今天的选举中有两位候选人，Ted Kennedy和Mitt Romney。他们在波士顿的Faneuil Hall参加了辩论。10:45am，体育馆里响起了兴奋的声音，当总统克林顿在10:45am进入体育馆时，气氛达到了高潮。体育馆的John F. Kennedy聚会处是几天前的事情。上周克林顿总统访问弗雷明汉时，有2000多名参与者参加了这个活动，这是一生难忘的经历。

克林顿总统说，每个人都是国家的一部分，他强烈建议父母关闭他们的电视，开始更多地关注他们的孩子。他认为，大家都应该花更多的时间和孩子们在一起，这对孩子们来说是一生的学习。

克林顿的教育政策旨在将这些600亿美元用于实际工作中。他希望这将使美国变得更美好。

克林顿总统对弗雷明汉的访问和签署教育法案

克林顿的教育政策旨在将这些600亿美元用于实际工作中。他希望这将使美国变得更美好。
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克林顿的教育政策旨在将这些600亿美元用于实际工作中。他希望这将使美国变得更美好。
which was supposed to warrant a 15 second response time. The mediator gave Romney one minute to answer the question about his failures, which the audience responded to with a roar of laughter.

Romney began to speak of his failure by talking about the extensive time he donated to under-privileged kids and churches. The mediator interrupted him halfway through and reminded him of the question posed. His response was that his biggest failure was only being able to give one day a week to the poor and needy.

Romney scored on an issue brought forward by the Kennedy campaign. The issue of providing part-time employees with health insurance. Romney made a point of highlighting the Kennedy family owning merchandising stores which do not provide their part-time employees with health insurance.

Although Kennedy brought forward the political matter that is inherent in the Kennedy family, he looked fatigued and drained. Romney appeared model-like, as though he was recruited from GQ magazine. Romney scored on an issue of a few generations ago when Senator Kennedy's brother, John F. Kennedy, a young, vibrant and striking figure compared to the then older, tired looking and haggard Richard Nixon an odd sort of parallel.

Kennedy/Nixon debate, it could be predicted that Kennedy's brother, John F. Kennedy, a young, vibrant and striking figure compared to the then older, tired looking and haggard Richard Nixon was substantial, making one eagerly anticipate Thursday's debate. If a winner was to be declared the score would be close, but Ted Kennedy would emerge as the victor.

The style of this debate was also a refreshing one with part of it being the Lincoln/ Douglas style, something rarely embraced by candidates for elected office because of the unpredictable path this style can take such as the candidates posing each other questions. Both candidates had their ups and downs though neither Kennedy and his family have long been a part of the political scenery in Massachusetts and is seen as the last of a dynasty.

The style of this debate was also a refreshing one with part of it being the Lincoln/Douglas style, something rarely embraced by candidates for elected office because of the unpredictable path this style can take such as the candidates posing each other questions. Both candidates had their ups and downs though neither Kennedy and his family have long been a part of the political scenery in Massachusetts and is seen as the last of a dynasty.

Romney moved the security personnel aside to greet his supporters, shaking their hands, exchanging hugs and smiling widely enjoying their roars of approval.

You could literally feel the electricity and excitement of the crowd when graced with Kennedy's presence. The cool night air was instantly warmed to that of a mid-summers night dream, for on the eve of October 25, 1994, even if you weren't a Kennedy fan or supporter, at that moment, you were.
"Do you have questions about your tuition dollars?"

If the answer is yes, then attend the FIRST OPEN FORUM ON TUITION!!!!!!!!!

- Ask your Questions!
- Voice your concerns!

President David Sargent, Vice President and Treasurer Francis Flannery, and a representative from the Board of Trustees will be there to answer your questions on tuition!

All Students are Welcome!!!

THE FIRST OPEN FORUM ON TUITION
Thursday, November 3
1 p.m.
Sawyer Cafe.

Sponsored by: The Student Government Association
any questions call 573-8322 (ext. 8322)
Another “Love Affair” to Remember

By Kristen Padios

A trend has swept through Hollywood lately, that of remaking classic films and television shows. One only has to think back a few years to remember such remakes as “Cape Fear” and “The Blob.”

“Cape Fear,” the terrific-acted psychological thriller starring Robert DeNiro and Nick Nolte is actually the second rendition of a 1950’s film of the same name. Likewise, “The Blob,” brought back to the silver screen in 1988 under the same name, was actually born of the classic 1950’s horror genre. Also, television has made its way to cinemas across America in the form of “The Flintstones,” “The Little Rascals,” and “Dennis the Menace,” to name just a few.

In typical Hollywood fashion of following the latest trends, writer/director/actor extraordinare Warren Beatty brings filmgoers everywhere his latest superb effort, “Love Affair,” an remake of a 1939 film classic, also titled “Love Affair.”

“Love Affair” (1939) is unavailable on video, but the masterpiece 1957 version, “An Affair to Remember,” starring Cary Grant and Deborah Kerr and directed by Leo McCarey is.

If one is to see this hilarious romantic comedy, it is apparent to see where Beatty got his inspiration from, as have many others. Audiences will surely remember the 1993 blockbuster “Sleepless in Seattle,” which borrowed many of the romantic elements of “An Affair to Remember,” going so far as to show the film’s stars changing seats to be near her. When engine trouble forces the airplane to land on a tiny tropical island, the Sydney-bound passengers are forced to board a Russian cruise ship bound for Tahiti.

