Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.suffolk.edu/journal

Recommended Citation
https://dc.suffolk.edu/journal/197

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Suffolk University Student Newspapers at Digital Collections @ Suffolk. It has been accepted for inclusion in Suffolk Journal by an authorized administrator of Digital Collections @ Suffolk. For more information, please contact dct@suffolk.edu.
Anthem For Doomed Youth

What passing-bells for these who die as cattle?
Only the monstrous anger of the guns.
Only the stuttering rifles' rapid rattle
Can patter out their hasty orisons.

No mockeries for them from prayers or bells,
Nor any voice of mourning save the choirs,
The shrill, demented choirs of wailing shells;
And bugles calling for them from sad shires.

What candles may be held to speed them all?
Not in the hands of boys, but in their eyes
Shall shine the holy glimmers of good-byes.
The pallor of girls' brows shall be their pall;
The flowers the tenderness of silent minds,
And each slow dusk a drawing-down of blinds.

Wilfred Owen
We mourn the death of the four students slain at Kent State. The responsibility for the deaths of Allison Krause, Jeffrey Miller, Sandy Lee Scheurer, and William Schroeder lies with our national leaders. They plunged the country deeper into the morass of the Southeast Asian War, and when students gathered to call for peace they responded with soldiers carrying loaded weapons.

In his statement, President Nixon deplored, "resort of violence as a means of expression." What sort of expression is the American invasion of Cambodia? What sort of expression are armed soldiers in our cities and universities?

To express our collective grief, memorial services for the four dead students will be held this week on campuses and in towns around the country. We urge all Americans to join in this demonstration of sadness at these unnecessary killings.

200 years ago, the killing of five Americans by British Imperial troops earned the name "The Boston Massacre." The tragedy at Kent State may well be the "massacre" of our time.
“Strike” or “Days of Protest”?—
Trustees Decide Suffolk Students Academic Options

The Board of Trustees at its Regular Monthly Meeting on May 13, 1970 adopted the statement of the College Faculty Assembly with the insertion of the word “all” in Item 3, WITH A PREAMBLE. Below is the Preamble and the Statement voted by the Board of Trustees:

ACTION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
AT THE MEETING ON MAY 13, 1970

PREAMBLE
TO
THE STATEMENT BY THE COLLEGE FACULTY ASSEMBLY

A UNIVERSITY IS THE VERY ESSENCE OF OUR DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY, THEREFORE, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THE RIGHTS OF ALL BE PROTECTED AND RESPECTED.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES EXPECTS THAT THE PRESIDENT AND DEANS WILL IMPLEMENT THE STATEMENT OF THE FACULTY ASSEMBLY WITH EQUITY AND JUSTICE TO ALL STUDENTS.

STATEMENT OF THE COLLEGE FACULTY ASSEMBLY

The administration and faculty of the Colleges of Suffolk University realize that most Suffolk students participating in the current strike are acting according to the dictates of their conscience. We respect their judgment and their sincerity. We further recognize our responsibility to conduct the proper business of the University, providing for a continuation of classes, examinations, grade reporting, and graduation. Accordingly, we state the following policy:

1. The Colleges of Suffolk University will remain open.

2. Instead of holding full-scale formal classes for the remainder of the semester, all members of the faculty will make time available to meet with students individually or in groups to help attain their educational goals. Individual classes should be allowed to go on at the regularly scheduled time if the students and faculty involved so desire. Faculty will also hold final examinations as scheduled for those who wish to take them.

3. Students who do not choose to take all the examinations as scheduled may exercise one of the following options:
   A. Faculty shall have the option, depending on the nature of the course and at the request of the student, to grant a final grade on the basis of grades attained by May 4, 1970.
   B. Faculty shall allow students to petition to take their examinations over a one-week period, commencing August 10, 1970. Such election applies to all courses taken in the Spring Semester, 1970, and cannot be made for fewer than all of the courses (excluding those courses graded by option A). In either option, all course grades will be based on the official grading system as stated in the September, 1969 Suffolk University Bulletin. In either option, all laboratory assignments must be completed prior to the awarding of a final grade. Except for laboratory assignments, only content covered prior to May 5 shall be used in constructing final examinations.

4. The election to take examinations in August shall be made by a petition obtained and filed with the Dean of Students prior to the start of the student’s first scheduled examination.

5. Students are reminded that any attempt to interfere with the orderly conduct of classes or examinations or with any student’s freedom of access to the University will be sufficient cause for enforced withdrawal from the University.
Suffolk Students and Faculty

discuss and ponder the Strike
protesting the Cambodian Invasion, the Vietnam War, the Kent State murders, and domestic political repression,
Dear President Nixon... just what did you tickle your funny bone when you said you were sending troops to Cambodia to save lives...?

Editorial:

Days of Crisis, Days of Death
Vietnam... Cambodia... Kent State... August... Jackson... Presidential lies are still lies... and we've been hearing them for too long. We've been watching people die for too long. The war must end, the killing must end, and our generation must be remembered. I truly believe that this country must be stopped and the true meaning of democracy brought back into American society. Let everyone do what they, in their own way, are able to do... but do something before it's too late! Let the National Strike be a new beginning... the future depends on it.

LOOK TO YOURSELF
Apathy! To some it is a "dirty" word, to others a word with little or no meaning. What does it mean to be apathetic? The normal assumption would be that one just doesn't care one way or the other. Maybe that's good in some cases—but only in some cases. I'm sure that each and every one of us is apathetic about one thing or another, at one or another time in our lives. Again, that's ok, sometimes. The bad example of apathy that comes to my mind is university apathy. As I think about this editorial, I picture in my mind the readers moaning about having to read (or not even bothering to read it at all) another "foolish" rap on apathy at Suffolk University. Well then, let me say here that an earnest attempt shall be made to remedy the situation has been to little or no avail. Why? The present semester comes the finish of my four-year college career at Suffolk University.

For three and one-half of those years, I have heard of, talked about, and witnessed student apathy. Any attempt to remedy the situation has been to little or no avail. Why? I am not sure but I would make an educated guess that a mass of people cannot easily be changed. However, a mass with little or no meaning. What does it mean to be a nice thought! Slowly diminish and eventually it might even perish. What extent. By some extent, I mean to say that it is necessary to neglect the basic economic supply was always there, but for whites who wanted to buy demand for something. The supply was always there, but African never searched Europe for a shorter period of time than Germany has a nation treated its slaves—indeed it was the other way around. Mr. Eisenhaure asks, "Is there any nation which treats a minority better?" To such a rhetorical question I can only add that perhaps the thinking of Mr. Eisenhaure's report, and under normal circumstances a further waste of time on Mr. Eisenhaure's report would not be needed.

However, Mr. Eisenhaure's comments are not uniquely strange to him, but rather are representative of the thinking of many white Americans. Often white racists in America have attempted to hide, or modify their racist attitudes by saying, as Mr. Eisenhaure did, that because Africans went to the slave trade, they are equally responsible for the resulting hell Africans went through. If white men had not been so greedy and lazy that they had to make other men do their work for them, then perhaps the history of African slavery could have been changed. To say that Africans must share the blame for slavery, is to neglect the basic economic principles. To say that there must be a demand for something. The supply was always there, but African never searched Europe for whites who wanted to buy slaves—indeed it was the other way around.

Mr. Eisenhaure asks, "Is there any nation which treats a minority better?" To such a rhetorical question I can only add that somewhere in Germany has a nation treated its minority better. — the difference being that the Nazis were around for a shorter period of time than their white American counterparts.

If you have ever heard Dick Gregory prior to his appearance at Suffolk University, you know that he has summed up his position many times in regard to those who would say that America is morally degenerate by saying, "I am just here to tell you that your house is on fire, it's up to you whether to get out of your seat and check it out." It's obvious... Mr. G. would prefer to remain in his seat.
Sincerely,

Diane M. Baltozer

To The Editor:

"Special Edition No. 2," of the Suffolk Journal published today, May 6, 1970, carries an "Editorial Statement" to the effect that the Editors and Staff of the Journal "support a complete strike until the end of the present semester" and "further urge the faculty and administration to vote favorably on the Eleniport-Albach resolution." This "editorial statement" is followed by the notation "Editors and Staff of the Suffolk Journal indicating, it would appear, that all editors and staff members, or a majority of them, voted in favor of the above editorial statement. (Special Edition No. 2 as attached.)

