

Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy

Volume 19

Article 3

1-1-2014

Table of Contents

JTAA Editors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.suffolk.edu/jtaa-suffolk>



Part of the [Litigation Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

19 Suffolk J. Trial & App. Advoc. xii (2014)

This Contents is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Collections @ Suffolk. It has been accepted for inclusion in Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy by an authorized editor of Digital Collections @ Suffolk. For more information, please contact dct@suffolk.edu.

SUFFOLK JOURNAL OF TRIAL &
APPELLATE ADVOCACY

Volume XIX

2013-2014

Issue 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editor's Note..... xiv

ARTICLE

Massachusetts Statutes Of Limitations: A User's Guide.....
..... Joseph W. Glannon 1

NOTES

The Individual Mandate – A Tax in Penal Clothing – Ought the
Judges to Close Their Eyes On The Constitution, And Only See the
Law?Luke J. Rosseel 96

Taxpayer Beware: A Jurisdictional Examination Of Deference Owed
To Revenue Rulings And The Case For Congressional Action
.....Colin Barrett 119

The Ultra Sound-Off: The Ultrasound Mandate Debate And A
Litigator's Guide To Overcoming Obstacles To A Woman's Right
To AbortionKimberly A. Prior 155

A Circumstantial Defense: Determining the Applicability of the
Good Faith Defense for Campus Security in § 1983 Cases
.....Lacey Perkins 176

A 'Victimless' Crime? How The Interplay Of The Demand
Requirement And The Government's Fight Against Corruption Is
Injuring ShareholdersDavid Bastian 200

CASE COMMENTS

Constitutional Law—What Constitutes an Objectively Unreasonable
Reaction?—*Tolan v. Cotton*, 713 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2013)
.....Matthew R. O'Connor 235

Constitutional Law – Don’t Take Your Guns To Town: Maryland’s
Good-And-Substantial-Reason Requirement For Handgun Permits
Passes Intermediate Scrutiny – *Woollard V. Gallagher*, 712 F.3d 865
(4th Cir. 2013)Lincoln A. Rose 245

Evidence – Testimonial Statements And Unavailable Child
Witnesses: Why The Cognitive Awareness Of The Child-Declarant
Should Be The Determinative Factor In Defining An Ongoing
Emergency – *Commonwealth v. Allshouse*, 36 A.3d 163 (Pa. 2012)...
.....Gemma R. Ypparila 261