What ensues is Mike’s hilarious attempts at spending time with Terry. Evidently, his charms grow on her as they begin to fall unexpectedly in love. There is just one thing that complicates matters for the two of them—they are both engaged to other people.

After their return to the States, they make a pact: in exactly three months to the day they will meet on top of the Empire State Building to see if the sparks between them still fly.

Three months pass and Terry is injured on route to her long-awaited rendezvous. It seems at that moment that they are destined not to be together, but will fate step in and bring them together? This is worth seeing to find out.

Oscar-winner Warren Beatty once again puts in a strong performance both on-screen and behind the scenes. At times throughout the film his arrogance shows through (his role as Mike Gambrell is literally a metaphor for his life-style off screen: former playboy-turned-model-husband-and-father by the charms of Bening), but this is tempered by his superior acting talents.

Annette Bening is a virtual scene stealer throughout the film. Her calm demeanor and deadpan humor in her role as Terry is the perfect balance to Beatty’s hyperactive Mike.

The chemistry between the two makes this film not only highly enjoyable, but very believable as well. This is not the first time this has worked for the couple. Movie audiences will surely remember Beatty and Bening as the leads in Beatty’s multi-Oscar nominated “ Bugsy.” This first pairing on-screen is what planted the seed for their real-life romance to grow.

Beatty and Bening may have been the focus of “Love Affair” but other memorable performances abound in this film. Beatty was able to lure the legendary Katherine Hepburn back to the movies in a cameo role as his feisty and funny Aunt Ginny. Garry Shandling is uproarious showcasing his outstanding comedic talents as Mike’s bumbling business manager Kip. Pierce Brosnan and Chloe Webb are wonderful as friends of Terry, Director Glenn Gordon Caron (“Clean and Sober”), best known as the creator and producer of the 1980’s hit television series “Moonlighting,” scores big with “Love Affair,” his second big-screen feature. Kudos must also go to screenwriter Robert Towne who collaborated with Beatty to script the film.

The team expertly adapted the story to the 90’s while retaining as many of the elements of the previous two versions as possible.

If there is one complaint that can be made about an otherwise flawless film, it is how the story comes across to the viewers. To put it bluntly,”Love Affair” is just a bit too sappy even for the most romantic of people. Yet this is a forgivable flaw.

The 1957 rendition, “An Affair to Remember,” is a bit funnier and much sweeter, fitting for that movie era. But Beatty has once again succeeded in bringing filmgoers a smart, witty, romantic comedy. This truly is yet another “Love Affair” to remember.

GRADE: B+
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Over The Beat

By Michael McCusker

As the leaves turn and the temperature drops, things are heating up in the record stores. Big name artists gear up for the Christmas rush, while artists less known usually fill it in that pre-holiday gap.

This year is no exception, as many progressive artists unleash the finest batch of sounds in recent memory.....It’s no surprise that Weezer has become MTV darlings overnight. Their Seattle-ish slacker pop is high on melody and emotionally accessible to many.

"Undone-the Sweater Song" is pure fun in a three minute guitar track. Other cuts on the self titled disc (DGC/Geffen) show Weezer to be very capable of the jangly - it sounds like the Smiths -- style pop track as well as a pure rock sound. "Only In Dreams" might recall the Ocean Blue...spread the word!

tiful acoustic "Telling Tales " at the close of the disc, provide the center for one of the finest pop albums you may hear this year;one that may have made the Beach Boys a little bit jealous...

Single Gun Theory seem to know a good thing when they’ve got it. Their new disc “Flow...River of My Soul” takes little departure from their debut of two years ago. Then again when a disc is this ear friendly it doesn’t have to.

Their sound is a mix of street beat, ethereal vocals (provided by the gorgeous pipes of Ms. Jacqui Hunt) and samples that come together in a whirlpool of light and dark;of nightclub and, well, heaven.

The album is strong throughout with the lead track “Full” and the hypnotic “I’ve Been Dying” being the nicest examples. "Flow..." intoxicates with it’s moody simplicity and is definitely worth checking out...

Some side notes: Those waiting for the new Siouxsie and The Banshees shoes sheet album will have to wait a bit longer; the album has been delayed until January...

For those who can’t wait for new Siouxsie and The Banshees sheet album will have to wait a bit longer; the album has been delayed until January...

For all that “Robert A. Heinlein’s The Puppet Masters” has working against it, shop-worn material, a B-movie premise, and a silly title in common with a cheesy direct-to-video horror series, the movie exhibits its surprising ambition and is overall a solid piece of brainless entertainment.

From the original "The Invasion of the Body Snatchers," and the brilliant 1976 remake of that film. "The Puppet Masters" isn’t linearly as good as either of these horror classics, but it fares much better than Abel Ferrarra’s remake, “Body Snatchers.” Ferrarra’s film was too artsy and ultimately dull. “The Puppet Masters” has no problem with just delivering the goods.

After the aliens make their arrival on earth the government’s covert Office of Scientific Intelligence (OSI) is called to investigate. Headed by Andrew Nivens (Donald Sutherland), his son Sam (Eric Thai), and NASA scientist Mary Selton (Julie Warner), the team discovers something more frightening than they thought possible.

The aliens turn out to be slug-like parasites who attach themselves to human hosts and shoot a probe through the back of the human’s neck. They then go on to control the host and use its body for their own purposes.

