Leuchter delivers lecture at Suffolk amid controversy

Students, faculty urged boycott of lecture

By Andrea Rumpf
Journal Staff

Leuchter's controversial lecture on the technology in designing execution equipment for twenty-five years. Leuchter was then given to prison officials who needed help designing and maintaining new execution equipment. Leuchter's name was then given to prison officials in other states, and his career as an execution technologist began.

Leuchter explained that most of the equipment used in executions is ancient, and "doomed to failure," and by utilizing this equipment, the executioner is subjecting the inmate to a prolonged torture session.

Officials from the state of New Jersey who were concerned with the lack of humanity in executions approached Leuchter with plans to build the lethal injection machine. This method of execution is the most tranquil and humane, according to Leuchter, because the chance of the execution ending in a torture session is greatly decreased.

According to Leuchter, most of the states that conduct executions have insufficient funds to conduct the execution properly. Therefore, there is a seventy percent chance of an execution turning into a torture session.

The second point of Leuchter's speech dealt with the modes of execution that are used today. They include electrocution, lethal injection, gas, firing squad, and hanging.

Hanging, according to Leuchter, is humane if it is done properly. The length of the cord used must be mathematically determined by executioner's weight. If the cord is too short, the executee will remain conscious. If the executee is dropped too far a distance, the executee will remain conscious. If the executee is dropped too far a distance, the executee will remain conscious.
Homeless Students Juggle Classes, Despair

By Jeff Schnauffer
College Press Service

LOS ANGELES - When John boards the bus after a long day of classes, he often falls asleep, not bothering to tell the driver to awaken him at the stop near his home. It's not because he doesn't care about getting home; it's because he doesn't have one.

John's situation is not an isolated case. Across the country, a growing number of college students are finding themselves in the ranks of the homeless, forced to juggle their dreams of success with the reality of survival.

"You have to go slow," said John, an auto mechanics student in his mid-thirties at Santa Monica Community College in southern California. John, who holds part-time jobs at the college, doesn't want his real name used because he doesn't want people to know he is homeless.

"Sometimes I sleep on the bus, taking the bus all the way into downtown Los Angeles and come back in time for classes in the morning. Sometimes I live in a motel for a week when I get paid. Sometimes I live in the streets. Sometimes I stay with a friend if he has a car," said John. Although there is no official number of homeless students in the United States, estimates of the total homeless population range from a conservative figure of 500,000 to a million, according to advocacy groups.

Even with such a large number of students needing so much, only a few colleges have addressed the problem directly. One of the largest efforts has taken place in Florida, where legislation passed an amendment earlier this year that exempts homeless students from paying laboratory and instructional fees at state-supported colleges.

Kevin Davis, 31, began studying finance this fall under the private University's annual Homeless Student Scholarship Program.

"I have always wanted to go to college and now I can," said Davis in a statement. "I have a wonderful opportunity to build a new future."

Students are also pitching in to help other students. At Michigan State University in East Lansing, students have joined with a local philanthropist to open a food bank for students who may live off campus and are having financial problems, including any homeless students. To encourage participation, 20 percent discounts at the bookstore are being offered to donors, while recipients can receive food without having to provide for their need.

Despite these efforts to help homeless students stay in school, rising tuition, cost-of-living increases and continued low wages are forcing more students to choose between going to classes and having a place to call home. For example, John is on his third venture as a homeless student since moving to California from New York. He became homeless each time because he could not afford to pay for housing.

"I found a two-bedroom apartment with a South African student. He rented me a room for $280 and we split utilities," recalled John, who holds odd jobs and receives financial aid. "When the student finished four years at UCLA, he was supposed to leave the country, I didn't have enough money to keep paying rent."

With only $400 a month in income from a part-time job and financial aid, John said he has just enough to pay for food and bills, such as storage for his belongings, a student bus pass, and, ironically, a Visa credit card obtained at a student rate.

The inability to pay for housing is so serious that some college officials are opening the doors to their homes and offices to keep students in school.

"The economic crisis is stressing them," Young said. "I had a student body president who slept in the student body office for a month because he couldn't pay rent. We've let them take showers in the gym and the locker room. I've got people on my staff who will take people home with them."

One student decided he would rather go homeless than sacrifice a quality education. Charles Kirby, 25, decided to live in his van when he encountered California State University, at Northridge. After working for two years as a waiter, Kirby did not want to see his savings wasted on high rent, which can run as much as $500 per month, even sharing a small apartment.

Working to pay that kind of rent would interfere too much with his grades, said Kirby, who lives off his savings and doesn't work. "I consider being a student a full-time job. I want to get the A's to go to graduate school," said Kirby, an English major. "I'm a serious student. I'm not just some hippie in a van."

"Why should I spend money on housing when I don't know what tuition is going to be next year? I want to be prepared," added Kirby, pointing out that CSUN's fees were raised 46 percent this year due to a California budget crisis.

But Kirby's decision to be homeless has had a cost, even if it's not rent. "It's nearly impossible to lead a normal life, he says. He must photocopy textbooks to save money, eat only fruits, vegetables, and other perishable foods because he has no cooking facilities, keep his van away from campus police, and sneak into the gym to shower. He also gets lonely.

"I can't give any women my phone number," Kirby said.

For some homeless people, however, college may be the last chance of a normal life.