In view of the Suffolk Journal issued prior to the Special Editions No. 1 and No. 2, I am listed in the masthead as copy editor of the Journal; several articles written by me have also appeared in issues other than Special Editions 1 and 2.

As a member of the Journal, I was not approached for my opinion on the subject of the proposed strike. I would have been willing to have you, and the other members of the Journal Staff or the Editors, invite me to visit a REAL,hoe Christian in Action and the Paulist Center at 5 Park Street in Boston. But boy what a shock - these guys are not just priests - they're Christians; they're so Christian that non-Catholics are welcome and a good sized percentage of our twelve-hundred member community each Sunday is not Catholic and is not considering converting. They like what these guys say, so they come to hear it.

Each Sunday at 3:30 p.m. and again at 6:15 p.m. three fantastic, realistically hip priests present it to you. If a film or slide show gets a Christian message across, they use it. When did you ever hear the Pope build a Mass around Ecology Day or Martin Luther King's assassination, or a theme of "Peace Touch" - several weeks before Dick Summer began his Loving Touch campaign?

This all sounds so much like a Polyphony, Solidarity-typespiel, I know. It sounds like I'm trying to sell you something. In a way, I am Bob. Because you do seem to want to hear and see all you can, it's worth your while to come and meet some people who don't fit the description of modern church-goers you wrote for the Journal. We're just a minority; but we must be doing something influential - we recently came to such difficult terms with the "old church" type you spoke of that we had to threaten to take over the building in order to move twelve hundred people out of an auditorium and into the Chapel to say mass for them."

"So for a selfish reason I am writing you, if people like you who express disgust, or boredom, or others, people like yours about which or less say " Gee whiz, I wish we could..." won't have to be printed, we won't have to wish for anything. I hope you've persevered throughout this essay. Please do consider coming some Sunday to one of the two services I've mentioned to you. You'll have the satisfaction of seeing honest Christianity and we'll have a chance to see somebody else who thinks like we do.

Sincerely yours,

Katie Perris

To Bob Lahn:

Your article in the Journal on The Son of Man program compelled me to write this letter. Many of your thoughts on the program, on the personality of Christ and Christianity and organized church are reflections that have been inside me for a very long time. I think I understand the final paragraph of your article which seems to take organized "Church" and toss it into a waste basket along with Cannon Law, the Kynthion Method, and Mass Cards. For many years I sat in churches on Sunday to please my parents who were pleased to have everybody see that their daughter was a good Catholic - God knew different - he didn't hear any prayers or praise from me. So, I sound like the typical hypocrite Catholic who attends church and doesn't believe in the Church. I was. But my sentiments on Christianity haven't prevented me from staying in the framework of an organized church.

Because you seem to express in all of your Journal articles a strong desire to learn and hear all you can about Boston and its people and the places and people who affect them, I would like to invite you to visit a REAL, HONEST-TO-GOODNESS, CHRISTIAN CHURCH. Hard to believe? That's what I thought the first time I attended the services at the Paulist Center at 5 Park Street in Boston. But boy what a shock - these guys are not just priests - they're Christians; they're so Christian that non-Catholics are welcome and a good sized percentage of our twelve-hundred member community each Sunday is not Catholic and is not considering converting. They like what these guys say, so they come to hear it.

Each Sunday at 3:30 p.m. and again at 6:15 p.m. three fantastic, realistically hip priests present it to you. If a film or slide show gets a Christian message across, they use it. When did you ever hear the Pope build a Mass around Ecology Day or Martin Luther King's assassination, or a theme of "Peace Touch" - several weeks before Dick Summer began his Loving Touch campaign?

This all sounds so much like a Polyphony, Solidarity-typespiel, I know. It sounds like I'm trying to sell you something. In a way, I am Bob. Because you do seem to want to hear and see all you can, it's worth your while to come and meet some people who don't fit the description of modern church-goers you wrote for the Journal. We're just a minority; but we must be doing something influential - we recently came to such difficult terms with the "old church" type you spoke of that we had to threaten to take over the building in order to move twelve hundred people out of an auditorium and into the Chapel to say mass for them."

"So for a selfish reason I am writing you, if people like you who express disgust, or boredom, or others, people like yours about which or less say " Gee whiz, I wish we could..." won't have to be printed, we won't have to wish for anything. I hope you've persevered throughout this essay. Please do consider coming some Sunday to one of the two services I've mentioned to you. You'll have the satisfaction of seeing honest Christianity and we'll have a chance to see somebody else who thinks like we do.

Sincerely yours,

Katie Perris

Poem

-The wheel of the law turns without pause.

After the rain, good weather.

The universe throws off its muddy clothes.

For ten thousand miles the landscape

spreads out like a beautiful brocade.

Light breezes. Smiling flowers.

High in the trees, amongst the sparkling leaves,

all the birds sing at once.

Men and animals rise up-as for something.

What could be more natural?

After sorrow, comes joy.

We concur with the above:

Diane M. Baltozer

Joyce Duggan

Ed. Note: You're all fired!

Ed. Note- You're all fired!
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See you next Fall...

if there is a next Fall...

the Journal


doesn't make Lust

LOST HISTORY
Notes from Central Square

by Katie Purvis

"We blew it! Damn, we blew it!" exclaimed Sigma Sigma Sigma in the middle of the night. The news had spread quickly through the university, and the Sigma Sigma Sigma sisters were among the first to hear it.

Delta Sigma Pi would like to take this opportunity to congratulate its new brothers who were initiated on April 18th.

On Friday, May 8th the chapter held its Annual Installation Banquet which served a twofold purpose; first, new brothers were presented with their fraternity pins, and second, new chapter officers were installed. Bob Brennan was elected and will serve as next years president.

As the years President Harold Stone and Stanley Dennis attended the banquet. Mr. Stone, outgoing faculty advisor, was presented a certificate for his devoted service to the fraternity. Mr. Dennis, who will replace Mr. Stone, performed his first duty in his new capacity, the paddling of all new brothers. Let it be known that the position of faculty advisor requires much more time and effort than was witnessed by Professor Dennis' first performance.

To round out the years activities, Delta Sigma Pi is planning a Beach Party in the early part of June, and graduating seniors are formulating plans to attend those activities during Junior-Senior Week.

In a dinner honoring the birth of young Lory Kooyoomjian recently arrived daughter to Carl Kooyoomjian and Phyllis Kooyoomjian (his wife), Derek Sanderlin, the uncle center for the IPs gave a mad talk on the benefits of fostering one another. May, he will be George LeFfee for arranging Derek's appearance.

The super-fabulous Alumni Banquet was held at the Chateau de Ville. It was attended by 1,500 people at $10.00 a plate. The fraternity broke even.


The brothers of Teke recently collected 300 dollars for fellow frater Dan Thomas and St. Jude Hospital. The brothers would like to thank last year's officers for a job well done. Watch for this bunch of initiating hierarchies in their next plan, which we plan to bust open the school.

Pledges of Gamma Sigma Sigma were installed into the sisterhood May 5, 1970 in the President's Conference Room.

Webley thanks to Jackie Fentome for his stirring lecture at the Alumni Banquet. We didn't know that making pretzels could be so interesting.

In a meeting scheduled to be held next December 27 the brothers will decide whether to spend their summer at a Summer Camp sponsored by the local bar: "The Sportsbar" (Pop Warner football league, team will be given to the 1969 brothers), or to go on a two month tour of Continental Europe with stops at London, Paris, Madrid, Prague, Helmsinki and Medford. It's a tough decision.

Webley.

The Suffolk Journal May 20, 1970
Dr. Georgi Seeblot (L), Chairman of the Board of Trustees, announced today that Suffolk would follow the lead of our local sister school, and lower the tuition costs.

The announcement was made during a Trustee-Faculty dinner. (bottom photo)

A unique feature of the plan is that faculty members voted to reduce their pay in order to effect the new tuition schedules. History Prof. Ed Hootman and friend, (right photo) show elation as the vote was announced.

The principal reason for voting for a pay reduction, says one informed observer, was that the professors agreed that their workload has been halved since the discontinuance of class attendance and unannounced quizzes.

Francis Z. Flannel, Treasurer of the University, has started refunding payments for students who had paid in advance for next year. Charles Flunk of Burlap, N.H., (right photo) counts his refund and heads for the bookstore. The University Bookstore will announce shortly that, effective next Fall, all textbook prices will be doubled. High costs of labor are the reasons for the increases, said Prentice and Hall, bookstore co-managers, in an exclusive Journal interview.