The scientists must race against time to find a way to kill the parasites without killing the host and before the entire human race is taken over, including themselves.

The story behind “The Puppet Masters” is hardly new and this makes for a predictable night at the movies but what saves the film is some suspenseful action sequences, adequate special effects, and some winning performances from the likeable Eric Thai (“A Stranger Among Us”) and Julie Warner (“Indian Summer”).

Donald Sutherland, who is usually a great actor, makes for an inspired bit of casting (he starred in the ’76 remake of “Invasion of the Body Snatchers”), but he mostly walks through his role as if he would rather be somewhere else.

Overall, “The Puppet Masters” isn’t quite up to the scares evoked from the first two “Body Snatchers” but it’s quick, painless, and not half bad. GRADE: B-

Ambitious “Puppet Masters” is Surprisingly Solid

By Justin Greico

For all that “Robert A. Heinlein’s The Puppet Masters” has working against it, shop-worn material, a B-movie premise, and a silly title in common with a cheesy direct-to-video horror series, the movie exhibits its surprising ambition and is overall a solid piece of brainless entertainment.

Cashing in on the paranoia sci-fi thrillers of the 50’s, “The Puppet Masters” is a basic alien invasion flick working from Heinlein’s original novel for the first time.

As the story goes, aliens land on earth (in the small town of Ambrose, Iowa), and start to take over the human race. This concept has been used before in the original “The Invasion of the Body Snatchers,” and the brilliant 1976 remake of that film.

“The Puppet Masters” isn’t linearly as good as either of these horror classics, but it fares much better than Abel Ferrarra’s remake, “Body Snatchers.” Ferrarra’s film was too artsy and ultimately dull. “The Puppet Masters” has no problem with just delivering the goods.

After the aliens make their arrival on earth the government’s covert Office of Scientific Intelligence (OSI) is called to investigate. Headed by Andrew Nivens (Donald Sutherland), his son Sam (Eric Thai), and NASA scientist Mary Selton (Julie Warner), the team discovers something more frightening than they thought possible.

The aliens turn out to be slug-like parasites who attach themselves to human hosts and shoot a probe through the back of the human’s neck. They then go on to control the host and use it’s body for their own purposes.

The scientists must race against time to find a way to kill the parasites without killing the host and before the entire human race is taken over, including themselves.

The story behind “The Puppet Masters” is hardly new and this makes for a predictable night at the movies but what saves the film is some suspenseful action sequences, adequate special effects, and some winning performances from the likeable Eric Thai (“A Stranger Among Us”) and Julie Warner (“Indian Summer”).

Donald Sutherland, who is usually a great actor, makes for an inspired bit of casting (he starred in the ’76 remake of “Invasion of the Body Snatchers”), but he mostly walks through his role as if he would rather be somewhere else.

Overall, “The Puppet Masters” isn’t quite up to the scares evoked from the first two “Body Snatchers” but it’s quick, painless, and not half bad. GRADE: B-

Spread the Word!

The Communication Club is holding an Election meeting on Tuesday, November 1 at 1 pm in Ridgeway 416

Get Involved...write for the Journal. The Journal is YOUR student newspaper, let your voice be heard.
To speak or not to speak

There are two Letters to the Editor printed in this issue of The Suffolk Journal, both objecting to articles by Journal columnist Jim Behrle. There were also three letters sent to the Journal objecting to Mike Shaw’s op-ed piece last week on student involvement at Suffolk. Unlike the writers to Behrle’s articles, the three pieces of hate mail directed at Shaw were unsigned.

In these days of Political Correctness, it may seem a passe argument, but the Journal stands behind the Constitutionally guaranteed right of free speech. This right extends to Journal columnists like Shaw and Behrle, but also to the letter writers who objected to the articles written by them.

This right to free speech is an important right, and one that should never be abolished. The risk in stating one’s opinion is that someone, somewhere will be offended. That is, of course, their right, but one doesn’t cancel out the other.

If everyone only said what was guaranteed not to offend anyone, this world would be a boring, bland place. There would be no exchange of ideas, no discussion of the vital issues of the day; indeed, no free exchange between people at all.

But Shaw, along with other columnists, whether in the Suffolk Journal or any other newspaper or magazine, present their opinions for the world to consider. Like them or hate them, they have the courage to sign their names to their opinions. Unlike the anonymous hate mail writers, the views expressed on these pages are presented by real people who stand by them, and hold themselves accountable for those views.

In the same vein, letter writers Karen Lawrence and Nancy Summers expressed opposing views, and the Journal is more than happy to provide a forum for them, and for anyone else who wishes to have their opinions expressed. But it is a policy for the Journal to only print letters by those who sign their names, and identify themselves. If you object to something said in the Journal, or any other newspaper, you have every right to express your outrage. Just have the courage to sign your name, and stand by your views.

The Journal commends Shaw, Behrle, Lawrence and Summers for stating their opinions, and signing their names to them. All of these writers, and indeed any others who have done so in the past and will do so in the future, have proved themselves to have the courage and personal convictions lacking in the anonymous letter writers mentioned in Shaw’s current article.

The opinions expressed in the Letters to the Editor section, as well as the op-ed section on the next page, are solely those of the identified writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Suffolk Journal itself. Those views are reserved for this space here, wherein the Journal provides the opinion of the editorial board, and represents the opinion of the paper as a whole.