"The two places I have lived, I found through school. In school, I found some kind of income," said John, who dreams of opening an auto shop one day. "It's wasn't for school, I'd be on the street. I found people who cared."
Students and faculty gather for an open forum on "free speech," counteracting the Leuchter lecture.

When asked by a student how much money he made by selling this equipment, Leuchter replied, "Most of the cost is borne by me. The state reimburses me for hardware. I am making an exorbitant amount of money, but it keeps me alive."

Students had mixed reactions to Leuchter's lecture. Some found him to be very interesting and informative. Others were outraged by his views and background. Some others thought he was boring.

Rocco Ciacarello, senior, said, "It was an interesting speech. The tension was unbelievable. I learned a lot from the speaker."

Jim Beirne, a sophomore history major, said, "The entire concept concerns me. I don't understand what is involved here. It concerns me that the university is involved."

Lou Greenwald extended his support to the Criminology Club, saying, "I don't think the lecture should have been moved from the law school. The students have the right to hear what he has to say. They are paying for it."

The members of the history department who organized the protest stated that they felt it was a success.

...
SGA makes new undergrad lounge space a high priority

By Christine Judd
Journal Staff

SAWYER - The Student Government Association is currently trying to make the graduate student lounge, located on the fourth floor of the Sawyer Building, accessible to undergraduates.

Last year, this lounge was permissible to both undergraduates and graduate students. They felt that the graduate program went through the administration to make the lounge accessible to undergraduates. They believed that the graduate program wanted to have this lounge, but it is clearly labeled graduate lounge.

Students have expressed concern that they cannot use the fourth floor lounge. This event has loudly emphasized the need for a larger forum within the University, according to Rocco Ciccarello, president of SGA. SGA is currently trying to make the graduate lounge accessible to undergraduates.

Students have desired to use this lounge, but it is clearly labeled graduate student only. The graduates mainly use this lounge during the evening, so there is no reason why it cannot be a community lounge during the day.

The committee consists of three members: Richard Joyce, freshman representative; Anthony Zacharion, sophomore representative; Dave Tam, freshman vice-president; Vicki Newberry, junior representative; and Gayle Goodwin, senior representative.

The committee has an appointment scheduled with Dean Stoll on November 24. They will discuss the options available to opening up this lounge or another lounge by next semester.

Michelle McClain, treasurer of SGA, said, "It is unfortunate that students of this university have not some place other than this cafeteria and library in the Sawyer Building to spend free time."

SGA is pursuing every possible avenue to work on the lounge issue and achieving more space for free time for students.

A Message From S.G.A.

Kudos to Rhonda Pieroni, the Criminology Club and the Sociology Department for hosting such a well attended and cost effective lecture. The response shown by the students, faculty and staff indicates great interest in this subject. Although, Mr. Leuchter's point of view was not a view shared by everyone, it was a valuable learning experience nonetheless. This has shown that students at Suffolk University do care and get involved when confronted with different issues. While tempers and emotions were running high, thanks go out to those who acted swiftly and efficiently in order to move the lecture to a larger setting in order to accommodate all who wished to attend.

This event has loudly emphasized the need for a larger forum within the University wherein there is opportunity for students and staff to discuss their different points of view, air their frustrations and share their feelings regarding particular issues. Based upon the lack of such a forum, the Student Government Association wishes to offer their services to provide such a forum to all those who feel the need for the same. This forum will enable those who have attended a particular lecture or event to get together in an informal atmosphere to discuss all sides of the issue. For more information or if your group would like to schedule a public forum, please contact the Student Government Association at 573-8322.

The Student Government Association also wishes to challenge the students themselves to get involved. If you have an opinion about life at Suffolk, we encourage you to make a difference. Join a club or organization and persuade them to bring in speakers who you feel will present us with different points of view from which we all learn. The only person who is censored is the person who does not speak up.
**Buck-A-Book is a great buy for X-mas**

By N.E. Escobar
Journal Staff

In less than one year, Bruce Moyer turned one small Copley Square storefront operation into a chain of stores from Providence, R.I. to Nashua, N.H. Buck-A-Book has become one of the hottest new trendy stores to thrive during this recession.

In July of this year, Moyer expanded his stores into other areas of Boston and the surrounding suburbs.

With its big green balloon store fronts and incredibly low prices, it is a natural that most of the stores are located near MBTA stations. Stores are conveniently located near the Government Center, Kenmore Square, Copley Square, and Harvard Square MBTA stations.

When you first step into the Government Center Buck-A-Book, you notice the floor-to-ceiling stacks of hardcover novels.

Dozens of copies of recent novels are arranged against the walls so shoppers can easily browse through the hundreds of titles in the store.

The store sells everything from health books and sports novels to children’s books and coffee table art books. There is something for everyone at Buck-A-Book.

If you are looking for Christmas paraphernalia, Buck-A-Book is the place to shop. Everything from holiday decorations to wrapping paper is available. Holiday shopping has never been easier or cheaper.

Christmas wrapping paper and gift bags are available. Children’s coloring and story books can also be found at the Buck-A-Book store.

The reason behind Buck-A-Book’s success is a simple one: Boston is famous for its commuter system. Moyer took this idea and has transformed it into a thriving enterprise.

Slowly but surely, word of mouth among commuters has spread of the stores existence and shoppers now spend time browsing through the store, which opens at 7 a.m. so shoppers can stop in before work.