Another feature of the new tuition payment will be the revival of an ancient Suffolk custom, "The offering of the Ram." The Ram Tuition Plan can be elected by any student in the College of Business Administration. A Late Ram Fee will be charged to any student not paying on time. All rams will be turned over to a worthy charity (the Cafeteria) after the weigh-in at the Accounting Office. Hiram Lamb (upper left) makes Late Ram Fee payment.
The Scourge of the Vending Machine

Those were hard times for Americans. A general famine was evident everywhere. Students were especially hard hit, being so pressed for time. Bread lines formed in cafeterias and restaurants where tortured by growing hunger pains, they gazed hopelessly at the selections. Proud by nature, the humiliation forced upon them was the most difficult burden to bear. How degrading to be late to their classes or jobs, how the brownie or sandwich in their fists, unfairly denouncing their way back. Then, hands sweating, opening the wrapper, knowing all the time that the teacher or supervisor could hear that tell-tale CRACKLE. Invariably, as soon as a suitable moment arrived, and a tiny, tasteless morsel had been surreptitiously popped into their mouths, someone would hurry up, hoping to expose their sins. What degradation! What violation of self-esteem! America, if it had to find a solution.

America, if it did proud to the world, had to find a solution. Inevitably, as soon as a student was caught passing a brownie or sandwich in their fists, apologetically finding their seats in their late always to their classes or jobs, hiding the brownie or sandwich in their fists. Proud by nature, the humiliation forced upon them was the most difficult burden to bear. How degrading to be late to their classes or jobs, how the brownie or sandwich in their fists, unfairly denouncing their way back. Then, hands sweating, opening the wrapper, knowing all the time that the teacher or supervisor could hear that tell-tale CRACKLE. Invariably, as soon as a suitable moment arrived, and a tiny, tasteless morsel had been surreptitiously popped into their mouths, someone would hurry up, hoping to expose their sins. What degradation! What violation of self-esteem! America, if it had to find a solution.

The time was ripe for a leader to emerge. The old answers simply were not worth the time-consuming, image-wrecking, antediluvian solutions of the past. Otto D. Vending, the son of an immigrant, provided that machinery to all major cities. He had a plan that, with a little development, would help to shape the destiny of Vending America. His idea was this: If there were a way to put snaks, cigarettes and the other vital needs of men into a machine, which operated simply by putting a coin in, pulling a lever and grabbing up the selection, the people of America after some time, the whole country, the whole world, with a system which would supply VENDING machines to all major cities, even had hopes for a new program, which he entitled VENDING FREE EUROPE, whereby the less fortunate people could benefit from American convenience.

In the beginning of his career, Otto Vending had presided over all the workings of his VENDING plants. But now, his political duties took him elsewhere, and a chain of competitive manufacturers, VENDOMAT, VENDOMATIC, SUPERVEN, and VENDALOT were formed, whose products were distinctly inferior. The American public, however, was so swept up by Vending fever, that those poisons of the VENDING name slipped by unnoticed. And after some time, the whole industry had become corrupted, each trying to get out, while others, who could not seem to get out, were so lucky. There was one poor soul who had to make two rounds of the elevator before he could even get out!

Then, of course, there's the 'Room for one more' guys. These are generally the heavily weighted who insist upon getting on to an already overfilled elevator. Everyone holds their breath. But, like a soldier she stands waiting for orders, thankless job everyday, getting more than her share of use and abuse. But, like a soldier she stands waiting for orders, thankless job everyday, getting more than her share of use and abuse.

May 20, 1970

Ellie's Ups & Downs

Ellie is a well mannered Suffolk Elevator. She does a thankless job everyday, standing more than her share of use and abuse. But, like a soldier she stands waiting for orders from any one wishing to dictate them at the push of a finger.

People take Ellie for granted, though, not realizing that, if it wasn't for her, shoe leather would be worn out in a matter of weeks from climbing stairs. Or they don't appreciate the fact that she insures vitality and vigor for the habitual user, by reserving energy that would normally be spent in stair-climbing.

But Ellie is more than just an elevator. She provides a melting pot for old friends, and a great place for making new friends. What better way to get acquainted than by stepping on to a floor? There is a good "atmosphere" in Ellie, although it is a bit smokey, and the cleanliness give one the feeling of kinship with fellow passengers. Ellie and her sister are amazing pieces of machinery. Unlike most elevators, they have an enormous capacity. With barely sliding stairs, they grind along between floors, taking on more passengers than discharging. Defying all laws of gravity, they perform their tasks in a never-ending cycle.

Ellie teaches the sixth floor sign, the triumph and calling for more passengers to make the descent to the fifth, fourth, or even second floors. Her doors roll open, and about thirty tons of people spill out on to the corridor floor, smoke billowing above them. Amiss passengers, wanting to go down, crowd around the door, even before it opens, and push into the crowd trying to get out.

What a mess! People shoving, push, kick and bite trying to get into the elevator, while those inside have to fight to get out. The door closes, cutting the crowd in half, some are fortunate to make the trip down, while others, who could not seem to get out, are so lucky. There was one poor soul who had to make two rounds of the elevator before he could even get out!

Then, of course, there's the 'Room for one more' guys. These are generally the heavily weighted who insist upon getting on to an already overfilled elevator. Everyone holds their breath. (Continued on Page 11)
I gave a speech in Public Speaking 1.1Z on The Relative Problems of Reapportionment in New York State. I cut out, a map of New York State and mounted it on a piece of cardboard covered with red construction paper. Then I carefully outlined some districts with a blue felt marker to make my speech more “visual.”

After the speech was over I had another class, on the 8th floor. On the way up I wondered what I should do with the “visual aid.” I didn’t think I would need it again since I seldom discuss reapportionment except in class, so I decided to put it in the trash. But the cardboard rectangle with the “visual aid” I didn’t think I would need it again.

After the speech was over I had another class, on the Relative Problems of Reapportionment in New York State. I cut out, a map of New York State and mounted it on a piece of cardboard covered with red construction paper. Then I carefully outlined some districts with a blue felt marker to make my speech more “visual.”

After the speech was over I had another class, on the 8th floor. On the way up I wondered what I should do with the “visual aid.” I didn’t think I would need it again since I seldom discuss reapportionment except in class, so I decided to put it in the trash. But the cardboard rectangle with the “visual aid” I didn’t think I would need it again.

Finally I was allowed to enter, the plush, inner office. There, seated under the portrait of former Dean George R. Jenkins, were most, or all, of the present Deans. There are no many Deans that I’m not sure whether “most” or “all” of them were present.

The Dean of Students held out a document and said to me, “Is this yours?”

“Yes,” I replied, recognizing my Victorian prose modest which had been put on file in the English department as are all exams and papers of that department.

“Yes,” I replied, recognizing my Victorian prose modest which had been put on file in the English department as are all exams and papers of that department.

“I am this yours?”

“Yes,” I said, recognizing my first semester schedule card which I had filled out in outplacement to be filled in all the offices of the institution.

“I am this yours?”
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And did you or did you not give a speech in Public Speaking 1.1Z on the Relative Problems of Reapportionment in New York State?”

“Treasurer, appointed. Trustee.

How I Received Disciplinary Probation From An Institute of Higher Learning For Being Too Free
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How I Received Disciplinary Probation From An Institute of Higher Learning For Being Too Free
The Second Coming

Turning and turning in the widening gyre
The falcon cannot hear the falconer;
Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold;
Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world,
The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere
The ceremony of innocence is drowned;
The best lack all conviction, while the worst
Are full of passionate intemity.

Surely some revelation is at hand;
Surely the Second Coming is at hand.
The Second Coming! Hardly are those words out
When a vast image out of Spiritus Mundi
Troubles my sight: somewhere in sands of the desert
A shape with lion body and the head of a man,
A gaze blank and pitiless as the sun,
Is moving its slow thighs, while all about it
Reel shadows of the indignant desert birds.
The darkness drops again; but now I know
That twenty centuries of stony sleep
Were vexed to nightmare by a rocking cradle,
And what rough beast, its hour come round at last,
Slinks towards Bethlehem to be born?

W.B. Yeats
The Lighter Side of Womans Liberation

I'd rather be a quarterback, not a cheerleader.

I've been reclassified... I-A!!!

I'm liberated!!! (whatever that means)
A Proposal—
College of Liberal
Arts and Sciences

In addition to the degrees listed in the catalog for 1969-1970, there will be available for the school year of 1970-1971 a new degree, B.G.W. This will be available for both evening and day students, during the fall, spring, and summer terms or at any other available times. The normal time for completion depends upon the desires of the individual student.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Every candidate for this degree must complete a total of 124 hours.