While it is a standard newspaper practice for editorials to go unsigned, as they do not reflect an individual opinion or hate them, they have the courage to sign their names to their opinions. Unlike the anonymous hate mail writers, the views expressed on these pages are presented by real people who stand by them, and hold themselves accountable for those views.

In the same vein, letter writers Karen Lawrence and Nancy Summers expressed opposing views, and the Journal is more than happy to provide a forum for them, and for anyone else who wishes to have their opinions expressed. But it is a policy for the Journal to only print letters by those who sign their names, and identify themselves. If you object to something said in the Journal, or any other newspaper, you have every right to express your outrage. Just have the courage to sign your name, and stand by your views.

The Journal commends Shaw, Behrle, Lawrence and Summers for stating their opinions, and signing their names to them. All of these writers, and indeed any others who have done so in the past and will do so in the future, have proved themselves to have the courage and personal convictions lacking in the anonymous letter writers mentioned in Shaw’s current article.

The opinions expressed in the Letters to the Editor section, as well as the op-ed section on the next page, are solely those of the identified writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Suffolk Journal itself. Those views are reserved for this space here, wherein the Journal provides the opinion of the editorial board, and represents the opinion of the paper as a whole.

While it is a standard newspaper practice for editorials to go unsigned, as they do not reflect an individual opinion or hate them, they have the courage to sign their names to their opinions. Unlike the anonymous hate mail writers, the views expressed on these pages are presented by real people who stand by them, and hold themselves accountable for those views.

In the same vein, letter writers Karen Lawrence and Nancy Summers expressed opposing views, and the Journal is more than happy to provide a forum for them, and for anyone else who wishes to have their opinions expressed. But it is a policy for the Journal to only print letters by those who sign their names, and identify themselves. If you object to something said in the Journal, or any other newspaper, you have every right to express your outrage. Just have the courage to sign your name, and stand by your views.

The Journal commends Shaw, Behrle, Lawrence and Summers for stating their opinions, and signing their names to them. All of these writers, and indeed any others who have done so in the past and will do so in the future, have proved themselves to have the courage and personal convictions lacking in the anonymous letter writers mentioned in Shaw’s current article.

The opinions expressed in the Letters to the Editor section, as well as the op-ed section on the next page, are solely those of the identified writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Suffolk Journal itself. Those views are reserved for this space here, wherein the Journal provides the opinion of the editorial board, and represents the opinion of the paper as a whole.

While it is a standard newspaper practice for editorials to go unsigned, as they do not reflect an individual opinion or hate them, they have the courage to sign their names to their opinions. Unlike the anonymous hate mail writers, the views expressed on these pages are presented by real people who stand by them, and hold themselves accountable for those views.

In the same vein, letter writers Karen Lawrence and Nancy Summers expressed opposing views, and the Journal is more than happy to provide a forum for them, and for anyone else who wishes to have their opinions expressed. But it is a policy for the Journal to only print letters by those who sign their names, and identify themselves. If you object to something said in the Journal, or any other newspaper, you have every right to express your outrage. Just have the courage to sign your name, and stand by your views.

The Journal commends Shaw, Behrle, Lawrence and Summers for stating their opinions, and signing their names to them. All of these writers, and indeed any others who have done so in the past and will do so in the future, have proved themselves to have the courage and personal convictions lacking in the anonymous letter writers mentioned in Shaw’s current article.

The opinions expressed in the Letters to the Editor section, as well as the op-ed section on the next page, are solely those of the identified writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Suffolk Journal itself. Those views are reserved for this space here, wherein the Journal provides the opinion of the editorial board, and represents the opinion of the paper as a whole.

While it is a standard newspaper practice for editorials to go unsigned, as they do not reflect an individual opinion or hate them, they have the courage to sign their names to their opinions. Unlike the anonymous hate mail writers, the views expressed on these pages are presented by real people who stand by them, and hold themselves accountable for those views.

In the same vein, letter writers Karen Lawrence and Nancy Summers expressed opposing views, and the Journal is more than happy to provide a forum for them, and for anyone else who wishes to have their opinions expressed. But it is a policy for the Journal to only print letters by those who sign their names, and identify themselves. If you object to something said in the Journal, or any other newspaper, you have every right to express your outrage. Just have the courage to sign your name, and stand by your views.

The Journal commends Shaw, Behrle, Lawrence and Summers for stating their opinions, and signing their names to them. All of these writers, and indeed any others who have done so in the past and will do so in the future, have proved themselves to have the courage and personal convictions lacking in the anonymous letter writers mentioned in Shaw’s current article.

The opinions expressed in the Letters to the Editor section, as well as the op-ed section on the next page, are solely those of the identified writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Suffolk Journal itself. Those views are reserved for this space here, wherein the Journal provides the opinion of the editorial board, and represents the opinion of the paper as a whole.

While it is a standard newspaper practice for editorials to go unsigned, as they do not reflect an individual opinion but an official one, I will forgo that practice in this instance. The preceding editorial was written by Journal Editor-in-Chief Dan Coakley.

QUOTE OF THE WEEK

"Stop! Or I'll...yell Stop again!"

