cape Cod. His name was Moses. He was a preacher. That's how he got his name. Nobody knew what he said something he preached. And the folks loved it when he would say, "Yuh suh, Amen."

Mose never got along with Mose ever since the day she planted a coke bottle in her front yard and taught the claim-jumpers to my huskies. I want to carve an empire from wilderness and grasp gold nuggets filled with Tennyson's eagles and Hygelac's men.

John Whittenberger

Theater Company

Drs. Elia Murphy and Stanley Vogel of the English Department at Suffolk University made a stop at Suffoak to attend local performances of well-known plays by the Theatre Company of Boston at the Hotel Touraine.

The plan is offered to the student on a theatre-party basis. They go to the theatre, receive discounts to the Sunday collection box. And interviews with Joe Williams and the great Nancy Wilson are sure to satisfy the most demanding musical appetite. Whether your pleasure is Basin Street Blues or the new and different avant garde, you're sure to find your pleasure

New Library Policy

In an interview with Mr. Sullivan November 11, it was learned that the university had been open in eight years on a Sunday morning. This development is due to the new New York Times. It has been announced that the library is open Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., for a duration of three months. This trial period will be extended, if the students and the faculty and the staff request it. By law students and the Student Government as well as individual students in the under-graduate, post-graduate, and professional school.

Some of the changes had been made. But no one knows what Mose said something he preached. And the folks loved it when he would say, "Yuh suh, Amen."

Mose never paid no attention to those neighborhood kids when they'd answer a mocking, "Ahhh..." "Sure, but I'm no longer a little kid, you know."

Joe Porter owns the cornor drug store in Rushville. He has a pet turtle. Joe knew he wasn't there to buy a bottle of chewing tobacco. He was looking for a free book of matches that is a plug of chewing tobacco and Joe always has a good supply of these.

What's new Mose? Joe would say, "Yuh suh, Amen.""

And the folks loved it when he would say, "Yuh suh, Amen."

Moses readily acknowledged this. Joe knew, of course, that Mose had been made a preacher. That's how he got his name. Nobody knew what Mose said something he preached. And the folks loved it when he would say, "Yuh suh, Amen."
If for no other purpose, the "Didn't I see you in Rome four years ago? Weren't you on the Île de Cité? I recognized you because you carried a Phi Beta Kappa key," he said.

Sadly, Suffolk University has no chapter of Phi Beta Kappa. Competition for charters is great. Virtually all the liberal arts colleges in the United States have charters, and many would be considered for membership.

While the quality of our faculty, our graduates, our library, certain weaknesses remain. Our alumnus member. Among the earliest members of the society was Chief Justice John Marshall, and Thomas Jefferson. Nine Presidents have followed Jefferson on the Phi Beta Kappa rolls.

The second performance of the week, Madam Butterfly, was a charmingly good quality—very well sung and acted—and consequently, enthusiastically received. One setting was for the end of the solo, a delicate bamboo gazeebo which set the proper frame of mind for this "Japanese" opera.

Suffolk University has represented a team in the National College Women's Basketball, Golf and Tennis. Suffolk has brought recognition to his school, but who had mastered the English language as few men of his century could.
“Revolutions in Poetry are made by writing new ones not repudiating old ones.” R. P. Warren.

On Modern Poetry, Warren has this to say: “Modern Poetry is alienated...forced to compete with journalism...thus making the poet lose his mission in life because he cannot speak the simple truth.”

Warren added “Poems about poetry are fairly new. Poems about poets are many. When you worry about yourself, you are in trouble. The modern poetry audience is the poet himself. Modern poets do not want success because of the criticism of others...but because of the need of a new age of poetry and criticisms.”

Commenting on the Beats, Warren said, “The Beats...started a revolution against modernism and derived it from the Greenwich Village type of poet. The Beats are a footnote to modern individualism. They live in a smoke-filled culture. Their poetry is not popular poetry because they do not use popular language. Beat poetry thrives on emotionalism.”

Warren discussed his viewpoint on our present age and the age of poetry, “We are in an Age of Specialization. An age when man is trying to perfect himself in one general field. For some, this is good but for the poet it only leads to his obscurity. His drive to satisfy...a new identity.

“A change in poetic style is needed when the young poets have to study it without liking it. This is Poetry of Art. It is History.”

“...Poetry of Prophecy is not doctrine. It is how the world is styled, how it is and how it feels. The Twentieth Century writers who were influenced when Personal Style becomes Period Style. Language no longer conforms to our experiences...the Past gives us language and images for our Past not for our Present or Future. Poetic revolution is to find our new selves...a new identity.
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