Every student is expected to select a field for concentrated study. There are several majors available in addition to those listed in the catalog; these majors will depend upon the desires of the individual student.

English 1.1-1.2 - Since the writing of the English language depends upon the choice of the individual, some other course may be substituted.

History 1.1-1.2 - Since any events before 1970 have no relevance, some other course may be substituted.

Humanities Option

Science 1.1-1.2 - Since the earth at the present time is so polluted that a study of it is not relevant, some other course may be substituted.

Social Sciences Option

Economics 1.1-1.2 - Since any work of art depends upon the choice of the individual, some other course may be substituted.

Biological and Physical Sciences

Science 1.3-1.4 - A study of plant life and the human body is not relevant since the exploitation of genes will change the whole structure; therefore some other course may be substituted.

Foreign Language

Since everybody will be speaking English, or not speaking at all, the study of any language is not relevant; some other course may be substituted.

This program will obviously fit a student for whatever happens in the future, enabling him to adjust to any environment and to do his own thing.

All courses may be taken on a pass-fail basis.

The unlimited cut system is further extended to cover the examination period.

It is the hope of the students that the older generation - in this case, the faculty - will cease to be hypocrites and grow; the students the degree they deserve: B.G.W.

Bachelor Guess What

Aram’s and Moshe’s

In observing how city neighborhoods band together to fight for some cause or other, one gets used to thinking of them as unified entities, pitied against other neighborhoods or against the city as a whole. One is seldom aware of loud conflicts within a tightly-knit community.

But in Boston’s Back Bay section, a small war has been smoldering and flaring for over 20 years.

Aram’s Nile, a Egyptian-American catering service on Massachusetts Ave., was established some 85 years ago. After many years of floundering in the catering business, Aram’s began to build a sizable clientele in the Back Bay. From a small store-front on Garrison St., the business expanded to a posh two-story spot on Massachusetts Ave. Moshe’s boy, using an ingenious system of blocks and tackles, hijacked a complete catered wedding and delivered it to another address as part of Moshe’s new international foods service.

Soon the conflict attracted attention. The United Sewing Amalgam of the Back Bay came out in support of Moshe Stone, furnishing him with an army of muscular delivery boys, thereby greatly increasing his range.

Not to be undone by its long-time rival, The Unemployed Stonemason’s Service Association announced its support for Aram’s. This Back Bay organization equipped Aram’s delivery boys with bone china dishes and roller skates. Aram’s boys experienced some difficulty adjusting to the new gear, however they kept falling off the skates and breaking the dishes.

The conflict spread until it dominated conversation across the city. Partisans brawled in East Boston and Dorchester, while City Council members received threats at council meetings during all-night sessions. The two large rival groups issued veiled threats at each other. Citizens in other parts of the city formed delivery brigades to assist their side and mounted collection drives for used sneakers.

The issue has been boiling off and on this way since 1948, with no signs of letting up. But there is one notable development: The demand for catering and home food delivery in the Back Bay has plummeted.

Positions

Open

Suffolk Journal

NEEDS COMPETENT PERSONAL

WE OFFER THE FOLLOWING BENEFITS

A) VOLUNTARY WORK BASIS LITTLE OFTEN NO PAY

B) UNATTRACTIVE HOURS (USUALLY RUINING YOUR ENTIRE DAY)

C) EXCESSIVE FRUSTRATION (THE SYSTEM IS OFTEN DEPRESSING)

D) NO RETRIBUTION (DON’T LOOK FOR THANKS BECAUSE THERE ARE NONE)

APPLY IMMEDIATELY

LIMITED JOBS AVAILABLE

Suffolk U. Army R.O.T.C. unit drills on Boston Common.
Scenes from annual summer training program of the S.U. Air Force R.O.T.C. If you are interested in good pay and adventure, contact Major Edward G. Hartmann, U.S.A.F.

Brothers of Alpha Phi Omega pose for annual photo in their new quarters in the Ridgeway Lane Building. The brothers will perform for the N.E. School of the Deaf next Sunday at 3:00 p.m. Admission is free, and earplugs will be available for visitors.

Probation (Continued from Page 11)

"aid" was a front for something sinister. They had learned from the Federal Bureau of Investigation that the areas which I had carefully marked off with blue felt marker were areas where huge quantities of marijuana grew wild. And although the FBI said I was not on their list of suspected pushers or informers, I could still be involved in the business. And although they could not prosecute me on the basis of my map, they would put me on their list of suspects. The Dean said he was informing me of this action by the FBI for my own protection and perhaps rehabilitation.

The Dean also said that although the institution could not do anything about my "suspected" contacts with the drug world, they could do something about my behavior at the institution.

Since I had used the institution to "push" this information on wild marijuana, the institution had legal rights to protect itself and would do just that.

The Dean then told me what rules of the institution I had failed to comply with. Reading from a copy of the student guide he quoted: "No student shall dispense materials of a propaganda nature in the institution, on the steps of the institution or within 84 feet of the buildings of the institution." I had, according to the Dean, dispensed propaganda in the very halls of the institution and was therefore guilty.

Furthermore, he told me I had failed to comply with rule 37 which stated that "no student's messages, sale items, want ads, announcements, cancellations or other written materials" could be posted anywhere in the institution except "on the bulletin board outside the faculty lounge in the old chemistry building." And still further, each of these items had to be authorized by the Dean of Exchanges and/or the president's secretary.

"You have obviously failed to heed this directive," the Dean of Exchanges said with finality. "This is serious. We must not allow just any student to put his own items all over the institution. It would turn into a veritable montage of amateur graffiti and nonsense," he surmised.

I thought it wouldn't be such a bad idea, but of course I didn't say that to the Dean of Exchanges.

"We cannot let this blatant disregard for the regulations of the institution go unheeded," said the Dean of Academic Affairs as he sighed and looked pained.

Having finished charging me with breaking rules 37, 19 and 2, the Dean of Students softly said, "We will be forced to put you on academic probation for the coming semester."

I nodded, also softly, in keeping with the atmosphere of the room and then broke for the corridor to have a long chuckle.

The moral of this story is, friends, if you want to get the Establishment up tight and see them perform their tricks, just do something free and beautiful, maybe for continuing education.
ED NOTE: There has been much controversy, speculation and a lack of information regarding the non-renewal of the contract of Dr. Gordon Brumm, SU philosophy department. Previous attempts of the JOURNAL to publish concerning the issue were at best discouraged and in other cases, intimidated. These are the facts compiled by a special investigatory team of the JOURNAL, Elliott Cleiman, Linda Frawley, Robert Jahn, Edward Wickham.

THE BASIC FACTS

On December 1, 1969, Dr. Brumm was told by Dean Grunewald that his contract would not be renewed for the following year. Dr. Grunewald gave, as the one and only reason, an extremely negative evaluation by Dr. Sahakian, Philosophy Department Chairman.

The student evaluations were heavily favorable (66% of the scores were "superior": 26% were "competent": 6% "fair": 1% "poor").

In his statement to the faculty committee, Brumm claimed chiefly that the evidence showed his teaching to be entirely adequate by any reasonable standard, and that in any event no serious attempt was made to evaluate his teaching; that events indicated the reason for non-renewal came from outside the Department; and that past actions by the Administration and/or the Chairman indicated pressure against his speaking out on political or semi-political issues (his main activities having been in connection with the Coalition for New Politics; this leading to the conclusion that the alleged academic reasons were in fact political, the real reasons for the non-renewal were in violation of academic freedom.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee expression only a burden of proof; the burden of proving a violation of academic freedom. The Committee believes it is constituted for the sole purpose of answering the narrow question of whether Dr. Brumm sustained his burden of proving a violation of academic freedom. The committee believes it is constituted for the sole purpose of answering the narrow question of whether Dr. Brumm sustained his burden of proving a violation of academic freedom.

In 1968-69, the scores were "superior"; 26% were "competent"; 6% "fair"; 1% "poor".

It should be noted that the Committee expressed only a final decision to the narrow question of academic freedom - not the question of academic freedom. A number of questions arose in the course of the hearing, casting doubt on the conclusion that Brumm was let go for proper academic reasons. The Committee did not announce its decisions on those questions, either for their own sake or as necessary steps on the path to the final decision it did render.