- Phil DiBlasi, Suffolk University police officer, commenting on the approach Suffolk police officers must take due to the University's policy on not allowing officers to carry firearms.
Mike Shaw: Telling it like it is

Mike Shaw

Hey, I’ll admit when I’ve made a mistake...when I make one. But to all of the people who actually took the time to jot down a few unorganized, hateful, and simply childish remarks and leave them in the Journal office, unsigned I might add, I say PROOEEY and DOUBLE PHOOEY! I am very pleased that my intended goal has been achieved. I actually made some people take a quasi-serious look at themselves and what they are doing. Not only did I do that, but I managed to have a few very constructive conversations with some members of the faculty, staff, and a few club leaders, hey, I got people talking, I’m psyched!

Now, for all of you out there who are wondering what kind of letters I got here in my lovely office in the basement, I’ll tell you.

1. “Guess what? You don’t have to do [expletive].”
   If it’s too much work, then quit.
   You’re a [expletive].

2. “I’ve read your numerous articles and heard your radio show and personally think that you are wasting my time as well as yours. In my opinion, pick an organization that likes having you as a member and stick with it. Otherwise, go drink some turpentine and pass on some brush fires, sincerely, President Sargent.”

Well, here we have a classic case of someone who obviously doesn’t realize what the column was about, but he/she has decided to put his/her two cents worth in anyway. If I recall correctly, I stated that I loved doing what I do, and not once did I actually say that I am not comfortable in any club that I am in. Not only that, but again, this person did not have the guts to sign his/her real name, although he/she did take the time to type the letter.

3. “I read your article in the Suffolk Journal and found it very offensive. Face the facts, you are just a freshman, hello. You’re trying to fit in, hello. Writing that kind of [expletive] in the Journal is not going to help you at all, hello. You probably joined the journal and WSFR to meet people, make friends, etc., but all you’re doing is pissing people off, hello.

“No one likes a smartass. I found a way for you to meet people. Try it, you self-righteous little [expletive].”

Enclosed was a page from Sheila Wood’s ‘Have a Friend Club’ personal, with several names outlined, most of them ex-cons looking for roommates.

This letter was my favorite.

SHAW
continued on page 10

Catholic church needs to be remodeled

Ryan Foley

These words I pen were born through, and from, experience. This particular writer desires to establish this before anything else. Before he is classified as being igno­rant, bideigned, or, pertaining to the subject I soon will be tackling, blasphemous.

You see, religion is a prickly topic, one that has segmented humans in the past and will, without doubt, continue to do so in the imminent future. Its enduring forces have bound us, and broken us countless times before.

What if I am striving towards, you wonder?

Well, to begin, sparing the prudeable, Roman Catholi­cism is in dire need of remodeling. A remodeling that needs to be aimed at my generation, the product of the baby boomers, an age group that seems to shun the Church more than adores to it. Its grip upon myself and my peers is slowly going slack, and for many reasons.

There are instances when I believe the Church deems that our times are similar, if not exact, to the Middle Ages,

FOLEY
continued on page 9

Take me to your leader, says Jim

Jim Behrie

You’re sitting in front of Mr. Mageau one night, and you can’t decide between a ‘Quantum Leap’ rerun and ‘Late Night with Conan O’Brien’. You’ve reached an impasse. Time is short. The clock is ticking. Do you have what it takes to lift the remote and make a split sec­ond decision that could funda­mentally alter the course of your life?

If so, you were probably at that Leadership Retreat thing last week; (Myself, I was watching old Spiderman movies and seeing if my whole fist still fits in my mouth).

Leadership Retreat Weekends are an important Suffolk tradition, at which student organization leaders and activity members annually make a secret blood pact to drive this University into the ground and promote the agenda of Beelzebub. They have been, through the years, a memorable event. The Retreat is all about mak­ing new friends and getting Satanic tattoos.

But, I’m not convinced that “Camp Cody” is really the place to test one’s leadership potential. “Camp Cody” sounds like the place I went when I was six and my parents didn’t love me anymore. Surely, as adults in the break­neck-burly-hut that is the Bostonian experience, we can find a more appropriate venue to test the resolve of our future President Sargent.

Here are some of my thoughts on where next year’s Leadership Retreat should be held:

1. Deme Street: It’s close and it’s perfect. Fun leader­ship games like “When should all of us cross the street?”; “Find the SGA member in the dispenser” and “The Deme St. Deli Dash” would be used to bond leader to leader like cyan-­glue, while blindfolded falling backward trust exercises could be hap­pening on the State House stone steps. Pitch some tents along the sidewalk and you’ve got an inexpensive but rewarding endeavor.

I suggest rescheduling for late January, however, to capital­ize on the old “crappy weather” experience that’s sure to make everyone quick buddies.

2. A life­raft, seventy miles off the coast of Latvia; with just a couple days food, this could also be an event to cherish. Imagine leaders deal­ing with morality questions like “Which Program Coun­cil member gets thrown to the jellyfish first?”. “How should we punish the Ring­Ding Thief?” or “Do you think TKE members taste better with AIP?”

Talk about building char­acter. There would be lots of time to hold funny skits and test other people’s ability to “go with the flow” as they ease away the days in the open seas, all of them sharing the blame for the heinous crimes they commit to sur­vive, once they come ashore. Take it from me. Cannibal­ism builds friendships time and prison cannot destroy.

3. Iraq: Left on their own,

BEHRIE
continued on page 8

Voices of Suffolk

By Christian Engler and Erskine Plummer

What do you think of the two candidates running in the Senatorial race?