“We’ve become really popular,” Kelly Kasser, an employee at Buck-A-Book, stated. “People just seem to love it!”

When asked how Buck-A-Book can afford to sell current bestsellers at bargain basement prices, Kasser disclosed that the chain buys overprints, extra editions, and returns. When the chain buys in volume, it saves extra money that it would have normally paid for only a few select copies.

Although the bulk of the books cost $1, the complete price range at Buck-A-Book is from 50 cents to $20.

---

**Coppola's career spans 30 years of hits and misses**

By James W. McDonough
Journal Staff

Francis Ford Coppola, director of the current "Brum Stoker's Dracula," has had a very impressive track record in his thirty year career as a film maker.

Starting with his first feature, the low budget horror film "Dementia 13" (in which he collaborated with fellow director George Lucas) straight through to "Dracula," Coppola has certainly made a name for himself.

A graduate of Hofstra and UCLA, Coppola's break into the mainstream came in the late sixties with an Oscar for his screenplay of the George C. Scott classic "Patton."

From there, Coppola took a huge step on the ladder of success with "The Godfather," which is considered to be one of the greatest films in history. Released in 1972, the film won Oscars for Best Picture and Best Actor (Marlon Brando), and gave Coppola his second Best Screenplay Oscar.

Two years later, Coppola brought the second part of the Corleone saga to the screen with "The Godfather II," which became the only sequel in film history to win the Best Picture Oscar.

The film also brought in the second Oscar for Coppola, another screenplay Oscar for Coppola, and his first Directing Oscar. To this day, these films remain two of the most critically acclaimed and financially successful films of all time.

Coppola’s biggest challenge, however, came in 1978. After it appeared that Coppola could direct great pictures in his sleep, he suffered one of the most glaring and controversial film productions of his (or any other director’s) career, "Apocalypse Now."

Taking nearly a year to film, plagued by weather hazards, an uncontrollable Marlon Brando (he would not follow the script), drug abuse by the cast and crew, and continued on page 9

---

**Top Ten Of The Week**

1) Extreme / Three Sides To Every Story
2) Various Artist/ "Singles" Soundtrack
3) 10,000 Maniacs/ Our Time In Eden
4) Sugercubes/ Birthday
5) Bon Jovi/ Keep The Faith
6) Alice In Chains/ Dirt
7) Prince and The New Power Generation
8) R.E.M./ Automatic For The People
9) Genesis/ We Can’t Dance
10) Guns N’ Roses/ Use Your Illusion II

-Compiled by Stephen Raneri
EDITORIAL

Poor judgement

The Criminology Club should receive mixed reviews for the lecture they hosted on execution technology. They picked the poorest possible speaker, with questionable credentials and shady past, without investigating into who this person was.

The news of Leuchter’s visit stirred much controversy and drew an intense amount of attention on what would have been a mediocre club lecture. Completed with a series of bad judgements on all levels of the university, Suffolk was lucky to escape without a full fledged melee.

Leuchter is, without question, contestable as a reliable source of information concerning the technology employed for capital punishment. He is under a restraining order in Massachusetts, preventing him from representing himself as an engineer. His educational background does not reflect the field in which he claims to be an expert. And the Alabama Attorney General’s Office has issued a warning to other states that Leuchter is an unreliable business man.

The Criminology Club’s choice of this man as their guest speaker is akin to the Journal asking a National Enquirer reporter to speak on investigative reporting and ethics in journalism.

Still, the Criminology Club should receive some praise for the way they handled the situation. They were dually criticized, not only for the lecturer, but for the topic as well. Capital punishment, controversial in itself, is a subject of necessity for the members of the Criminology Club, since they will in their future careers, have to deal with it.

The actual controversy came about last week when the Charles Kindregan, associate dean of the law school, arbitrarily deemed the controversy in itself, is a subject of necessity for the members of the Criminology Club, since they will in their future careers, have to deal with it.

The actual controversy came about last week when the Charles Kindregan, associate dean of the law school, arbitrarily deemed the lecture inappropriate and declared it would not be held in the Donahue Building. A decision made after information was provided to the dean’s office by a Suffolk law student. The dean’s office then informed the Suffolk police to tear down the signs, approved by the Student Activities Office, advertising the lecture.

It was not until the day before that the Rhonda Pieroni, president of the Criminology Club, discovered, through alternate channels, their lecture was being tossed out of the law school. Neither the law school or the law student who provided the background information attempted to contact the Criminology Club or the Sociology Department, co-sponsor of the lecture.

This forced the Criminology Club to change locations and the Student Activities Office, providing the counter-lecture (or protest) to hold their session in the room in almost directly across the hall. The result was the mixing of flared tempers and contrasting views which, if sparkled, could have led to violence.

The protesters, spouting their articulating desires for free and responsible speech, were on the verge of causing a riot. Most disturbing were the actions of a few faculty members impinging on their outdate -pproaching on their younger proteges.

Professor Judith Dushku was running up and down the hall yelling such inflammatory phases as, “If you don’t move it to a bigger room people are going to start pushing their way in,” and Professor Tracey Boisneau telling students they have a right to be in the lecture room because their student activities fee paid for it.

Word such as these have the potential of inciting rash and irresponsible action in a situation of unstable emotions. If we were to apply the same logic to the limit place on classes, all students have a right to be in any class, regardless of the size limit placed on it.