Among these questions are the following. They can be checked by reference to appropriate parts of the hearing transcript. Their base here is memory and written notes taken during the hearing:

1) Much of the burden of the Brumm appeal fell on the testimony of Dr. Pearl. Yet Mrs. Dushku testified that on February 2 (the exact date should be in the transcript), Dr. Pearl approached her about a "tumult" and implied a "signal, to avoid controversial questions, either for their own sake or as necessary steps on the path to the final decision it did render.

2) The roofing of the Brumm appeal fell on the testimony of Dr. Pearl. Yet Mrs. Dushku testified that on February 2 (the exact date should be in the transcript), Dr. Pearl approached her about a "tumult" and implied a "signal, to avoid controversial questions, either for their own sake or as necessary steps on the path to the final decision it did render.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)

Members of the Committee were Prof. Clark, Unger, Maroti, and Stone. Prof. Ronayne sat in as observer from the Educational Policy Committee. An observer from the AAPP was also present.

Dr. Brumm, the Administration, and the Committee were all represented by legal counsel. The rules of the hearing were much the same as in a court of law, with each side entitled to call witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses and Review.

The Administration's counter-claim to the Committee was that the effect of the reasons for non-renewal were Brumm's "failure to measure up to the teaching standards required by the Department of Philosophy and his incompetence was to be found in Department." (The main points cited in support of the charge concerning 1968-69, and Brumm's course enrollments. The charge of incompetence also depended largely on alleged student complaints.)
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Issues

Raised By The

Dr. Gordon Brumm

Case

The events in this case exemplify certain issues which bear on the worth of Suffolk as an educational institution, and on the degree of justice which can be expected by faculty. Among these are the following:

WHAT ARE THE STANDARDS FOR TEACHING AT SUFFOLK?

Are teachers expected to aim at the lowest common denominator? Is popularity to be equated with value? Must a teacher popularize or perish?

Given the heterogeneity of the Suffolk student body, should specific groups of students (e.g., those with greater ability or interest, or those desiring to go to graduate school) be able to receive the type of education most appropriate to them?

WHAT SHOULD BE THE ROLE OF ALL STUDENT EVALUATION OF FACULTY?

Should a chairman consider whatever student responses he may receive, in making his evaluation (e.g., noting the complaints which come in to him without soliciting opinions from other students)? Should a chairman be guided by a systematic poll of student opinion?

If student evaluations enter into decisions regarding one faculty member, should they enter into decisions regarding all?

WHAT AUTHORITY SHOULD THE DEPARTMENTAL CHAIRMEN HAVE?

Should evaluation of faculty—as well as such matters as curriculum—be left to the discretion of the chairman, or shared with other faculty and students? Should the chairman be allowed the opportunity to exercise arbitrary authority, and should he be allowed, in having this authority, to provide any reason he may choose for a decision, thereby perhaps masking the real reason?

WHAT ARE THE ALLOWABLE LIMITS OF DISSENT—POLITICAL AND EDUCATIONAL TO BE ALLOWED FACULTY AND STUDENTS? (THIS INVOLVED THE QUESTION OF THE DEFINITION OF "PROFESSION CONDUCT");

(If it is to be hoped that expression of any political opinion will be protected.)

To what degree are faculty and students to be protected in publicly criticizing the policy of the school?

To what degree are faculty and students to be protected in joining together for purposes of criticism, or any other purpose?

The facts stated in the above articles can be verified in the official transcript of the Dr. Gordon Brumm Case, which is now in the hands of the Board of Trustees.

At their option, the Trustees could verify or refute what the Suffolk Journal has said via publication of the transcript.

EMC

Graduates of Business School

Salesman

Pick up Lease, 1970 Dodge Dairit

Swinger, Blue, Tax Deductible

Available July 15th. 8 months

Already Paid: Excellent Condition.

Call 542-9646 (week days) 523-2235 Evenings.

18 Year Old

Vote Will Not Pass This Year

WASHINGTON (CP) — The voting age will not be lowered by legislation in the current session of Congress, and chances for passage of a constitutional amendment to allow 18 year olds to vote are considered slim.

This is the view of most observers here despite the Senate passage of the Mansfield amendment to the Voting Rights Bill which would allow 18 year olds to vote in all elections after January 1, 1971. The bill now goes to the House of Representatives. If the House refuses to agree to the Senate amendment, as expected, the question will be left to a House-Senate Conference Committee whose chairman, Rep. Emanuel Celler (D-NY) has vowed to "fight like hell" to kill the bill.

Since Celler, as House Judiciary Committee chairman, will appoint a majority of the House conferees, it can be expected they will not be sympathetic to the idea of lowering the voting age. Rep. William McCulloch (R-Ohio), the ranking Republican on the Judiciary Committee, will appoint Republican committee members. He is believed to feel the issue should not be decided without hearings, which the House has not held.

Although the Senate overwhelmingly passed the Mansfield amendment, its conferees are not expected to be much more disposed toward the 18 year old vote. Both Senators James Eastland (D-Miss.), the Judiciary Committee chairman, and Roman Hruska (R-Neb.), the ranking Republican, voted with the minority on the motion to table, and therefore, kill, the Mansfield amendment. The motion lost by a vote of 21-2.

Senator Mansfield conceded there would be "some difficulty" in getting the bill past the conference Celler seemed more certain, saying "Constitutional defense would be much more disposed toward the 18 year old vote." Both Senators Eastland and Roman Hruska (R-Neb.), the ranking Republican, voted with the minority on the motion to table, and therefore, kill, the Mansfield amendment. The motion lost by a vote of 21-2.

Senator Mansfield conceded there would be "some difficulty" in getting the bill past the conference Celler seemed more certain, saying "Constitutional defense would be much more disposed toward the 18 year old vote."
Interview with President of Student Government Bill McIsaac

Question. What role does the Student Govt Assoc play in this recent strike effort? Answer. S.G.A. supports the strike effort and is doing everything possible to be an effective intermediary between the strike steering committee and the administration.

Question. Who composes the strike steering committee? Answer. It is comprised of the Chairman, Allan Barnett, Geoffrey Ritterman, Robert Stander, Dennis, and Mrs. Dushku. It is also comprised of a representative of the Graduate School and the Evening division.

Question. How were these people elected? Answer. They were elected on Wednesday, May 5, in the cafeteria by a majority vote of the students present with the purpose to discuss the strike. The recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13.

Question. Do you personally support the recommendations of the faculty meeting which concluded on Monday, May 11, and whose recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13. Answer. No, because I feel that as spokesman for the entire student population I must protect and preserve the rights of everyone to a fair grading system. I feel that seniors, along with other students, are being discriminated against. Students electing to take final exams in June are not allowed by the faculty recommendations stated on May 4. To clarify this point it means that a student has to elect to take exams in June as scheduled or take some marks as of May 4 and the remainder of his courses will require finals in August. This is how it stands as of the faculty recommendations stated on May 4.

Question. What improvements would you like to see the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. Since 1943, the two highest offices, Secretary-General, and Executive Director of the NMUN, have been "ping-ponged" back and forth by students from either Harvard University or Princeton University. Therefore, however, the chairman and the co-chairman of our own delegation Marshall Salzman and Kevin O'Donnell, were elected to the highest office to elect to give them to put up their positions on the delegation. And by this appointment the chairmanship of the Zambian delegation was given to me.

Question. Why do you think we elect a young man. We're not living in an undeclared war? Answer. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you support President Nixon's recent movement of troops into Cambodia? Answer. No. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you feel that a Senator should be allowed as Commander in Chief to send American troops into an undeclared war? Answer. I feel that decisions involving America as a whole should not be made by one man but rather with the approval of Congress who are supposed to be the representatives of the people.

Question. This so called "Steering Committee" seems to have taken over the whole strike situation. How does the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. The S.G.A. is very much involved in the strike. An example of this is the addendum which was formulated by the Government officials in conjunction with the steering committee. The Government is unable to give to the strike 100% effort because 100% of the student body doesn't support it. I said before, the S.G.A. supports the strike effort in a constructive way but the S.G.A. must also carry on the daily business of the University such as the upcoming Government elections.

Question. Don't you feel that the elections are insignificant compared to the strike effort on the part of the University? Answer. No! In order to have adequate student representation in the University next year the offices being vacated this year must be filled, in order to have a viable student body at the beginning of the next school year.

Question. What improvements would you like to see enacted in the next session of student govt? Answer. I would like to see more committees set up and managed by S.G.A. members. This will serve to investigate student requests and enact appropriate legislation. These committees will be in the future like the strike steering committee.