"I'm voting for Kennedy, because I think he has done a lot of good for the education system; education solves problems and that is one of this many priorities."

"I hope Romney wins. We need new blood in the Senate, a new change."

"Kennedy. He's interested in helping third world countries. He'll make this country one better."

"Kennedy has been in the Senate for 32 years. I think it's time for a change."

"Kennedy, he got people talking, I'm psyched!"

"I think Romney is better. Kennedy has been in the Senate too long and has done enough damage. Camelot is dead, get on with it."

Scott Needham
Sophomore

Frank Callahan
Senior

Mike Wambolt
MBA

Lynn Isaac
Junior

Stacy Sullivan
Grad student

"What do you think of the two candidates running in the Senatorial race?"
Nancy Summers

Weren't it be an inspiring sight to see our fellow students doing something productive and challenging? Fork those folks over to me and my roomie for one weekend, and they will come to know what leadership is all about.

We'll split 'em up into teams, and see if they can decide who'll be the first to experience the Fritos under my futon. Another team will have to separate my clean clothes from my dirty ones (Good luck, campers!). Yet another would have to identify and discuss the collection of mystery tin-foil packages in our fridge. I promise you, it would be a learning experience for all involved.

Long and short of it is, leadership is a little more than rolling out some sleeping bags and singing "Stand by Me." We need a brave new generation of leaders, traumatized by cruel and stupid activities and tortured into drooling submission. Only this way will they come to understand what they do to the entire Suffolk community on a daily basis. Amen to that, baby.

ATTENTION!

Students interested in Minoring in Education

Please contact:
Elementary Education:
Advisor: Dr. Sheila Mahoney
F334
Telephone: 573-8281

Secondary Education:
Advisor: Dr. Stephen Shatkin
F330A
Telephone: 573-8269

DURING THE REGISTRATION PERIOD

RECYCLING AT ITS FINEST!

THE USED TEXTBOOK PROGRAM

In order to explain in greater detail how a Used Textbook Program works, the following is a list of questions most frequently asked about the purchase and sale of used textbooks.

Why are textbooks so expensive?
Expensive is a relative term, and since a book is a commodity it will reflect market forces. For instance, a weakening dollar, the deficit, higher oil prices, etc. will be reflected in the cost of a commodity (book). Subject matter for the most part will determine the price of a book. For instance, hard sciences, such as chemistry, requiring charts, graphs, color illustrations, etc. are more costly to produce. A novel, is less expensive to produce.

Are faculty members concerned about book prices?
Yes. In general, faculty members select those books which give the best value for the content of the course, while keeping price in mind. This is why it is equally important that the faculty understand the Used Textbook Program.

Is the Used Textbook Program a cooperative effort?
Yes it is. A well run college bookstore will buy back from its own students before going to a used book wholesaler. Along with their desire to save money, the students must be willing to make the time and effort to sell back their books. If the bookstore has a good working relationship with the faculty and department coordinators, faculty members will try to get their book orders in on time. If the bookstore has timely information it can offer better buy-back prices and in turn offer better selling prices to the students.

How much will the bookstore pay for a used book?
As much as 50% of the new book selling price, if the book is used but not abused, or excessively Hi-Lited.

How much will the bookstore pay for a book that was purchased used?
Again, as much as 50% of the new book selling price, if the book is used but not abused or excessively Hi-Lited.

Will the bookstore pay 50% for all used books?
No. The bookstore will pay 50% only for those books which the faculty has placed a written book order with the bookstore for the upcoming Fall or Spring Semester.

Is it to a students advantage to buy a USED book?
Yes. it is. In many cases a student may save as much as 75% of the price of a new book, simply by buying used and then re-selling the used book at the end of the term.
where the overseeing of pilgrimages as well as the requisition of titles was top priority. It needs to evolve in an era where the aspects of its environment truly are ephemeral.

During the 1960s, Catholicism finally regarded change in the form of several ground-breaking councils at Vatican City. Here, many elemental, yet ancient Catholic beliefs were modified or eradicated. However, the Church that surfaced from the Vatican summits was a “new” institution, not necessarily a “better” institution, still tarnished with various flaws. I know of these flaws, for I was raised in a Catholic family, was a major partaker in Church activities; but for several years now, I have taken to the status of “non-practicing.” It’s difficult to remain with an institution when so many of its facets and aspects leave you feeling incredulous. And that appears to be the mutual feeling among my brethren.

In examining the structure of the Church, one area of notable concern is the priesthood. To be frank, there seems to be a dearth of younger priests in our present church parishes, an ideal that is troubling. Young parishioners (I could attest to this) can find young clergyman easier to relate to, due to their small age difference. I am not insulting members of the clergy in their elderly years, but rather telling what I hold to be true. Nor am I calling for the expulsion of every priest in his sixties; what I am requesting is the fabrication of a decree where every parish must be served by a clergyman under the age of thirty.

A sudden demand for a higher influx of young clergyman should give enough rationality to persuade the Church of lifting its ban on women. This is why I believe priests and its rules of celibacy. Men and women could now lead a life both dedicated to God and family, something impossible before. And clergy hopefuls could see this as the commencement of a life, not the termination of one.

