Editor's Note

Kori Dean
*Suffolk University*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://dc.suffolk.edu/jtaa-suffolk](https://dc.suffolk.edu/jtaa-suffolk)

Part of the Litigation Commons

**Recommended Citation**

This Notes is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Collections @ Suffolk. It has been accepted for inclusion in Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy by an authorized editor of Digital Collections @ Suffolk. For more information, please contact dct@suffolk.edu.
Dear Reader:

On behalf of the Suffolk University Law School Moot Court Honor Board, I am honored to present the second issue in Volume XXVI of the *Suffolk Journal of Trial & Appellate Advocacy*. This issue contains seven student-written pieces from Moot Court Honor Board’s second and third-year staff. Each piece is designed to provide insight and be of practical use to lawyers and judges at both the trial and appellate levels.

The lead article, *Give ’Em the Ol’ Razzle Dazzle: The Ethics of Trial Advocacy and the Case of Kyle Rittenhouse* was written by David A. Lord. David Lord is the Deputy Commonwealth’s Attorney for Alexandria, Virginia and has been a prosecutor for sixteen years. In addition to supervising other attorneys, David is an experienced litigator, having tried fifty-seven cases before juries and countless bench trials. David has focused his career on legal ethics, teaching prosecutorial ethics and lecturing regularly in the area. David is also an adjunct professor in Criminal Procedure at George Mason University’s Antonin Scalia Law School. He has recently authored an article on the ethics of plea bargaining in criminal cases, which was published in The Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics. We are honored to publish his article discussing the dangers of theatrics in a trial setting and the importance of ethical advocacy.

The student-written pieces discuss the following legal topics and cases:

- An analysis of a recent Supreme Court decision on whether Section 1782(a) of the United States Code, which allows district courts to assist evidence-gathering by “foreign or international tribunals,” applies to private international arbitrations (Niamh Gibbons);
- An analysis of students’ First Amendment rights and public schools’ authority to regulate off-campus speech under *Mahanoy* (Angela Bartucca);
- An analysis of a Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court decision recognizing sexual orientation as a protected class for peremptory strikes under *Batson* (Ian Luciano);
- An examination of the discriminatory nature of searches conducted at the United States border and whether searches of electronic devices conducted at the border should require a warrant or probable cause (Shannah Colbert);
- An examination of the First Circuit's test to determine the extraterritorial application of federal securities laws (Shiri Pagliuso);
- An examination of the Massachusetts Wiretap Act and whether its statutory terms criminalize the concealment of one’s identity for purposes of gaining consent to record (Catherine Cardon); and
- An analysis of the interplay between the Controlled Substances Act and certain harm reduction measures adopted in response to the opioid epidemic (Veronica Lee).

I sincerely appreciate the hard work of our twenty-two staff members of the Moot Court Honor Board, who worked diligently to edit and cite-check these pieces throughout the semester. A special thanks to our Executive Editor, Alexandra Held, whose dedication was vital throughout the editing process; our Managing Editor, Bianca Tomassini, who provided...
exceptional support for our staffers and editors; and our Associate Managing Editor, Katherine Chenail, who provided essential editing assistance and diligently formatted this issue. I would also like to thank our President, James Lockett, for his continued support in the editing and publication process; our Associate Executive Editors, Margaret Austen, Madison Carvello, Mary Levine, and Mark Shettle, for providing quality editorial feedback and encouraging staff members throughout the editing process; and our Lead Article Editors, Sam Fowler and Matthew Milward, for their work in editing our lead article. Finally, I extend my utmost gratitude to our Board’s advisor, Professor Richard G. Pizzano, the Board’s Staff Assistant, Janice Quinlan, and the Deans and Faculty of Suffolk University Law School for their continued support of the Suffolk Journal of Trial & Appellate Advocacy.

I sincerely thank you for reading our second issue in Volume XXVII of the Suffolk Journal of Trial & Appellate Advocacy. I am confident that judges, practitioners, professors, and students will benefit from our scholarship. I hope that you will find this Issue through-provoking, relevant, and useful.

Sincerely,

Kori Dean

Editor-in-Chief