Question. Who composes the strike steering committee? Answer. It is comprised of the Chairman, Allan Barnett, Geoffrey Ritterman, Robert Stander, Dennis, and Mrs. Dushku. It is also comprised of a representative of the Graduate School and the Evening division.

Question. How were these people elected? Answer. They were elected on Wednesday, May 5, in the cafeteria by a majority vote of the students present with the purpose to discuss the strike. The recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13.

Question. Do you personally support the recommendations of the faculty meeting which concluded on Monday, May 11, and whose recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13? Answer. No, because I feel that as spokesman for the entire student population I must protect and preserve the rights of everyone to a fair grading system. I feel that seniors, along with other students, are being discriminated against. Students electing to take final exams in June are not allowed by the faculty recommendations stated on May 4. To clarify this point it means that a student has to elect to take exams in June as scheduled or take some marks as of May 4 and the remainder of his courses will require finals in August. This is how it stands as of the faculty recommendations stated on May 4.

Question. What improvements would you like to see the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. Since 1943, the two highest offices, Secretary-General, and Executive Director of the NMUN, have been "ping-ponged" back and forth by students from either Harvard University or Princeton University. Therefore, however, the chairman and the co-chairman of our own delegation Marshall Salzman and Kevin O'Donnell, were elected to the highest office to elect to give them to put up their positions on the delegation. And by this appointment the chairmanship of the Zambian delegation was given to me.

Who do you support President Nixon's recent movement of troops into Cambodia? Answer. No. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you support President Nixon's recent movement of troops into Cambodia? Answer. No. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you feel that a Senator should be allowed as Commander in Chief to send American troops into an undeclared war? Answer. I feel that decisions involving America as a whole should not be made by one man but rather with the approval of Congress who are supposed to be the representatives of the people.

Question. This so called "Steering Committee" seems to have taken over the whole strike situation. How does the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. The S.G.A. is very much involved in the strike. An example of this is the addendum which was formulated by the Government officials in conjunction with the steering committee. The Government is unable to give to the strike 100% effort because 100% of the student body doesn't support it. I said before, the S.G.A. supports the strike effort in a constructive way but the S.G.A. must also carry on the daily business of the University such as the upcoming Government elections.

Question. Don't you feel that the elections are insignificant compared to the strike effort on the part of the University? Answer. No! In order to have adequate student representation in the University next year the offices being vacated this year must be filled, in order to have a viable student body at the beginning of the next school year.

Question. What improvements would you like to see enacted in the next session of student govt? Answer. I would like to see more committees set up and managed by S.G.A. members. This will serve to investigate student requests and enact appropriate legislation. These committees will be in the future like the strike steering committee.

Question. Who composes the strike steering committee? Answer. It is comprised of the Chairman, Allan Barnett, Geoffrey Ritterman, Robert Stander, Dennis, and Mrs. Dushku. It is also comprised of a representative of the Graduate School and the Evening division.

Question. How were these people elected? Answer. They were elected on Wednesday, May 5, in the cafeteria by a majority vote of the students present with the purpose to discuss the strike. The recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13.

Question. Do you personally support the recommendations of the faculty meeting which concluded on Monday, May 11, and whose recommendations will be presented to the Board of Trustees on May 13? Answer. No, because I feel that as spokesman for the entire student population I must protect and preserve the rights of everyone to a fair grading system. I feel that seniors, along with other students, are being discriminated against. Students electing to take final exams in June are not allowed by the faculty recommendations stated on May 4. To clarify this point it means that a student has to elect to take exams in June as scheduled or take some marks as of May 4 and the remainder of his courses will require finals in August. This is how it stands as of the faculty recommendations stated on May 4.

Question. What improvements would you like to see the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. Since 1943, the two highest offices, Secretary-General, and Executive Director of the NMUN, have been "ping-ponged" back and forth by students from either Harvard University or Princeton University. Therefore, however, the chairman and the co-chairman of our own delegation Marshall Salzman and Kevin O'Donnell, were elected to the highest office to elect to give them to put up their positions on the delegation. And by this appointment the chairmanship of the Zambian delegation was given to me.

Question. Why do you think we elect a young man. We're not living in an undeclared war? Answer. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you support President Nixon's recent movement of troops into Cambodia? Answer. No. I feel that it will only serve to expand the war in Southeast Asia.

Question. Do you feel that a Senator should be allowed as Commander in Chief to send American troops into an undeclared war? Answer. I feel that decisions involving America as a whole should not be made by one man but rather with the approval of Congress who are supposed to be the representatives of the people.

Question. This so called "Steering Committee" seems to have taken over the whole strike situation. How does the S.G.A. relate itself to this matter? Answer. The S.G.A. is very much involved in the strike. An example of this is the addendum which was formulated by the Government officials in conjunction with the steering committee. The Government is unable to give to the strike 100% effort because 100% of the student body doesn't support it. I said before, the S.G.A. supports the strike effort in a constructive way but the S.G.A. must also carry on the daily business of the University such as the upcoming Government elections.

Question. Don't you feel that the elections are insignificant compared to the strike effort on the part of the University? Answer. No! In order to have adequate student representation in the University next year the offices being vacated this year must be filled, in order to have a viable student body at the beginning of the next school year.

Question. What improvements would you like to see enacted in the next session of student govt? Answer. I would like to see more committees set up and managed by S.G.A. members. This will serve to investigate student requests and enact appropriate legislation. These committees will be in the future like the strike steering committee.
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May 20, 1970

V.A. News

The VA reminded college veterans they must return their certificate of attendance cards during the last full month of each enrollment period.

The current semester will end in May or June for most college students.

V.A. computers are programmed to prepare the final check and spring for the 1969-70 school year only after the certification of the attendance card has been received.

What's more, if the card is not returned by the end of the current semester, the student cannot be automatically enrolled under the G.I. Bill next semester.

College registrars also have a role to play. They must promptly return the veterans re-enrollment certification to the VA so the veteran may start reviewing his checks on schedule before the next semester is in school.

Veteran training affairs attending school below college level must return their certificate of attendance cards to insure uninterrupted payment of their educational allowance checks.

The VA pointed out that failure to complete and return the certification card will automatically stop all future payments to the veteran, servants or dependent.

Everyone attending school under a VA program has the responsibility of keeping the VA informed as to whether there has been a change in the number of dependents, or in the enrollment program.

The VA urged those interested in entering training, or desiring further information, to contact their nearest VA office.

JUNE GRADS

Come Where The Jobs Are!

Free Consultation

Helpful for all

WHO

Note: Saturday Appointment Required

• Sales Training
• Administration
• Technical
• Clerical Office

• Retailing
• Clerical

& TV Commentary

& Movie

Experience & I hope that we maintain our con­

The World has rudely been revealed to many '...

In many ways, Suffolk has been revitalized by the

... in many cases required of students generally. "There aren't enough electives so that a student can choose what he finds significant and

cost, and location to overcome. A broader scope of activities are hoped for in the future. On other topics, someone wanted to know if the New Building might not be named for the late President Richard Dell'Aria. President Fenton suggested that the Board of Trustees. He added that there had been some trouble between Mr. Archer and the Board prior to his association with Suffolk but that he was assured of the Board's support of specific indications. Evidently President Fenton has an unusually long memory for such a position.

The photographer took a few questions and then asked Dean Grunewald why he had removed the "What a dirty word in it from the photography exhibit in the main lobby. It was explained that the function was performed in the interest of good public relations. Suffolk wanted to put its best foot forward for visitors who entered the main lobby. One's first impression should not be a negative word on it. Dissatisfied with this answer, Student Government President Richard H. Hurd asked, "Why not improve it or enlarge it?" The assertion was that an obsolete word had not been removed and that that was the case if all were the case. The panel expressed ignorance of any such word and accused Mr. Dell'Aria for dereliction of duty in not bringing this to their attention earlier.

In a last ditch attempt to stir some sort of controversy, one amiable young lady wanted to know why guest speakers were not published or announced in advance and if this had anything to do with the personal feelings of the panel members toward the speakers. As examples she named Julian Bond, Ray Charles, Bill Baird, and Dick Gregory. The charge was completely refuted in each case and the evidence was made available to her at her convenience. Too bad. The SUFFOLK JOURNAL

T h e S u f f o l k J o u r n a l

The Suffolk University "Press Conference" was held in the auditorium by Paul Eisenhaure last week. Students were seated behind the lecturer's podium and left, taped her sign to the back isle with signs promoting simple private inquiries. There was a small demonstration.