Aside from the priesthood, the papacy should be rebuilt also. Being a young, open-minded male of Irish descent, from a country more heterogeneous than homogeneous, how is it understood that I am to abide and adhere to a man four or five decades my elder, who does not speak my native tongue, and has not even an inkling of what it’s like to dwell in my culture or society. When you whittle away the excess, and get down to the bare bones, it’s more than preposterous.

Can you even fathom our nation being steered by a person from, say, the fringes of Romania, who knows not a syllable of English and still confers credibility to the existence of living vampires? Yes, this example is extreme, but so is the present situation.

What the Church needs to do is refine the structure and design of the papacy. Revet the role of the pontiff, and subsequent ones, to that of a symbolic nature, similar to the Queen of England’s current position. His words will still have great bearing and meaning, but his absolute power (and infallibility) will be extracted.

Bestow the true arm of the Church to an assemblage of appointed leaders, one to represent every primary Catholic nation. These “mini-popes” could operate as spokespersons for their respective countries, intuned with the knowledge and familiarity of what their people stand for and who they are. Under this proposed system, impending church canon and ecclesiastical law would be labored over assiduously, with the opinions of all of humanity, all Catholics, taken in perspective. Having equal representation would achieve this.

Besides hammering out rules for this Church, these delegates could be known publicly within their respective home lands, appearing at religious functions and secular events, when they are not working diligently with the legal side of their religion. In that way, our nation’s “cultural power” could be, popularity-wise, on a par with the President, seen shaking hands, delivering addresses, even tossing out the first baseball on Opening Day.

And this is where vitality may eclipse experience for sheer importance. Sure, both are essential, but if resparking interests in the potential young congregation is more integral, then isn’t a man or woman blooming with youth more appealing? Similar to the priesthood, the upper hierarchies of the Church could take advantage of youth.

All I’m proposing does not have to be mulled over and codified in an evening. The Church, like most standing institutions, may be indifferent to so many consequential revisions. So, to avoid any permanent dilemmas, the Church could gradually institute the policies over the course of many years, or possibly test them in particular regions to assess their acceptance and how well they work.

Whether my opinions would fail or succeed, the Church must try something, anything to win back my generation and its ever-increasing numbers. Roman Catholicism has shattered humankind before, but this time it’s not just ourselves who could be broken, but the institution itself. It’s fate, and how we, as we see the future, it rests in our hands.

Call it blasphemy, this particular writer feels he is simply concerned.
OPPORTUNITY KNOCKS

Thomas M. Menino
Mayor, City of Boston

Keynote and Opening Address

Wednesday, Nov. 2
1:00 pm, 1 Beacon St.
25th floor, CMD Conference Room

---

Professors study effects of Coca-Cola in Eastern Europe

By College Press Service


While Eastern European markets continue to embrace American products, how do American products such as Coca-Cola really affect economies in places like Warsaw, Poland, and Bucharest, Romania? That's what a team of researchers from the University of South Carolina is hoping to find out.

Thanks to a grant from the Atlanta-based Society of International Business Fellows, a nonprofit organization concerned with the international growth of American business, four professors and economists from USC's College of Business Administration have headed to Eastern Europe to study the economic effects of Coke's post-1989 investments in Poland and Romania.

There is a lot of speculation on how a huge force like Coca-Cola plays in a foreign market, especially markets as new as those in Poland and Romania," said Dr. William Folk, professor of International business at USC. "We want to see not just the impact on businesses, but also how the product impacts the people as well."

Although Folks did not make the trip, USC international business professors Jeff Arpan and Jim Kuhiman did, along with Doug Woodward and Frank Hefner, economists in the college's division of research.

Arpan and Kuhiman will base their research on discussions with business owners and consumers, while Woodward and Hefner will concentrate on more technical, economical data.

The USC professors will return to the U.S. in the late fall and hope to have their findings published by the beginning of next year.

---

Suffolk University
Center for International Education
Study abroad scholarship program
Information session:
School of Management
Faculty Lounge, Room 521
November 4, 1994
1:00 - 2:00 pm
**University DateLine**

**Suffolk University’s Calendar of Events**

**October 26 - November 1, 1993**

### Wednesday, October 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30 - 4:30</td>
<td>Human Resources sponsors Intro to Inform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Student Services Staff Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Statistics 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Micro-Economics 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 - 4:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00</td>
<td>Russia At The Crossroads- Economic Reform In Modern Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 - 10:00</td>
<td>Parents Orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>Gospel Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>&quot;Doing Business In The United Kingdom&quot; - The World Trade Institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thursday, October 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>Alcohol Awareness Dead Day Campus Observance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 - 1:00</td>
<td>Human Resources sponsors PC Basics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Physical Science I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Micro-Economics 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Alpha Phi Omega Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Haitian American Student Association Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Beta Alpha Psi Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Humanities and Modern Language Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Initiation in the New Age - Astrology talk by Christins Haftmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>CLAS Seminar Series: Adaptation to Adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 - 2:30</td>
<td>Women’s Center presents Women’s Issues and Voting Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 - 10:00</td>
<td>Parents Orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>Wait Until Dark/ James Wallace Productions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Friday, October 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>Brown Bag Lunch with Sukanya Ray- Changes in Gender Role Socialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>Raise Money For Battered Women’s Shelters - Liftathon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 - 7:00</td>
<td>Halloween Rathskellar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>Wait Until Dark/ James Wallace Productions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Saturday, October 29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 - 5:00</td>
<td>Suffolk Univ. Forensic Tournament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>Wait Until Dark/ James Wallace Productions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>Wait Until Dark/ James Wallace Productions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sunday, October 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>Daylight Savings - Set Clocks Back 1 Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 - 7:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry 211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monday, October 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Statistics 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 - 3:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Physical Science I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tuesday, November 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:30 - 12:30</td>
<td>Last Day to Apply for February Graduation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>SOM Chairpersons Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>SGA Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Campus Ministry Guest Speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Career Services Career Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Accounting 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Chemistry 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>CIS Club Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Philosophy Dept. - Film : The Human Search, Bebe Griffith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Black Student Union Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Campus Police MBTA Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Beta Alpha Psi Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td>Communication Dept. Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 - 3:30</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Statistics 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 - 5:00</td>
<td>B.L.C. Study Group - Physical Science I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 - 6:00</td>
<td>Careers in Labor and Employment Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University DateLine is Suffolk University’s master calendar. For information on any scheduled event, any day of the academic year, or to list an event that you are planning, call 573-8082. University DateLine is a comprehensive record of what is happening, when and where — for planning, publicity or general information.
Bright spots on an otherwise dark year for women's tennis team