In summary, all concerning parties handle the situation very poorly. From the organizers to the protesters, the university as a whole should have taken a page from its own diversity philosophy and turned to community building and conflict resolution instead of rushing to confrontation.

In the coming months the Suffolk community will have to address the issues exposed by Leuchter’s visit. Issues such as student organization’s autonomy, First Amendment protection for student groups, defining a proper academic standard for professors, and the proper interaction between students and faculty on such issues. These question will not be easily resolved, nor will the aftermath of Leuchter’s visit.

QUOTES OF THE WEEK

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

- The First Amendment to the United States Constitution, adopted by Congress in 1791.

"We [Suffolk University] remain fully committed to affording recognized student organizations the opportunity to bring to the campus any speaker of their choice."

- David J. Sargent, president of Suffolk University, in a statement released on the controversial lecture and the events surrounding it.

"Don’t you misquote me or I’ll get back at you."

- Tracey Boisneau, professor of history, said after being questioned by Journal editor Lawrence M. Walsh. Similar comments were made by Boisneau to two other Journal staff members.

"If we had the opportunity to invite Adolf Hitler to talk about water colors, would the audience be confined to only asking questions on his works."

- Judith A. Dushka, professor of government, said during the second question and answer session with Fred Leuchter.

"If we [Council of Presidents] had known about him, we would have never voted for it."

- Veronica Triaca, president of the American Chemical Society and representative to the Council of Presidents, commenting on CDP’s approval of funds for payment of Leuchter’s lecture.

"Apparently they’re against the electric chair and for free speech. And they have nothing against me."

- Fred Leuchter, guest speaker of the Criminology Club, on the protest against his lecture and the flyers they were distributing. The flyers read, "Boycott the Electric Chair: Protect Free Speech."

"I’m not quite sure."

- Larry Donovan, American History major, who was handing out boycott flyers, when asked why they were boycotting the Leuchter lecture.
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A different perspective of the Suffolk Faculty

Thomas Belmonte

Last Thursday I attended a portion of the lecture on capital punishment by Fred Leuchter and received a valuable education. This education was not in the topic of capital punishment and its related technology; this education was not in the need to maintain the constitutionally protected freedom of speech; this education was not in the need to screen lecturers before they are allowed to come and present ideas to students; and this education was not in the horror of anti-Semitic and Holocaust revisionist thought.

The education I received was in how much faculty members are just like the students that they teach. I do not question that these educators are well trained, but they are human. It is proof to me that they are human because they have human qualities and acted as role models for students during a time of turmoil. A few others, who were definitely members of a group present, acted like children who still have lessons to learn.

Adulthood for these individuals seems to be delayed by the process of aging and therefore not by ascertaining a level of maturity. From my perspective, as a student, I do not give up hope for these few, since we all have our lessons to learn, and these experiences cannot be set to a time table. Some of the faculty present who I gave credit to were those who intently listened to others ideas whether they agreed with them or not. Some of these individuals then after thought and consideration asked intelligent questions or made simple statements of their perspectives. I applaud their class and maturity.

Other faculty members did not show that same maturity. Their conduct fell somewhere between hostile and disruptive. What became evident was that some faculty Leuchter was the Sociology department were interested in hearing Leuchter speak on the assigned topic of capital punishment technology. In contrast some professors from the History and department felt Leuchter was not a credible speaker and therefore would not need, by their conscience, to discredit his ideas.

Throw in a disgruntled government professor who is an admirer support of cultural diversity and social rights and this "lecture" fell just short of a melee. This event was designed to be an event for students to come, listen and draw their own personal opinions on the subject of capital punishment technology. What resulted however was a debate of Leuchter's credibility with faculty in Sociology and their students facing off against faculty and students in History. It seemed Leuchter's presence actually fell as a side show to the main event. These few less retrained professors put on a real show.

The commentary was colorful and sharp by these faculty members. In hindsight it was not all that offensive though. Students and faculty left the "lecture" on the same side of the issue they held before they entered it in my observation. The comments and whispers created a raucous that reporters and journalists love to see going on. This was vigilant instruction by professors who were using some students as pawns in their greater game of chess in social ideology.

The most striking example of faculty foolishness came from a faculty member who has not learned that if you don't want to be quoted then you shouldn't speak in a public forum. Now I want to write her name down but she does her name down and during the proceedings, but then later it felt it necessary to threaten several student reporters about the dangling threats.

Please don't make me shudder in fear! I am sure her one quote of the week will give her enough humiliation so I have therefore continued on page 8

Political Correctness and You!

Brian E. Glennon II

By using political correctness, it is possible to say something without really saying anything. One's opinion must be stilled for fear of directly or indirectly offending another. Anything which can be even remotely inferred as being insulting in deemed politically incorrect. Here are a few examples of politically incorrect words, along with their "correct" counterparts. It is my hope that after reading this column, you'll never be stuck in a linguistic social faux-pas in today's holier-than-shun, gender-neutral-english.

Politically Incorrect Non-traditional Spouse
Foreign Husband/Wife Chairperson
Chaiman/Chairwoman Police Officer
Political/Protestor Fire Fighter
Firewoman/Polian Manhole cover
Tick Handicapped
Short

Dead
Telephone pole Librarian

Conservative

Homosexual

Asian

Verbal
Prison
Heavy/Fat
Chivalry

Servant

Vaginal

Dysfunction

President-elect

And the list is endless. Always think twice before expressing your unimportant opinions, someone might take offense. The most politically-correct way of expressing yourself is to keep your mouth shut.