One somewhat got to wonder if Mr. Ellenport of the History Department, acting as moderator, read questions from the panel to her students, sat President John E. Fenton flanked by Deans Sullivan, Strain and Miss Latture. Student turnout for the event could only be termed unimpressive. Most students that did come did so in the back seats under the balcony which left a widegulf between questioners and questioners a gulf, the reporter noted, already existed metaphorically in a number of cases. One person privately mentioned a deep dislike for Providence student for a facility that doesn't the school library get the easily without such a meeting by the students. There are more superficial and mundane. Questions on the whole were somewhat facetious manner, took Johnny-on-the-spot. He pointed arts curriculum was required to pass regardless of his major area.

The question was asked by a student on another subject which makes it difficult to take the much wanted but rather that is a relatively small area and a non-science major should be interested in the general picture rather than specifics. There is also the cumulative nature of the written ones. In the main it centered around that age old debate over what, if anything, should be required of a course.

Someone wanted to know why the results of the teacher evaluations were not published for the benefit of incoming students.

Dean Grunewald explained that this result was obtained in students choosing their courses on the basis of a teacher who is an entertaining personality contents on the merits of the course content. Moreover, when such a student is asked to express his opinion, he is generally undertaken by some body of students rather than by the administration itself.

While the "teacher-course door" was open, someone else asked if a century of freshmen in the liberal arts curriculum was required to take two years of science regardless of his major area. Again, Dean Grunewald explained that science was an important part of every person's education and suggested that four years of it would be even better for students.

President Fenton, in a somewhat facetious manner, took exception to that last remark, stating that some minutes in the audience.

Continuing, Dean Grunewald lamented that there was not a wider variety of science courses offered, but he took stock in the fact that there was a new chairman of the department who planned to stress new areas such as ecology and pollution.

This, however, evoked a flurry of criticism over the number of courses required of students generally. "There aren't enough electives so that a student can choose what he finds significant and
On Sunday, May 10, thirteen new members were inducted into the Gold Key Society of Suffolk. The Tapping Ceremony which was held in the auditorium, was officiated by Dr. Florence Petherick (President of Gold Key), and Geraldine Marciniak (Secretary of Gold Key) and James Hooke, a Gold Key Alumnus. Dean Donald Grunewald was the Guest Speaker, he spoke of the benefits of a well-rounded education.

The new members, upon being tapped, were presented with Gold Key arm bands and a long stem red rose. Also each received a keepsake of their education.

Honorary members from the faculty and Administration were inducted and four Honorary members from the faculty and Administration.

The new Members are:
Jean Alexander
Stephen Bulyga
Eliot Cleinman
Sarah Dennis
Teresa Pestana
Kateelen Purvis
Danielle Roblisk
Frank Sabhov
Edward Wickham

Graduating Members
Professor Benson Diamond
Man Mary Hefton
Man Dave Nares

Dr. Florence Petherick

FILM REFLECTIONS

"Women in Love"

by Kenneth Johnson

Director Ken Russell's Women in Love is, on the whole, a very interesting film. The last segment of the film is even better than it; it is thoroughly absorbing. What mars the earlier part of the film is the thinness of two of the four major characters.

The four characters are Rupert Birkin, Ursula Brangwen, Gerald Crich, and Gudrun Brangwen. Birkin and Ursula fail temptuously in love, settle some of their differences, and marry. On the other hand, Crich and Ursula fall tempestuously in love, settle some of their differences, and marry. On the other band, Crich and Ursula fail to find in each other what they seek in a lover — too much. A motion picture with a thinness of two of the four major characters.

It is Birkin and Ursula who never emerge as interesting characters. One reason for this is Ursula's vugeness as a character. Part of the blame for this lies with actress Jennie Linden's inability to make Ursula come alive. The rest of the blame lies with the script. In one scene, Ursula throws a fit in Bed (this scene is totally unstrung, they stare at each other and say nothing, and I can't understand why they do this). And another scene, Ursula goes to see a movie and then sits down in a cafe. The rest of the scene is totally uninteresting and unimportant.

Birkin, on the other hand, is a much more interesting character. He is a man who wants to have his wife, two demanding children, several prosperous freelance jobs, andquilting neighbors (including one who wants him to go to bed with her). In sum, he is thoroughly enraged in the Rat Race. All this is presented quite skillfully; quite incisively; and the film holds one's attention from start to finish. — Especially at the finish. At this point, during a neighborhood party, Wilson's one night of love with his loving neighbor accidentally comes into view on their host's private television circuit and is joyfully viewed by all the other guests — except his partner's husband and his own wife. She, at the film's end, betrays him with her pocketbook; then, both of them totally stammer, they stare silently at each other. And... I can't understand why this happens. But, whatever the intentions of the writers or the director, the viewer should not attempt to excise their bullying by pointing out that the two main targets of the doctors' pranks are unpleasant people namely, Major Burns, a religious hypocrite who cruelly blames his medical mistakes on his unsuspecting ailes, and Nurse Hau lihan, a d i p p y rule-regulations dame. For, the bullying of unpopular victims does not make the bullying any less inexcusable.

Birkin and Ursula never emerge as interesting characters. One reason for this is Ursula's vugeness as a character. Part of the blame for this lies with actress Jennie Linden's inability to make Ursula come alive. The rest of the blame lies with the script. In one scene, Ursula throws a fit in Bed (this scene is totally unstrung, they stare at each other and say nothing, and I can't understand why they do this). And another scene, Ursula goes to see a movie and then sits down in a cafe. The rest of the scene is totally uninteresting and unimportant.

Birkin, on the other hand, is a much more interesting character. He is a man who wants to have his wife, two demanding children, several prosperous freelance jobs, andquilting neighbors (including one who wants him to go to bed with her). In sum, he is thoroughly enraged in the Rat Race. All this is presented quite skillfully; quite incisively; and the film holds one's attention from start to finish. — Especially at the finish. At this point, during a neighborhood party, Wilson's one night of love with his loving neighbor accidentally comes into view on their host's private television circuit and is joyfully viewed by all the other guests — except his partner's husband and his own wife. She, at the film's end, betrays him with her pocketbook; then, both of them totally stammer, they stare silently at each other. And... I can't understand why this happens. But, whatever the intentions of the writers or the director, the viewer should not attempt to excise their bullying by pointing out that the two main targets of the doctors' pranks are unpleasant people namely, Major Burns, a religious hypocrite who cruelly blames his medical mistakes on his unsuspecting ailes, and Nurse Hau lihan, a d i p p y rule-regulations dame. For, the bullying of unpopular victims does not make the bullying any less inexcusable.

Whatever the intentions of the man who made it, the film succeeds only as a farce, a farce of no more moral consequence than Room Service or Anemic Old Lace. It just does not have enough depth or enough bite to it be to be taken seriously. To see my point compare the film to Joseph Heller's Catch-22. It is for this reason that I recommend that you not see the film and laugh both often and guiltlessly.

Kenneth Johnson

spring venture

Kenneth Johnson

new literary mug will be out in a few days...

hang on... in the mean-
time, literary & artistic
contribution are being
accepted for the fall
issue... submit them
at the archives office...

have a good summer!
The Game

Game Disaster

by Joyce Duggan

On Thursday, April 23, the auditorium was declared an official fall-out shelter for the bomb that was dropped by the freshman class.

Anyone who has seen the Game on television knows that what was presented on the Suffolk stage that Thursday was not a reasonable facsimile. It’s too bad the names weren’t changed to protect the innocent contestants.

The fault lies with the moderators, Didi Pughis and Peter Butterfield. Since there were no microphones to amplify their voices, they should have done two things — REPEATED the contestants answer and SPOKE LOUDER. Because they didn’t do this, the too few people that were in the auditorium walked out. If there were 45 people in the beginning, the audience dwindled to 30 by the end.

The format of the show followed closely to the T.V. version. Four contestants were asked various questions testing ‘How Changeable Are You’ and ‘How Naive Are You’.

The changeable contestants were freshman Ann Ward, junior Louis Zuppardi of PAT, sophomore Steve Bulaya and junior Steve Kenney of APO.

A good example of what should have been done was during the 2nd question: ‘What word combination do you like best?’ The answer was incorrect.

Steve Kenney of APO.

The naive contestants were sophomore Kenneth Knight, senior Susan Drevitch, sophomore John Hommel and Mrs. Williams of the Psychology Dept. One of the questions was, Which word do you think of when you hear the word “hairy”? John Hommel said ape, Mrs. Williams and Susan Drevitch said head and Ken Knight said fuzzy.