By Ryan Foley
JOURNAL STAFF

It has not been the most memorable of seasons for women's tennis, but still, that can be said for individual highlights. For starters, there is Natasha Zok, born and bred in the country of Switzerland, who as of yesterday's match had already logged four wins. Then there is Jennifer Lammers, a sophomore transfer student who has logged an impressive 11-4 record despite having opened her season late. And who could dismiss Cathleen Hoang, one of several practicing regularly downtown at the Charles River Park complex and the possible future of a squad that is stocked with underclassmen and foreign students.

For Levenson and crew, the commencement of the season could have been a harbinger of events to come. Starplayer Angelique Muller, slotted in the number one position, went down to a knee injury and had to be substituted by Sharon Mastropietro, a player who has held that primary spot all season. "Sharon is an incredible player, but she's always hurt," commented Levenson. Presently the team is mired in a funk that has witnessed them win a mere one match all year.

But similar to its beginning, this year's rendition of women's tennis could also end on a bittersweet note. "The end of the season will be disappointing for two reasons," Levenson deduced. "One, we are the only school in the conference not to have won a conference game, and two, the tournament (NEWAC's) is being held at our home park and we won't be playing in it."

Regardless, the year has not been a complete squander. All of the teams' members have earned coveted experience in how the game is played and operated. "They have improved a lot," Levenson praised of his players. "There has been a lot of improvement."

Lift-A-Thon in Ridgeway Gym

By Kristen Padios
JOURNAL STAFF

On Friday October 28, the Suffolk University Police will sponsor a Lift-A-Thon in the Ridgeway Gym. From 3-3 pm, men and women from the Suffolk community can showcase their bench press prowess while raising money to benefit battered women's shelters around Boston.

Officer Lee Romprey of the Suffolk University Police Department, one of the organizers of the event, explained what the Lift-A-Thon is and why they chose to donate the money they raise to battered women's shelters.

What participants in the Lift-A-Thon must do is a maximum bench press where they would be sponsored any amount of money per pound

Suffolk Sports

Suffolk star honored by NCAA

By Ryan Foley
JOURNAL STAFF

Suffolk student Erica Peterson was recently awarded a plaque from the National Collegiate Athletic Association for leading Division 3 in two base hits in softball play. Peterson, a 1992 graduate of Medford High School, had 15 doubles in 22 games last season for a nationally leading percentage of 0.68. The talented third baseman, hit .427 for the Ramettes and ranked sixth in the nation in runs scored with a total of 37. She also had 19 runs batted in and cracked four homers.

Peterson, a criminology major and a sophomore here at the university, received the award from Suffolk Athletic Director Jim Nelson.

Cross country teams in meets

By Ryan Foley
JOURNAL STAFF

Both the men's and women's cross-country team participated in meets this past weekend.

Saturday at the NEWAC Championships, the women's side did not place due to a dearth of runners. Failing also to gain slots because of deficit, were the colleges of Revere, Pine Manor, and Maine Maritime. "This took the pressure off of not having enough women to place," said an optimistic Coach Walsh regarding the situation.

Finishing in the top notch for Suffolk was Noreen McBride running the course in a 28:15. Closely behind her, and rounding out the university's lone three challengers, were Jennifer Verlicco (30:13) and Nancy Glennon (30:27).

For the men, the eye-opener of the weekend went to Dave Allen, who finished 11th overall from an emulous field of 78 runners, and first for the school with a 28:14. It was Allen's maiden run for Suffolk cross-country, after arriving here in Boston from the town of Lorain, Ohio. Kansas transfer Dan Alperin continues to shine on the courses as well. Overall, Alperin tallied a time of 29:30, good enough for 30th place overall, and second behind Allen.

The remaining top five for Suffolk were Scott Dunn, Dan Burke, and Mike Dunn who all aided the squad to an overall position of sixth.

"This was our first time to beat schools that have beaten us all year," summarized Walsh. "We're getting kids with talent that are working very hard."

Regarding the immediate future, Walsh commented on upcoming meets on Friday at Wheaton College and the impending NCAA Regionals at Southern Maine. "Our goal is to do well at the NCAA's and to reach our potential as a team. It's been an enjoyable season with many quality kids coming in."