Have we had enough of this? Words should be taken by their innumerable meanings change so often, we could be playing this game forever. Political correctness is imposing on our freedom of speech. If we continue to spend all our time worrying about what something could have meant, rather than what was actually said, we are in sad shape.

Let's stop nitpicking about word choice and start concentrating on what people mean when they speak. Life is too short to worry about such trivial things.

Stunned by invitation for Leuchter's appearance

Sally J. Greenberg

When a Suffolk law student contacted me last week to report that Holocaust denier Fred Leuchter had been invited to speak by the Criminology Club at the university, I was stunned. Leuchter is the notorious "execution device technician" who came to a public forum. Now I want to write her name down but she does her name down and during the proceedings, but then later it felt it necessary to threaten several student reporters about the dangling threats.

Please don't make me shudder in fear! I am sure her one quote of the week will give her enough humiliation so I have therefore continued on page 8
Belmonte from page 7 decided not to quote her again. In fact the Boston Herald didn’t even find her disruptive tirade to be quite worthy. The point here seems to be: sometimes if you try too hard to make a point people are turned off by you. This is a lesson worth learning. (I would like to also inform her that her personal point of view is also my own on the subject.)

The level intellectual guidance hit a new low level when another faculty member began to begin the name calling game. This professor had some valuable questions and statements to offer the group that had assembled on the 4th floor in a crowded room. Her statements were quote worthy to the Boston Herald and to the Journal.

After that, she began to act differently. She opted to begin calling Leuchter a Nazi shortly after she made her point. Maybe she was unsure that her eloquence and presentation of facts did not do enough damage to Leuchter. They certainly did their eloquence and presentation of after she made her point.

"Two hundred years ago Thomas Jefferson called the classroom “the marketplace of ideas.” Not all ideas are favorable and therefore not all ideas are adopted. However, if we restrain the right to think freely then the right of each individual is denied. We cannot restrict our ability to govern and change by limiting such controversial thought.

Inherent in the ability to think as an individual is the right to change these ideas with new information and experiences. Although Leuchter is on the fringe of change, he is not beyond maturing and changing.

The actions and words of a few faculty members can also change over time. Sometimes I question my own level of faith in humanity but not this time, I think that these few can learn from what happened in those few moments of emotion crazed expression on a dismal afternoon."

These faculty members can and should join their colleagues in the marketplace of ideas.

"Revisionist" groups who were trying to rewrite this chapter of history were thwarted.

"Two hundred years ago Thomas Jefferson called the classroom “the marketplace of ideas.” Not all ideas are favorable and therefore not all ideas are adopted. However, if we restrain the right to think freely then the right of each individual is denied. We cannot restrict our ability to govern and change by limiting such controversial thought.

Inherent in the ability to think as an individual is the right to change these ideas with new information and experiences. Although Leuchter is on the fringe of change, he is not beyond maturing and changing. The actions and words of a few faculty members can also change over time. Sometimes I question my own level of faith in humanity but not this time, I think that these few can learn from what happened in those few moments of emotion crazed expression on a dismal afternoon."

"Revisionist" groups who were trying to rewrite this chapter of history were thwarted.

Unsubsidized Stafford Loans are available for the spring semester. Any student who files aid application forms and is deemed ineligible for need-based aid may be considered. Eligibility is determined by the Financial Aid Office. A student’s financial aid is subtracted from his or her cost of attendance, and he or she may borrow the difference. Borrowers of subsidized Stafford Loans, however, are not eligible. If a student did not qualify for the maximum limit under the subsidized program, he or she may borrow the difference through an unsubsidized program.

"Two hundred years ago Thomas Jefferson called the classroom “the marketplace of ideas.” Not all ideas are favorable and therefore not all ideas are adopted. However, if we restrain the right to think freely then the right of each individual is denied. We cannot restrict our ability to govern and change by limiting such controversial thought.

Inherent in the ability to think as an individual is the right to change these ideas with new information and experiences. Although Leuchter is on the fringe of change, he is not beyond maturing and changing. The actions and words of a few faculty members can also change over time. Sometimes I question my own level of faith in humanity but not this time, I think that these few can learn from what happened in those few moments of emotion crazed expression on a dismal afternoon."

"Revisionist" groups who were trying to rewrite this chapter of history were thwarted.
Suffolk forensic's
beats Harvard at N.U.
tourney

By Viki Bernard
Journal Staff

BOSTON - The Suffolk Uni-
versity Forensics team beat
Harvard once again, and won
the Northeastern University Debate
and Individual Events events stan-
takes tournament last Saturday.
John Fords went undefeated
in Lincoln-Douglas Debate, win-
ing the event in four preliminary
and the only elimination round,
and defeating the second place
Northeastern debator for the high-
est speaker rating in the event.
Ford was followed closely
in debate by Kristy Guúmeri, who
had three wins and one loss
and placed third. Mark Walker was
hot on their trail with a 3-1 rating
and a fourth place finish. This
was Walker's second tournament
and his first full tournament ever.
Ford, Gunneri and Walker have
all qualified to compete in the
National tournament in Lincoln-
Douglas Debate in April.

