

Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy

Volume 11

Article 2

1-1-2006

Table of Contents

JTAA Editors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.suffolk.edu/jtaa-suffolk>



Part of the [Litigation Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

11 Suffolk J. Trial & App. Advoc. xiii (2006)

This Contents is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Collections @ Suffolk. It has been accepted for inclusion in Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy by an authorized editor of Digital Collections @ Suffolk. For more information, please contact dct@suffolk.edu.

**SUFFOLK JOURNAL OF
TRIAL & APPELLATE
ADVOCACY**

Volume XI

2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editor's Note.xv

ARTICLES

Trial Objections: The Way of Advocacy.*Latour "LT" Lafferty* 1

Dropping the K-Bomb: A Compendium of
Kangaroo Tales from American
Judicial Opinions.*Parker B. Potter, Jr.* 9

NOTES

Litigating Land Use in Massachusetts:
Defining Municipalities' Legitimate
Use of Rate of Development Restrictions.*Janelle M. Austin* 79

Expert Handwriting Testimony:
Is the Writing Really on the Wall?.*Simone Ling Francini* 99

Construction Defect Litigation: Courts'
Fragmented Rationales Regarding
Coverage for Contractor's Faulty Workmanship. . .*Lisa C. Friedlander* 119

Defining the Limits of a Physician's
Duty to Disclose in Massachusetts.*Megan Lee* 139

Gestational Carrier Agreements:
Massachusetts Recognition of the
Parties' Choice of Laws.*Michelle-Kim Lee* 167

Jury Instructions, Not Problematic
Expert Testimony, in Child Sexual Assault Cases. . . *Marina Moriarty* 181

Prosecuting Sham Marriage Under
18 U.S.C. § 1546: Is Validity of Marriage Material?. . . *Brian Thomas* 201

Good Intentions Are Not Enough:
The Argument Against a Higher
Standard of Proof in Capital Cases. *Brian Zuanich* 221

COMMENTS

Civil Rights – State Prisoners May Challenge
Constitutionality of Parole Procedures under
42 U.S.C. § 1983 – *Wilkinson v. Dotson*,
125 S. Ct. 1242 (2005). *Rachel Rod* 243

Securities Fraud Litigation – Lost in Causation:
Investors Not Entitled to Presumption of
Loss Causation in Fraud-On-The-Market Cases –
Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo,
125 S. Ct. 1627 (2005). *Mackenzie Shea* 255