The point values were never heard. The only question that came out perfectly loud and clear was the 4th — Your sex appeal at best: disarming, confusing hypnotic, mystifying. Even the point values were announced mystifying 15 points, hypnotic 0 points, confusing 10 points, and disarming 5 points.

Again the total point values were added and again the winners could not be heard. Congratulations to the winners; whoever they are. For the freshmen class: learn by your mistake and let the next event be a super success.

Eric Billings was named vice president of the Norfolk County Trust Company. John M. Salucci is employed by Burton’s, Inc. in Newton. Richard Blomstrom is with the St. Paul Insurance Company in Boston. Fran Morelli returns to coach the Waltham High varsity football team next fall. He recently was cited as the Outstanding Young Educator of the Year by the Woburn Jay Cees.

Larry E. Weston was appointed to the Room Finance Committee. He is a Federal Auditor at the JFK Building in Boston Carter L. Loxterman was named manager—Equal Opportunity Minorities Relations at GE’s West Lynn works Judge Franklend W. L. Miles was re-elected Chief Marshal of the Twentieth Annual Greater Boston Protestant Layman’s Committee Breakfast Committee George J. O’Dell is manager of hospital development in the Boston Department of Public Facilities.

Robert N. Keay and William D. Fenn are in the Auditing Department of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, Boston. Kevin P. Curry is a deputy assistant attorney general in the Eminent Domain Division in the State House. Joseph P. Hansen was appointed executive director of the Northern Middlesex Area Community Breakfast Committee.

The amendment would have to be passed by two-thirds majorities of both houses, and then ratified by three-fourths of the states. If it reaches the Senate floor, it will almost certainly pass, but its chances in the House are slim.

If passed by the Senate, it would be referred to Celler’s Judiciary Committee, and he’s been killing such amendments for years. Recognizing this, Massfield told the Senate “Either it becomes law on this bill, or it is dead for this Congress.”

The three chairmen have lost votes before, and if sentiment in the House for lowering the voting age were strong enough, Celler might lose this one. But the odds are against it.

The chances for lowering the voting age almost certainly become supporters questioned whether it was a big a thing. “After all,” said one Senate aide, “if you’ve been voting for years in Georgia, and they’ve got Lester Maddox.”

The Suffolk Journal May 20, 1970

The S.U. Rams of Baseball—1970

13 wins 3 losses

Eric Billings was named vice president of the Norfolk County Trust Company. John M. Salucci is employed by Burton’s, Inc. in Newton. Richard Blomstrom is with the St. Paul Insurance Company in Boston. Fran Morelli returns to coach the Waltham High varsity football team next fall. He recently was cited as the Outstanding Young Educator of the Year by the Woburn Jay Cees.

Larry E. Weston was appointed to the Room Finance Committee. He is a Federal Auditor at the JFK Building in Boston Carter L. Loxterman was named manager—Equal Opportunity Minorities Relations at GE’s West Lynn works Judge Franklend W. L. Miles was re-elected Chief Marshal of the Twentieth Annual Greater Boston Protestant Layman’s Committee Breakfast Committee George J. O’Dell is manager of hospital development in the Boston Department of Public Facilities.

Robert N. Keay and William D. Fenn are in the Auditing Department of the John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, Boston. Kevin P. Curry is a deputy assistant attorney general in the Eminent Domain Division in the State House. Joseph P. Hansen was appointed executive director of the Northern Middlesex Area Community Breakfast Committee.

The amendment would have to be passed by two-thirds majorities of both houses, and then ratified by three-fourths of the states. If it reaches the Senate floor, it will almost certainly pass, but its chances in the House are slim.

If passed by the Senate, it would be referred to Celler’s Judiciary Committee, and he’s been killing such amendments for years. Recognizing this, Massfield told the Senate “Either it becomes law on this bill, or it is dead for this Congress.”

The three chairmen have lost votes before, and if sentiment in the House for lowering the voting age were strong enough, Celler might lose this one. But the odds are against it.

The chances for lowering the voting age almost certainly become supporters questioned whether it was a big a thing. “After all,” said one Senate aide, “if you’ve been voting for years in Georgia, and they’ve got Lester Maddox.”
May 20, 1970

The What And Why Of Being Liberated

by Paul Eisenhauser

Women’s Liberation is a very tricky matter to deal with because it is composed of a number of divergent facets, all packaged up as one, often without any more of a correlation than that they apply to women. There is for example the question of opportunities in training programs. Women very often find it difficult to obtain equal pay and promotional opportunities. There are roadblocks and hardships for those in the position of breadwinner. On the other hand, I plainly see this discrimination of women does not exist. Although the situation has changed much in the last few decades, the norm is still for women to earn “extra” money and interrupt their careers with the upbringing of their child care. The ultimate solution to such problems as these requires careful thought for every case.

Women’s Liberation, however, includes this type of issue as convenient rallying point. The source of women’s liberation is in fact more psychological and sociological. In the March 19th issue of the Suffolk Journal there is a description of women’s liberation by Miss Shelby Weber. In her little skit story, she describes a spring from a common experience and a common strike for women’s liberation. This is... fear, shame, boredom, weakness, confusion and self doubt. Miss Weber’s argument is that women are inclined to accept, although she and I disagree significantly about what this means for our feelings. Much of this article will deal with Miss Weber’s article and its companion piece on women’s liberation by Mr. John Meredith. I feel that both make a significant contribution to our understanding of these and other modern liberation movements, although not in the way that the authors may have intended.

The movement begins with some very interesting observations describing “what is supposed to be the American woman.” The American woman... is supposed to be elegant, but not ostentatious, smart, but not conspicuous, quiet but not unamused... The American popular thinking currently accepts the opinion that women are expected to be physically attractive, stay at home with their children, and do housework.

The limitations of family life brings us into the complaint area number one. Miss Weber outlined. Specifically we shall look at complaint area number two. Women are expected to be... idealized... They are also supposed to say... "... sexy when men are present..." What kind of an expectation is this? Educated or not, Miss Weber says, "... under no circumstances be smarter than her man."

Again the type of company Miss Weber and her friends keep is quite different. Many of the men I have asked about this have a marked disdain for women who are "dumb-broad-type." The intelligence of one’s date or mate is not often a mark of individual taste rather than a rigid stereotype. Supposed to be property anyway... are afraid to express their own sexual ideas... This feeling that feels inferior for these reasons may not have any basis to it except that she may actually be inferior! But even with this information it suggests a latent personality-identity problem which makes her overly self conscious.

The piece by Mr. John Meredith is equally interesting and so much more novel. It is developed from the idea that we have left over from oppressed women is used for oppressing men. Many times oppression is a form of self-oppression by men so they are in the same boat compared to women. If men limit men’s limits on man as a total creature. It narrows his horizons—his mind less liberated. Get it? No! Well, perhaps if I use this example it will be clearer, "Cultures have a way of preserving traditions, rituals and customs long after people have any idea of their purpose. An example of this is the celebration of Christmas." He then goes on, at length, to explain how "... pagan ritual which attempted to cox the sun into returning... ...to the people, snow, it’s a ritual carried on every year." Some poor souls are even laboring under the delusion that this ritual is something to do with the birth of Christ.

From this analogy obviously there is a type of oppression possible... Thus it is with female oppression. We men have been so conditioned, just do it because it’s always done, when we carry out face a problem. Miss Weber points out the weakness, confusion and self doubt. We need to be told what it means.

The movement does indeed spring from "... fear, shame, boredom, confusion and self doubt,..." but these are not causes, as Miss Weber suggests, of women’s liberation. The movement is a massive change in women’s attitudes and the creation of the institutional framework necessary for women to exist as equal creatures.

The movement does indeed spring from "... fear, shame, boredom, confusion and self doubt,..." but these are not causes, as Miss Weber suggests, of women’s liberation. The movement is a massive change in women’s attitudes and the creation of the institutional framework necessary for women to exist as equal creatures.

The movement does indeed spring from "... fear, shame, boredom, confusion and self doubt,..." but these are not causes, as Miss Weber suggests, of women’s liberation. The movement is a massive change in women’s attitudes and the creation of the institutional framework necessary for women to exist as equal creatures.

The movement does indeed spring from "... fear, shame, boredom, confusion and self doubt,..." but these are not causes, as Miss Weber suggests, of women’s liberation. The movement is a massive change in women’s attitudes and the creation of the institutional framework necessary for women to exist as equal creatures.

The move...