Individual Events, Wendy
Russopbced sixth in Extempo-
ranous Speaking, an event with
limited preparation which asks
speakers to discuss current events.
Kevin Connolly placed sixth in
Impromptu Speaking, sixth in Prose
Interpretation, and fourth in Poetry
Interpretation. In Prose and Poetry
Interpretation the speaker orally interprets his or her ideas on a story or poem.

In Dramatic Duo Interpretation,
Kevin Connolly and Kathy
Fitzpatrick placed second. Kirk
Hurley and Petra Khaliqi placed
third and Kristy Guúmeri and Petra
Khaliqi placed fourth.

In After Dinner Speaking, Kirk
Hurley dominated the event,
placing first, qualifying him for
competition in the National tour-
ament in April.

Suffolk clearly dominated
the one day tournament, placing
at least one member of the team in
top six position in each event.
Local schools Harvard, Emerson,
Tufts and Bridgewater competed
in the "Greencline" tournament,
but Suffolk came out on top of the
rall.

In Persuasion, John Ford placed
second, Kevin Connolly placed
fourth, Tad Funtado placed
fifth and Kathy Fitzpatrick placed
sixth. Suffolk team members
almost gave no other school any
room to place in these events, and
the competition was tough.

Kristy Ciolkosz placed first in
Rhetorical Criticism, her best
event this year. Kristy Guúmeri
placed second behind Ciolkosz,
two members who are tough to
beat in category of competition.
Ciolkosz also won the Infor-
mative Speaking event, with Petra
Khaliqi, Kathy Fitzpatrick and
Wendy Rasso behind her in third,
fourth and fifth. A total of ten events
qualified for the National tournament at Bloomingsburg University in Pennsylvania, and Individual Events coach Dick Keppel is look-
ning forward to it. "This was a
quite competition. It was great to
end the first semester with a first
place win at Northeastern. It puts
us in a good position for our Mid-
western swing in Nebraska and
Ohio next season, which will pre-
pare us for a good showing at the
National Tournament in April."

Protesting to spread the truth

Suzanne Tabasky, of the Malden Holocaust League, holds her sign calling Fred
Leuchter a liar. She has been following Leuchter exploits for many years.

Carolyn Beatty/Journal Staff

President Yancy went on to
to say that the program will service
a public school in Boston. "BSU
members will be reaching out to
students in grades K through sixth.
"The goals of the program, accordin
g to Jennifer Pollard, BSU vice
president, are, "to be positive
mentors to students of color in the
Boston public schools and let
them, (the students) know that
there are positive, young men and
women, that can be role-models
for them."

President Yancy made it clear
that the program is not just geared
toward academic aid, but an ef-
fort to form personal relation-
ships with the students. "We don't
want to be just mentors, but friends
for them to look up to," said
Yancy.

The idea for this program to
be initiated was born this summer
in the minds of BSU's Executive
Board of officers, which includes
President Yancy, Vice President
Pollard, Treasurer Diane Clarke,
and Secretary Phymiyar Claude.
After the fall semester be-
egan, the idea was brought to the
BSU body and was greatly re-
ceived. A large percentage of the
meetings since have been cen-
tered on planning the program
and many members have already
signed up to work as mentors.

According to Yancy, is that it
has taken so long to get started
because "There was not enough
time or planning for it to gain
momentum."

Yancy went on to say that a
committee of BSU members has
been formed to launch the second
step, which is to draft a proposal
letter to the superintendent of
schools for the Boston Public Schools.

Chuck from page 5

combines "move your body" type
words with words of change.
Unfortunately, the encouraging
lyrics seem to blend in with the
dance groove, and the message
could get lost in the translation.
Chuck's "Barbecue" is an
attack on republican's and the presi-
dent himself. The song actually
seems to be a mark off the album.
While pointing out some of
President Bush's "faults", it comes
off as offensive, somehow trying
to blame the president for racism.
The most incriminating lyriccs
in the song blatantly say that
the president should be shot,
"BAM! You're dead/That's right,
Mr. President". They fur-
ther go on to discredit Abraham
Lincoln.

Beyond that, Chuck's politi-
cal rap and funk raises some good
positions on "X-Pollution", address-
ing the lack of equal rights and
problems in ghettos, among other
subjects.

One of the other highlights
on the album is "Brainstorm.
"The song manages to avoid a gen-
eric beat, as the star playing
shines.
The song is dedicated to Rob-
ert Calley, one of the first Ameri-
cans in the Gulf War, "Brain-
storm" is touching, containing the
themetic line "cause this child's
dying for a country that he never
had."
The funk/dance beat quickly
with just plain hip-hop. This is
fortunate because the usually
returns for "Obliteration", which
is not an extreme on the other politi-
cal songs, and some other impor-
tant daily problems are addressed
in "Hotter."

The regular disc closes out
with the dance-able "Stuck in a
Groove", which is light on politi-
cal lyrics but heavy on the hip-
hop groove. The listener is then
lucky enough to have two bonus
tracks; a remix of "Revoluce" and
a longer version of "Metamor-
phism."

Chuck's diverse influences
include Led Zeppelin, James
Brown, and George Clinton. The
odd combination comes off well
at times and displays some origi-
nality, but often gets confused
powerful lyrics got lost in the
translation if you are not listen-
ing.
Overall, there is not too much
that is important about Chuck,
but they should not, by any means,
be grouped with other funk/hip-
hop bands. They're bringing po-
tical messages into light, and if
they play their cards right, they
should be going somewhere.

Coppola from page 5

Martin Sheen's onset of a heart
attack, "Apocalypse Now" again
brought Coppola critical success.
However, the film flopped at the
box-office and began what would
become a long decade of finan-
cial debt and less-than-stellar
films. After a string of minor hits
in the eighties, including "The
Outsiders", "Peggy Sue Got
Married", and "Tucker-The Man
and His Dream", Coppola's chance
to regain his bankability came with
"The Godfather III."

While the film was nomin-
ated for six Academy Awards, I
was considered a failure finan-
cially and critics agreed that it
was a disappointing ending to the
Corleone saga.

Although Coppola's recent
films have fared better with crit-
ics, Coppola is still searching for
that one success that will bring
him back into the mainstream.
His latest, "Brum Stoker's Dracula,"
may be that film.
WEDNESDAY,
DECEMBER 2, 1992
Annual Holiday
Tree Lighting
Ceremony
4:30 P.M. - Alumni
Park/ Temple Street
Reception -
McDermott
Conference Room
Donohue 128

FRIDAY,
DECEMBER 4, 1992
Winter Carnival
Celebration
3:00 - 6:00 P.M..
DJ/ Caricaturists/
Photo Key Chairs/
Holiday Ideas/ Food/
Refreshments/ Take
Your Picture With
Frosty the Snowman

HOLIDAY PARTY
DECEMBER 18, 1992
Semi-Formal Dance
At the Sheraton Boston - Constitution Ballroom

STUDENTS $5.00 GUEST $6.00

ONE GUEST PER
SUFFOLK STUDENT
$10.00 FOR SUFFOLK STUDENTS
AT THE DOOR
ABSOLUTELY NO GUEST
TICKETS WILL SOLD AT THE DOOR
PROPER SUFFOLK I.D. REQUIRED
PICTURE I.D. REQUIRED
TO GAIN ENTRANCE

Sponsored By Program Council
For my part, I shall continue to support any relevant topic or speaker because some may be displeased by the choice. This whom my students choose. I would never tell students not to choose of others.

It is ironic that in this era of honest efforts by some to recognize and incorporate diversity of all kinds into Suffolk’s curriculum, that this unfortunate situation of intolerance developed. I am fully aware of the arguments for the analysis of context and the inclusion of values in the assessment of a person’s position on something, but that had no place here because the speaker was not advocating a position. The bottom line is that the speaker did not proselytize, that the Club members have the right to ask a speaker to speak regardless of his/her relation to the subject of the presentation.

It is ironic that in this era of honest efforts by some to recognize and incorporate diversity of all kinds into Suffolk’s curriculum, that this unfortunate situation of intolerance developed. I am fully aware of the arguments for the analysis of context and the inclusion of values in the assessment of a person’s position on something, but that had no place here because the speaker was not advocating a position. The bottom line is that the speaker did not proselytize, that the Club members have the right to ask a speaker to speak regardless of his/her relation to the subject of the presentation.

In conclusion, I hope this outcome is not a harbinger of the future. For my part, I shall continue to support any relevant topic or speaker whom my students choose. I would never tell students not to choose a topic or speaker because some may be displeased by the choice. This is not legitimate in an academic atmosphere and it won’t be part of my role as an advisor.
SUFFOLK SPORTS
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By Edmund Bronnan Journal Staff

Suffolk sneaks by Wentworth for an 83-82 win

WELLESLEY-The men's basketball team pulled off a come-from-behind victory over Wentworth on Saturday in a loss by Scott Marino with eight seconds left.

The Rams were helped greatly by Wentworth's poor foul shooting during the stretch, as they missed four free throws in the last 50 seconds, two each by Nate Rollins and Torrey Langham.

Head coach Jim Nelson said, "We're struggling right now with Chuck Byrne out and Rick Ace still trying to find his legs and conditioning. When we come together with all healthy parts we will be a more exciting and cohesive team." 

Marino scored four of his 13 points in the last 22 seconds of the game. He also had nine assists.

The 5-11 guard made two strong moves to the basket and the Wentworth defenders were unable to stop him.

With 50 seconds left, and the Rams down by five, Mike Vieira was fouled by Wentworth's Michael Fox. Vieira hit both free throws to bring the score to 82-79.

Rollins was fouled by foul shots. Suffolk got the ball up court quickly and Marino streaked to the basket and scored with 22 seconds left.

The Rams quickly called a timeout to stop the clock. Marino fouled Langham with 21 seconds left and he missed both free throws.

Mikalauskis brought the ball up the court and passed it off to Marino in the right corner, who went baseline to score with eight seconds left.

Three ticks went off the clock before Wentworth called a timeout. Then they were unable to bring the ball up the court fast enough to get off a decent shot.

The game was pretty much an up-and-down affair and, except for an early Suffolk run, no team led by more than five points.

Suffolk was helped greatly by the fact that one of Wentworth's best players, starting forward James Kruse, was in foul trouble for much of the second half. Kruse had scored 20 points in the first half, but was only able to score two in the second half. He picked up his fourth foul five minutes into the half and sat out for four minutes.

He came back in with 11 minutes left and immediately scored, but then he fouled out of the game with 9:30 left.

Suffolk was led by co-captain Dave MacDougall who had 23 points, 10 rebounds, and three blocks. He got Suffolk going early on as he scored his first 12 points on his way to 17 first half points.

MacDougall had to play big in this game because the other co-captain, Byrne, sprained his ankle during the game on Friday.

The Rams also got a strong performance from Vicina, who ended up with 16 points and 11 boards. He also hit those two key free throws with 50 seconds left.

Nelson left freshmen Guard Bain and Mikalauskis in the game during crunch time, showing great confidence in these two young players.

He commented, "They have shown in the two scrimmages and in the first game of the season that they are certainly up to the task of playing intercollegiate basketball at this stage, even in pressure situations."

Bain, a 5-10 guard, was perfect from the field and the line while collecting 11 points. He also made a tremendous block on Wentworth's center, Kenny O'Brien, who is a good five inches taller than Bain.

Mikalauskis' stats may not have looked too great, but he ran the point well and showed great poise.

Ace scored 10 points off the bench for the Rams.

Wentworth was led by Michael Fox, who scored 26 points, including four three pointers. O'Brien scored 14, while forward Andre Vega had 10 points.

Suffolk shot 47 percent from the field, while holding Wentworth to 41 percent.

One of the problems that Suffolk faced was poor free throw shooting, as they only shot 47 percent from the line. Nelson is looking for some improvement in that area.

The Rams lost the first game of the tournament on Friday night to Wesleyan 73-62. Ace was the top scorer for Suffolk with 18 points.

Women's basketball wins Roger Williams Tournament

By Edmund Bronnan Journal Staff

The women's basketball team started the season off on the right foot this weekend, as they won the Roger Williams Tournament, with victories over Wentworth and host Roger Williams.

On Friday night the Lady Rams beat Wentworth 49-38 and then they came back on Saturday afternoon to defeat Roger Williams 52-36.

Moe Brown was named the MVP of the tournament and she was joined on the all-tournament team by Johanna McGourty and team captain Stacey Ciccolo.

Head coach Joe Walsh commented, "Last year we came away from the tournament with an 0-1 record, so it feels great to go back there and win it all."

Great things are always expected from McGourty and Brown, so Walsh was extremely pleased that they were able to get great start, "Because his counter-part, Chuck Byrne, was not in the lineup he knew that he had to assert himself as the team leader and he certainly did that."

The Rams also got a strong performance from Vicina, who ended up with 16 points and 11 boards. He also hit those two key free throws with 50 seconds left.

Nelson left freshmen Guard Bain and Mikalauskis in the game during crunch time, showing great confidence in these two young players.

He commented, "They have shown in the two scrimmages and in the first game of the season that they are certainly up to the task of playing intercollegiate basketball at this stage, even in pressure situations."

Bain, a 5-10 guard, was perfect from the field and the line while collecting 11 points. He also made a tremendous block on Wentworth's center, Kenny O'Brien, who is a good five inches taller than Bain.

Mikalauskis' stats may not have looked too great, but he ran the point well and showed great poise.

Ace scored 10 points off the bench for the Rams.

Wentworth was led by Michael Fox, who scored 26 points, including four three pointers. O'Brien scored 14, while forward Andre Vega had 10 points.

Suffolk shot 47 percent from the field, while holding Wentworth to 41 percent.

One of the problems that Suffolk faced was poor free throw shooting, as they only shot 47 percent from the line. Nelson is looking for some improvement in that area.

The Rams lost the first game of the tournament on Friday night to Wesleyan 73-62. Ace was the top scorer for Suffolk with 18 points.

Women's basketball wins Roger Williams Tournament

By Edmund Bronnan Journal Staff

The women's basketball team started the season off on the right foot this weekend, as they won the Roger Williams Tournament, with victories over Wentworth and host Roger Williams.

On Friday night the Lady Rams beat Wentworth 49-38 and then they came back on Saturday afternoon to defeat Roger Williams 52-36.

Moe Brown was named the MVP of the tournament and she was joined on the all-tournament team by Johanna McGourty and team captain Stacey Ciccolo.

Head coach Joe Walsh commented, "Last year we came away from the tournament with an 0-2 record, so it feels great to go back there and win it all."

Great things are always expected from McGourty and Brown, so Walsh was extremely pleased that they were able to get good support from the other players.

He explained, "This was really a team effort. We are a defensive team and one of our goals is to keep the other team under 20 points each half, and we did a good job of doing that."

In the game against Wentworth, McGourty scored 19 points, going 8 for 16 from the field and 3 for 4 from the line. She also hauled down 16 rebounds.

Brown scored 16 points, while getting six rebounds and three assists. Maria Greer had nine rebounds and five points.

Kerri Sweeney helped out with seven points, shooting 2 for 3 from the field and 3 for 4 from the line.

In the championship game, Brown played a terrific game, scoring 19 points, pulling down nine rebounds, and giving out seven assists. Brown hit two three pointers during the game.

Walsh said, "Moe is a special player. She has incredible ball-handling skills. She sets up almost all of our plays for us."

McGourty also played big, scoring 12 points and getting 22 rebounds. She shot 46 percent from the field. 

Greer scored nine points and had eight rebounds. Walsh had high praise for the 5-9 forward.

"She is not going to score a lot of points for us, but she provides us with great defense," Walsh stated.

Even though Ciccolo did not provide too much offense, with six points in the first game and four in the second, Walsh gave her much of the credit for the victories.

He said, "She is always getting in the passing lanes and disrupting the other team from what they want to do. To see her come back from an anterior cruciate ligament injury and play so well in our first games is very pleasing."